BT-1721 Superpressure Balloons for Horizontal Soundings of the Atmosphere

Access Number

BT-1721

Title

Superpressure Balloons for Horizontal Soundings of the Atmosphere

Author Vincent E. Lally

Responsible Organization National Center for Atmospheric Research

Funding Organization

Date Jun 1967

Report Number NCAR-TN-28

Repository Number

Contract Number

Description Technical Report, 174 p, 4 refs

Notes

A revised and updated version is dated Oct 1971.

Key Words

Superpressure, long duration, design, theory, stability, instrumentation, thermal effects, flight tests

Content

This report became the definitive treatise of its time for the technology of superpressure balloons. It may still be considered a landmark document and is timeless in its coverage of the technology. Topics covered include balloon types and designs, superpressure theory, potential balloon lifetime, effects of solar and other infrared sources on balloon performance, balloon stability, and failure causes. Diagnostic sensors, tracking technologies, balloon integrity testing, and flight test results are also covered.

Available From WFF/BTL

Goddard Library

JUL 2 6 1967

Reading Room Dept. of Meterology University of Wisconsin

NCAR-TN-28

Superpressure Balloons for Horizontal Soundings of the Atmosphere

VINCENT E. LALLY

June 1967

Reading Room Dept. of Meterology University of Wisconsin

(NCAR-TN-28) SUPERPRESSURE EALLOONS FOR HORIZONTAL SOUNDINGS OF THE AIMOSPHERE (National Center for Atmospheric Research) 177 p N87-70103

29996 Unclas 00/47 42922

NCAR Technical Notes

NATIONAL CENTER FOR ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH Boulder, Colorado

NCAR-TN-28

Superpressure Balloons for Horizontal Soundings of the Atmosphere

VINCENT E. LALLY

June 1967

NATIONAL CENTER FOR ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH Boulder, Colorado





at many the

.....

FOREWORD

These notes are designed to provide under one cover the status of superpressure balloon technology. For a number of years the promise of long duration flight with superpressure balloons has been taken on faith alone. Between March, 1966, and March, 1967, 85 flights were made in the southern hemisphere under the auspices of the New Zealand Meteorological Service, the Environmental Science Services Administration, and the National Science Foundation. The flight program was conducted by the National Center for Atmospheric Research.

This technical note is a collection of previous reports and articles which have been updated on the basis of the Southern Hemisphere GHOST (Global HOrizontal Sounding Technique) flight program.

The establishment of the GHOST flight program in the southern hemisphere was a major achievement in international cooperation. We are grateful for the assistance of D. A. Davies, Secretary-General of the World Meteorological Organization; Dr. John F. Gabites, Director of the New Zealand Meteorological Service; and Dr. Robert F. White of ESSA for their efforts in obtaining approval and cooperation throughout the southern hemisphere. The cooperation of the volunteer tracking stations has been of inestimable value during the first year of GHOST flights. The assistance of these stations is gratefully asknowledged: Luanda, Angola; McMurdo Station, Antarctica; Buenos Aires, Argentina; Melbourne, Australia; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; Papeete, French Polynesia; Plaisance, Mauritius; Pretoria, South Africa; and Broken Hill, Zambia.

I am personally indebted to Ernest W. Lichfield and Robert W. Frykman who developed the remarkable electronics systems used on all GHOST flights, to Neil Carlson who designed and fabricated much of the

iii

electronics, to Marcel Verstraete who supervised balloon testing and launching during much of the program, and to Jane Von Letkemann who patiently assembled these notes.

The analysis of trajectory data for the 85 GHOST flights is presently under way under the supervision of Samuel B. Solor. Complete trajectories will be published at a later date.

Vincent E. Lally

June 1967

I

CONTENTS

Forewor	rd.		• •	•••	•	•	•	iii
List o	f Fig	gures		• •	•	•	•	vii
List of	f Tal	bles		• •	•	•	•	ix
I.	THE	SUPERPRESSURE BALLOON HOW IT FLIES .	• •	• •	•	•	•	1
II.	BALI	LOON SHAPES AND STRESSES			•	•	•	3
	Α.	Prolate Spheroid	• •		•			3
	В.	Cylinder			•	•	•	4
	C.	Sphere			•	•	•	4
	D.	Tetroon	• •	•••	•	•	•	5
III.	FREI	E LIFT AND SUPERTEMPERATURE STRESSES	• •		•			6
	Δ.	Suprepressure Due to Free Lift						6
•	R R	Suprepressure Due to Supertemperature		• •	•	•	•	6
	c.	Stresses Produced by Superpressure		•••	•	•	•	9
	••	berebee riedadea by baperprebbare	• •	•••	•	•	•	-
IV.	BALI	LOON LIFE	• •	• •	•	•		10
	A.	Diffusion	• •					10
	В.	Leakage	•	• •	•	•	•	11
v.	THE	RADIATION ENVIRONMENT	• •		•	•	•	20
	Δ	Infrared Radiation						20
	B	Solar Radiation		••	•	•	•	22
	Ċ.	Temperature Control of Balloons			·			22
	D.	Temperature Control of Electronic Systems			•	•		23
	2.		•	•••	•	•	•	
VI.	BALI	LOON STABILITY	• •	• •	•	•	•	27
	Α.	Diurnal Changes in Altitude Due to Superte	emr	ber	atı	ıre	2.	27
	в.	Change in Altitude Due to Gas Loss						28
	с.	Creep	• •					28
	D.	Altitude Variation Due to Vertical Current	ts					31
	Ε.	Natural Oscillation Period of a Superpress	sui	re				
		Balloon		• •	•	•	•	33
VII.	SENS	SORS FOR USE ON SUPREPRESSURE FLIGHTS	• •			•	•	36
	Δ.	Temperature						36
	R R	Density	• •	•••	•	•	•	37
	с.	Pressure		•••	•	•	:	38
				-	-	-		

.

	D. E. F.	The S Wind Turb	Stra She ulen	in ar ace	Ga	ug • •	e/A • •	ir	Te ·	emp)e1 •	rat •	ur	:e •	Co ·	omt) ir • •	1at •	:ic	•	• •	• •	• • •		38 39 42
VIII.	MODI	ES OF	BAL	LOC	N	FA	ILU	RE		•	•		•	•		•			•	•				•	43
	Α.	Liqu	id W	late	er .	Ad	hes	io	n																43
	Β.	Supe	rcoc	led	l W	at	er,	I	ce	Cı	cy s	sta	als	38	inc	i E	rc	st			•		•		43
	С.	Meth	ods	of	Mi	ni	miz	inį	g I	Fai	11	ıre	9	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	44
IX.	BALI	LOON	resi	ING	; T	ECI	HN I	ิญบา	ES	•				•		•	•								49
	Α.	Inte	zrit	v T	'es	ts																			49
	в.	Leak	age	Tes	ts			•		•				•	•	•	•		•						51
	с.	Facto	ory	Tes	sti	ng				•						•			•						52
х.	BALI	LOON 1	MATE	RIA	LS		•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	54
	A.	Desi	red	Cha	ra	ct	eri	st	ics	3	•	•		•	•	•		•			•	•	•		54
	в.	Minin	num	Spe	ci	fi	cat	io	ns	fc	or	Ba	11	00	n	M٤	Ite	eri	a 1	. S	•	•	•	•	54
XI.	LOCA	TION	TEC	HNI	QU	ES		•	•	•			•	•		•			•			•	•		60
	A.	High	Fre	que	nc	v 1	Dir	eci	tic	on	Fi	ind	lin	ıg	(1	IFI)F)	ł					_		60
	в.	Sun 1	Elev	ati	.on	Â	ngl	e						•		•	•								61
	С.	HFDF	and	Su	in	E1	eva	ti	on	•													•		61
	D.	Lora	n C		•	•		•		•	•	•				•	•		•			•			62
	чЕ.	OMEG	Α.	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•		62
	F.	Sate	llit	e T	'ec	hn	iqu	es	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	63
Append	íxe s	•••	• •	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•		65
	List	t of f	Symb	ols	1	•	• •	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	67
Α.	Equa	ation	s fo	r B	al	100	on	De	sig	gn	ar	nd	Ba	11	.00	n	Pe	erf	or	ma	inc	e		•	69
В.	Sumn	n ar ie:	s fo	r B	al	10	on	F1:	igł	nts	s f	irc	m	Ch	ri	st	:ch	ur	ch		Ne	w			
	Ze	ealand	d	Ma	rc	h :	196	6	to	Mε	irc	:h	19	967		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	78
Referen	nces	••		•				•			•	•			•							•		. 1	167

vi

.

I

FIGURES

1.	Ascent rate of superpressure balloons for 10 and 20% free lift	7
2.	Diffusion of helium through a Mylar balloon	.4
3.	Creep as a function of stress	0
4.	Strain and stress variations on a floating balloon	2

.

. • . ` • . -.

TABLES

1.	Superpressure due to free lift
2.	Permeability (δ) of films to gas at $25^{\circ}C$
3.	Permeability of Mylar to helium
4.	Relative leakage rates of gases for three types of leaks 16
5.	Percentage gas loss per day for typical balloon sizes 17
6.	Estimated leakage rate as a function of hole size
7.	Estimated gas loss per day for typical balloons for various hole sizes
8.	Radiation from a black body as a function of temperature 21
9.	Radiation environment for superpressure balloon
10.	Altitude change with gas loss for typical superpressure balloon designs
11.	Altitude displacement of superpressure balloons as a function of vertical wind
12.	Wind shear on long lines

. . .

I. THE SUPERPRESSURE BALLOON -- HOW IT FLIES

Three types of balloons are in common use for scientific research or meteorological observations.

The <u>rubber or neoprene balloon</u> is used for vertical soundings either as a pilot balloon or as a radiosonde-carrying balloon. It is inflated with a lifting gas (hydrogen, helium, ammonia, or methane) and stretches as it ascends. When the material has stretched to three to six times the unstressed length (the balloon would then be thirty to two hundred times its original volume) the film ruptures.

The <u>zero-pressure plastic balloon</u> (most commonly polyethylene) is used to carry scientific instruments to a predetermined density level. The plastic balloon is only partially filled with gas on the ground. As the balloon ascends, the expanding gas fills the envelope. This type of balloon has some form of open appendix or duct to release the excess gas which provides the free lift for ascent. When the excess gas is forced out, the balloon floats at its constant-density altitude until there is a change in the radiation environment. At sunset the gas cools, the volume decreases, and the balloon descends to the ground unless ballast is released.

The <u>superpressure balloon</u> is a non-extensible balloon which is sealed to prevent gas release. It has converted the free-lift gas into superpressure by the time floating level is reached. Variations in the radiation environment produce changes in the superpressure, but not in the balloon volume. As long as the balloon is superpressured, it will continue to float at a constant-density level.

The superpressure balloons which have been fabricated to date utilize plastic materials which do stretch as the superpressure changes, producing small changes in balloon volume. In Section VI the variation

in float altitude because of changes in balloon volume is discussed. As gas diffuses through the balloon film or escapes through pin-hole leaks, the mass of the system changes. The effect of these changes on stability is also discussed in Section VI.

Any change in total mass of the balloon system will cause a variation in altitude. The system mass may increase because of the adhesion of liquid water to the balloon film; however, simple surface treatments can reduce the amount of water contained on the balloon to a negligible amount. The accretion of ice in the form of frost, ice crystals or frozen droplets resulting from impact of the balloon with supercooled water is a much more serious problem. No reliable technique has been developed for removing ice from the balloon film. If the balloon accumulates an amount of ice which exceeds the initial free lift of the system, the balloon will descend. A complete description of present knowledge of the modes of balloon failure and methods of minimizing icing is given in Section VIII.

ł

II. BALLOON SHAPES AND STRESSES

A balloon can be manufactured in any desired shape. When the balloon is superpressured, the stress distribution will vary over the surface, with the highest stresses in areas of maximum radii of curvature. Only the sphere provides uniform stress distribution over the entire surface. Intuitively, it would appear that the sphere is the most efficient shape for providing the largest enclosed volume at a given maximum stress and a given mass of material. However, constructional difficulties arise which can offset much of the geometric efficiency of the sphere. In addition, the application may be one where factors other than efficiency are of prime importance. For example, the cylinder is a simple structure which is less affected by vertical currents at low altitudes; the tetroon provides a compromise between ease of construction and design efficiency which makes it suitable for low-cost flight programs where maximum performance is not required.

A. THE PROLATE SPHEROID

The majority of shapes which are useful in the design of superpressure balloons can be considered as prolate spheroids. The sphere can be considered as a prolate spheroid in which the major and minor radii are equal. The cylinder can be considered as a prolate spheroid for which the major radius is very much larger than the minor radius. The volume of a prolate spheroid is:

$$V = \frac{4}{3} \pi a b^2$$

The surface area of the spheroid is:

$$A = 2\pi \left[b^2 + \frac{ab}{\epsilon} \arcsin \epsilon \right]$$

where a and b are the major and minor radii and $e^2 = 1 - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2$. The maximum meridional stress on a prolate spheroid is:

$$S_{m} = \frac{286 \text{ b} \Delta P}{\text{t}}$$

where

 S_m = meridional stress (psi) ΔP = superpressure (mb) t = film thickness (mils)

The maximum circumferential stress on a prolate spheroid is:

$$S_{c} = \frac{572 \text{ b}}{t} \left(1 - \frac{b^{2}}{2a^{2}}\right) \Delta P$$

A prolate spheroid with a fineness ratio of $3\left(\frac{a}{b}=3\right)$ appears to be an ideal vehicle for maintaining vertical stability at low altitudes. In Section VI the stability of such a balloon is compared with that of the sphere.

B. THE CYLINDER

The cylinder is an inefficient shape for a superpressure balloon, but it is simple to manufacture and provides a low drag relative to an equivalent sphere. It has application to low-altitude flight with light payloads. The circumferential stress on a long cylinder is:

$$S_{e} = \frac{572 r \Delta P}{t}$$

where r = radius (m), and other symbols are as above. The meridional stress on a long cylinder is:

$$S_{m} = \frac{286 r \Delta P}{t}$$

C. THE SPHERE

A sphere manufactured of homogeneous film without seals will have a uniform stress distribution over the entire surface which can be expressed as:

$$S = \frac{286 r \Delta P}{t}$$

Spherical balloons have always been fabricated from a series of flat gores. Usually 18 to 24 gores are used to approximate a sphere. The tapes which seal the gores provide additional meridional strength. The stress around the balloon equator can be approximated by the formula for homogeneous material. The stress from pole to pole will be approximately two-thirds the equatorial stress.

D. THE TETROON

The tetroon, or tetrahedron, is made from a cylinder whose circumference is equal to 2.31 times the cylinder length. The ends are sealed as pillows with the seams orthogonal to each other. A complete description of the tetroon is given in Ref. 1. The basic advantage of the tetroon is manufacturing simplicity. Stresses on the triangular faces are much higher than the stresses on a sphere. The tetroon is not considered further in this report.

III. FREE LIFT AND SUPERTEMPERATURE STRESSES

A. SUPERPRESSURE DUE TO FREE LIFT

A superpressure balloon is normally launched as a sealed container. It can be lifted to the desired altitude by a tow balloon or it can be inflated with an excess of lifting gas which is valved upon reaching altitude. This second alternate is undesirable for long duration flights since it adds a complicated device which may cause leakage. Fortunately, the free lift used to carry superpressure balloons aloft without assistance (10 to 20%) provides a satisfactory ascent rate. Figure 1 illustrates the variation of ascent rate with free lift for spherical balloons. This free lift becomes the superpressure at floating altitude (the "bank" of excess gas which is drawn upon to sustain flight for extended periods).

Equations 1 through 10 (Appendix A) provide the derivation of superpressure due to free lift. The superpressure due to free lift equals the percentage free lift times the atmospheric pressure at float <u>altitude</u>, if we define percentage free lift as the ratio of free lift to the gross system mass including the mass of the lifting gas. Table 1 relates percentage superpressure to percentage free lift for the most common definition in which the mass of the gas is not included in the system mass.

B. SUPERPRESSURE DUE TO SUPERTEMPERATURE

Equations 11 through 14 derive the relationship of superpressure to supertemperature. We can made a very good approximation that a 5% supertemperature produces a superpressure of 5%. It should be clearly noted that changes in air temperature do not directly affect the superpressure. The balloon floats along a constant-density surface. If the



Fig. 1 Ascent rate of superpressure balloons for 10 and 20% free lift

Table 1

SUPERPRESSURE DUE TO FREE LIFT *

Free lift ÷	Superpressure =	Free lift	
Balloon mass +	Atmospheric pressure	Balloon mass + payload m	ass + gas mass
payload mass	Helium	Hydrogen	
0.02	0.018	0.019	
0.04	0.035	0.038	
0.06	0.052	0.056	
0.08	0.070	0.075	
0.10	0.087	0.093	
0.12	0.104	0.111	
0.14	0.123	0.130	
0.16	0.138	0.148	
0.18	0.154	0.167	
0.20	0.172	0.185	
0.25	0.214	0.230	
0.30	0.251	0.276	•
0.40	0.336	0.366	
0.50	0.414	0.455	
0.60	0.493	0.540	
0.70	0.569	0.627	
0.80	0.642	0.715	
0.90	0.714	0.798	
1.00	0.784	0.876	
1.15	0.885	1.000	
1.32	1.000		

If free lift is defined as the ratio of free lift to <u>total</u> system mass, the free lift superpressure as a fraction of atmospheric pressure is equal to the free lift ratio. air temperature decreases, the balloon floats at a lower pressure -- but the superpressure does not change.

There are only two factors that produce superpressure -- the initial free lift and the difference between lifting gas temperature and air temperature, which we call supertemperature. Supertemperature is an awkward expression (especially since it is so often negative), but is it used in place of the more common but incorrect expression, superheat.

The supertemperature varies not only from night to day, but also during the day as the radiation environment changes. The extremes for polyester (polyethylene terephthalate) balloons measured in flights to date are over the range -5% (tropics, 500 mb, nighttime) to +10% (polar, 200 mb, daytime). The flight data are limited and actual extremes may be much larger. The sunset effect on these balloons has been measured at 3 to 5%. A more detailed analysis of supertemperature variations is included in Section VI.

C. STRESSES PRODUCED BY SUPERPRESSURE

The expression for the stress produced by free-lift superpressure and the supertemperature-produced superpressure is given by Eq. 17. In practical units this can be stated as:

$$S_{\text{sphere}} = \frac{286 \text{ r P}}{\text{t}} \left[f + (1 + f) \frac{\Delta T}{T} \right]$$

where

S = stress (psi) r = radius (m) P = atmospheric pressure t = film thickness (mils) f = free lift ratio $\frac{\Delta T}{T}$ = fractional superheat

IV. BALLOON LIFE

A. DIFFUSION

For the purpose of this report, we shall distinguish between two methods of gas loss from a superpressure balloon: diffusion and leakage. We define diffusion as the gas loss through the walls of a balloon that is without defect in material or assembly.

We define leakage as gas loss through defects in the balloon, whether these be pinholes, defective seals, or abrasions which permit a larger gas loss than is realized with film areas without imperfection.

When a plastic film contains a gas, the gas dissolves in the film and diffuses to the outside. The rate at which the gas passes through the barrier varies directly as the permeability of the film to the gas, δ , and the partial pressure, p, of the gas across the film, and inversely as the film thickness, t:

Rate of loss =
$$\frac{\delta pA}{t}$$

For a balloon, the percentage loss of gas per day can be obtained by dividing the rate of loss by balloon volume:

Per cent volume loss per day =
$$\frac{100\delta pA}{tV}$$

For a spherical balloon, the percentage loss of gas per day is:

Sphere per cent volume loss per day =
$$\frac{300\delta p}{rt}$$

where

 δ = film permeability (m³/m², mil/mb-day)

p = partial pressure of contained gas (mb)
r = balloon radius (m)
t = thickness of film (mils)
For a cylindrical balloon, the equation becomes:

Cylinder per cent volume loss per day = $\frac{200\delta p}{rt}$

The permeability of plastic films is a marked function of temperature. Permeability at low atmospheric temperatures (200°K) may be less than 1% of the value at high temperatures (300°K) .

Table 2 provides permeability data for a number of gases and films at 25°C. Table 3 gives specific data on the permeability of the polyester Mylar to helium at various temperatures. The values are derived from a number of sources. Since the permeability of Mylar to helium varies with the percent crystalinity of the Mylar film, the data available are not consistent. The data derived by Lally, Mellor, and Verstraete in extensive tests with inflated balloons indicate lower values than given by other sources, with the exception of the General Mills, Inc. tests made in 1960. These values are used in Table 3, since the combination of stressed film, bilamination, and taped areas appears to provide a lower overall diffusion than would be indicated from the other test results.

Figure 2 shows expected life of balloons at several altitudes using permeability values from Table 3 and an assumption of 10% gas loss to failure.

Circled points on the 500-mb and 200-mb curves are the designs which have been used in the initial GHOST test flights in the southern hemisphere. Life expectancies for balloons without defect are 180 days for a 2.5-mil balloon at 500 mb and 3 years for a 1.5-mil balloon at 200 mb.

B. LEAKAGE

Diffusion of gas through a plastic film is a function of the partial pressure of the gas contained within the film. Leakage is a function of

Table 2	Га	b	le	2
---------	----	---	----	---

PERMEABILITY	(δ)	OF	FILMS	TO	GASES	\mathbf{AT}	250	С
--------------	-----	----	-------	----	-------	---------------	-----	---

Film		Gas	a	
	He	Ha	02	Ng
Saran	7.5×10^{-7}	2.5×10^{-7}	1.2 × 10 ⁻⁸	2.5 × 10 ⁻⁹
	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.0005)	(0.0001)
Mylar	22×10^{-7}	15×10^{-7}	7.5×10^{-8}	1.2×10^{-8}
	(0.088)	(0.06)	(0.003)	(0.0005)
Polyethylene	185×10^{-7}	220 × 10 ⁻⁷	140 × 10 ⁻⁷	50×10^{-7}
	(0.74)	(0.86)	(0.55)	(0.20)

* First-line entries are δ in NCAR units; bracketed entries are in "trade" units. To convert from trade units to NCAR units, multiply by 2.5 \times 10⁻⁵.

NCAR units:
$$\frac{m^3 mil}{m^2 day mb}$$

 $\{ \phi_{i} \}_{i \in I}$

Trade units: $\frac{\text{std cc cm } 10^{-9}}{\text{sec cm}^2 \text{ cm Hg}}$

Temperature, T	Permeabil	lity, o
°K	<u>m³ mil</u> m ² day mb	$\frac{\text{std cc cm } 10^{-9}}{\text{sec cm}^2 \text{ cm Hg}}$
,300	22×10^{-7}	0.090
295	19	0.076
290	16	0.067
285	14	0.056
280	12	0.047
275	10	0.039
270	8.2	0.033
265	6.8	0.027
260	5.5	0.022
255	4.7	0.019
250	3.8	0.015
245	3.0	0.012
240	2.4	0.0095
235	1.9	0.0076
230	1.5	0.0058
225	1.1	0.0044
220	8.7 \times 10 ⁻⁸	0.0035
215	6.5	0.0026
210	5.0	0.0020

.

Table 3

PERMEABILITY OF MYLAR TO HELIUM



Fig. 2 Diffusion of helium through a Mylar balloon

the differential pressure, which we call either overpressure or superpressure. For "small" leaks, the flow through the orifice is molecular and is directly proportional to overpressure. For "large" leaks, the flow is proportional to the square of the overpressure. For "very large" leaks, the flow is turbulent and flow rate is proportional to the equare root of overpressure. Molecular flow occurs when the mean free path of the gas molecules exceeds the largest dimension of the hole. The mean free path for helium in the lower atmosphere is at all times less than 1 micron. A 1-micron hole will not produce a significant gas loss in a superpressure balloon in a period of a year. It is possible to have many hundreds of microholes in a plastic film which could cause serious gas loss, but the technique of laminating two sheets of film in balloon construction should eliminate such imperfections. The bilaminated film can develop holes in handling, manufacture, packing, and testing, but the number of holes that are introduced should be few.

The characteristics of leaks in plastic films have not been investigated. Extensive data have been taken on leaks through vacuum systems. Table 4 is derived in part from a paper by Nerken in Ref. 2. Data are provided on hydrogen, helium, air, and Freon 12, since any of these gases may be used either in testing for leaks or as lifting gases for flight.

Table 5 indicates the per cent gas loss per day for various balloon sizes as a function of leak rate. Table 6 provides estimates of leak rate as a function of hole size and superpressure.

Using Tables 5 and 6, we can combine to estimate the percentage leak rate at several altitudes for various hole sizes (Table 7).

The most common hole size produced in handling, packing, and shipping balloons was approximately 10^{-4} m (4 mils) in diameter. These holes were easily discovered with relatively crude testing techniques. In Section IX a complete description is given of presently used testing techniques and their sensitivity for detecting pinholes.

	Viscosity	Molecular	Flow rate re	elative to air	
Gas	$at 25^{\circ}C$ (air = 1)	weight (air = 1)	Leak: very large	large	small
			Flow: turbulent	viscous	molecular
Hydrogen	0.48	0.070	3.9	2.7	3.6
Helium	1.08	0.139	2.7	1.4	2.7
Air	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Freon 12	0.67	4.20	0.49	0.97	0.62

RELATIVE LEAKAGE RATES OF GASES FOR THREE TYPES OF LEAKS

Table 4

.

۰.

•

S	
Table	

.____

.

PERCENTAGE GAS LOSS PER DAY FOR TYPICAL BALLOON SIZES (500-mb, 200-mb, and 30-mb balloons)

Gas f	low	Percer	ıtage gas loss per d	ay
m ³ /dav	/ sar	B	ılloon Diameter	
		500 mb 1.5 m	200 mb 2 m	30 mb 6.7 m
10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³	0.005%	0.0025%	6 × 13 ⁻⁵ %
10 ⁻³	10-2	0.05	0.025	6×10^{-4}
10 ⁻²	10-1	0.5	0.25	6×10^{-3}
10 ⁻¹	7	5	2.5	6×10^{-2}
1	10	50	25	0.6
10	100	1	1	9
100	1000	-		60

9	
le	
Tab	

SIZE
HOLE
QF.
FUNCTION
A
AS
RATE
LEAKAGE
ESTIMATED

				Gas fl	оw m ³ /day		
Leak	Hole size	= dV	30 mb	= d\	10 mb	4 Δp	5 mb
		Air	Helium	Air	Helium	Air	Helium
Very small	10 ⁻⁵ m (0.4 mil)	3 × 10 ⁻⁴	4×10^{-4}	10 ⁻⁴	1.5 × 10 ⁻⁴	5 × 10 ⁻⁵	8 × 10 ⁻⁵
Pinhole	10 ⁻⁴ m (4 mils)	3 × 10 ⁻²	4 × 10 ⁻²	10-2	1.5 × 10 ⁻³	5×10^{-3}	8 × 10 ⁻³
Large	10 ^{-3 m} (1 mm)	7	Ŝ	. 1	£	0.7	2
Enormous	10 ⁻² m (1 cm)	175	500	100	270	70	200

Table 7

•

,

		Gas los:	s∕day% p€	er hole *	Expected	life for c	ne hole ª
Leak	Hole size	500 mb 1.5 m	200 mb 2 m	30 mb 6.7 m	500 mb 1.5 m	200 mb 2 m	30 mb 6.7 m
Very small	10 ⁻⁵ m (0.4 mil)	0.05%	0.01%	0.00005%	200	1000 days	200,000 days ^b
Pinhole	10 ⁻⁴ m (4 mils)	5	-4	0.005	2	10	2,000
Large	10 ⁻³ m (1 mm)	•	120	1.2	•	1	80
Enormous	10 ⁻² m (1 cm)	ł	ı	120	·	1	1

ESTIMATED GAS LOSS PER DAY FOR TYPICAL BALLOONS FOR VARIOUS HOLE SIZES

^a Assume 75-mb overpressure for the 500-mb balloon, 30-mb overpressure for the 200-mb balloon, and 5-mb overpressure for the 30-mb balloon.

^b Diffusion will limit life to 30,000 days.

V. THE RADIATION ENVIRONMENT

A. INFRARED RADIATION

We can consider the balloon and its payload in equilibrium with the radiation environment, in order to make a first estimate of temperature of the balloon skin or payload. The actual temperature will lie between the computed radiation temperature and the air temperature. At higher altitudes (above 20 km) and for large objects, the effects of air conduction and convection can be neglected.

We can estimate the radiation environment using the following simplified assumptions:

- 1) Downward radiation
 - a) Clouds above

•Downward flux equals blackbody radiation from a source whose temperature is the cloud base temperature (Table 8).

- b) Clear above
 - •Balloon above 15 km -- 10 w/m²
 - •Balloon between 10-15 km -- 20 w/m²
 - •Balloon below 10 km -- 40 w/m²
- 2) Upward radiation
 - a) Clouds below
 - •Upward flux equals blackbody radiation from a source whose temperature is the cloud top temperature (Table 8).
 - b) Clear below
 - •The upward radiation will vary from 150 w/m^2 to 450 w/m^2 depending on altitude and air mass. Table 9 provides estimates of upward flux for several altitudes and air masses.
- 3) Radiation from the sides
 - The radiation from the sides can be estimated as equal to blackbody radiation from a source whose temperature is the air temperature at balloon altitude (Table 8).

		Tał	ole	8
--	--	-----	-----	---

RADIATION FROM A BLACK BODY AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE

•

Temperature (°C)	Radiation flux (w/m ²)	Temperature (°C)	Radiation flux (w/m ²)
200	2846	50	619
195	2728	45	582
190	2613	40	546
185	2502	35	512
180	2395	30	480
175	2291	25	449
170	2190	20	419
165	2093	15	391
160	1999	10	365
155	1908	5	. 340
150	1821	0	316
145	1736	- 5	294
140	1655	-10	272
135	1576	-15	252
130	1500	-20	233
125	1427	-25	215
120	1357	- 30	198
115	1289	- 35	183
110	1224	-40	168
105	1161	-45	154
100	1101	- 50	141
95	1043	-55	129
90	988	-60	117
85	934	-65	107
80	883	-70	97
75	834	-75	88
70	787	-80	79
65	742	-85	71
60 55	700 658	-90	64

•

ς.

.

B. SOLAR RADIATION

Direct solar radiation at very high levels is 1400 w/m^2 . At 100 mb direct radiation is about 1200 w/m². At 700 mb a figure of 1000 w/m² may be used. If there are clouds below the balloon, the indirect radiation reflected true the clouds may be as high as 800 w/m² directed upward.

At altitudes of 12 km and above, cirrus clouds rarely produce more than 10% reduction in incoming solar radiation.

C. TEMPERATURE CONTROL OF BALLOONS

Nighttime radiation temperature for a spherical balloon may be calculated from the following equation:

$$[\alpha_i + (1 - \alpha_i)\alpha_i](\Phi_D \pi r^2 + \Phi_U \pi r^2 + 2 \Phi_S \pi r^2) = \alpha_i 4\pi r^2 \Phi_r$$

where α_i is the absorptivity of the film in the infrared, Φ_D , Φ_U , Φ_S , and Φ_r are the down, up, side, and reradiated flux, respectively.

For films which are highly absorptive in the infrared, this equation simplifies to:

$$(\Phi_{\rm D} + \Phi_{\rm H} + 2\Phi_{\rm S}) = 4\Phi_{\rm r}$$

Film temperature may be computed from the reradiated flux, using Table 8.

It should be noted that the radiation temperature is independent of the absorptivity for materials which are more than 80% absorptive in the infrared.

A balloon with a reflective coating will have the same radiation temperature at night as a "black" balloon. A balloon which is relatively transparent in the infrared will have a higher radiation temperature than the black balloon, since it absorbs radiation which penetrates one side. However, the reflective balloon and the transparent balloon will each take on a temperature which is closer to the air temperature than that
of the "black" balloon, since the total energy absorbed and reradiated is much less than for the "black" balloon. A balloon with a metalized cap will operate 10 to 15°C hotter than a balloon of 100% polyester material.

The effect of the sum is to produce an increase in absorbed radiation and an increase in film temperature. The added flux absorbed from sunlight for a "transparent" balloon is:

$$\Phi_{sun} \alpha_0 \ 2 \ \pi r^2 = \Delta \Phi_r \ 4 \pi r^2$$

or

$$\Delta \Phi_{\rm r} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm o}}{2} \Phi_{\rm sun}$$

where $\Delta \Phi_r$ is the added flux reradiated, and α_0 is the absorptivity in the visible spectrum.

For a polyester balloon ($\alpha_0 = 0.05$), the added flux is $0.025 \Phi_{sun}$. This added flux varies from a minimum of 25 w/m² for a low-altitude balloon above a water surface, to 45 w/m² for a high-altitude balloon above a continuous cloud deck. For a polyester balloon ($\alpha_1 = 0.85$), the temperature increase required to radiate 1 w/m² of flux at -50°C is 0.4° C; the temperature increase required to radiate 1 w/m² at 0°C is 0.25° C. Maximum solar heating on a high-altitude balloon (30 mb) will be 20°C, and a typical increase will be 12°C. At the lower altitudes, an average temperature increase due to solar radiation will be 8 to 10°C.

Table 9 indicates the radiation environment for several altitudes and seasons.

D. TEMPERATURE CONTROL OF ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

Control of small electronic packages during daytime hours is a simple matter. The skin temperature of the package can be controlled within narrow limits by use of controlled surface blackness. It can be

Table 9

RADIATION ENVIRONMENT FOR SUPERPRESSURE BALLOON

Altitude	Season	Air	Average nighttime clear sky		Mylar balloon (a ₀ = 0.05)			
		Temperature °C	balloon supe Mylar balloon °C	Metalized top balloon, ^o C	Temperature in- crease per w/m ² increment, [°] C	Maximum added solar flux w/m ²	Maximum daytime temperature in- crease, [°] C	
	Temperate, winter	- 10	0	+5	0.24	35	8	
3 km (700 mb)	Temperate, summer	5	-3	+2	0.21	35	8	
	Tropic	10	-5	0	0.20	35	8	
	Temperate, winter	- 30	· 0	+8	0.30	35	10	
6 km (500 mb)	Temperate, summer	-15	-5	+5	0.27	35	9	
	Tropic	-5	-10	+2	0.25	35	9	
	Temperate, winter	- 50	+5	+15	0.36	40	14	
9 km (300 mb)	Temperate, summer	- 35	-5	+7	0.34	40	13	
	Tropic	- 30	- 10	+2	0.34	40	13	
	Temperate, winter	- 55	+10	+20	0.36	45	16	
12 km (200 mb)	Temperate, summer	-55	+10	+20	0.36	45	16	
	Tropic	-50	+5	+15	0.36	45	16	
16 km (100 mb)	Temperate, winter	-60	+5		0.42	45	19	
	Temperate, summer	-65	+10		0.42	45	19	
	Tropic	-80	+15		0.47	45	21	
	Temperate, winter	-55	-5		0.45	45	20	
24 km (30 mb)	Temperate, summer	-55	-5		0.45	45	20	
	Tropic	- 55	-5		0.45	45	20	

÷

assumed that the nighttime skin temperature will equal the air temperature, with an error which will seldom exceed 10° C. The largest deviation from this assumption occurs in the altitude range from 12 to 18 km where the nighttime temperature of the package will be 5 to 10° warmer than the air temperature.

If it is desired to maintain warm temperatures at night, the electronic package must contain the following:

a) An insulated container which restricts heat loss to a few watts across the temperature gradient to the cold environment.

b) A thermal mass of sufficient capacity to maintain a reasonable temperature within the container during the entire night. Water provides the best thermal mass if the system is heated sufficiently to melt all ice during daytime hours. One kilogram of water provides 93 w-hr of heating capacity through heat of fusion.

c) A combination of skin temperature control and external heating, introduced to the package during daytime hours, which is sufficient to balance the heat loss during the night.

It is possible to produce higher skin temperatures than are realized with a black body, by use of a silver sulfide coating on the package. This coating has an absorption of 80% or better in the visible but it emits at less than 30% efficiency in the infrared. A blackbody surface perpendicular to the sun will reach a temperature of 100° C. The silver sulfide surface, because of its poor emissivity, will reach a radiation temperature of 140° C. The insulation used within the container must be capable of withstanding these temperatures without deterioration. Polyurethane foam, which can withstand temperatures up to 200° C without deterioration, is one of the most suitable insulating materials for use in maintaining nighttime temperatures.

It must be emphasized that any means of maintaining warmer temperatures at night requires a thermal mass and, consequently, a substantial amount of material. Such a mass might introduce hazards to aircraft. The ultimate goal in the development of electronic systems and power

sources must be a system which will operate at ambient air temperature at whatever level the balloon flies. With such systems the problem in daytime temperature control will be to minimize the solar effect so that the system will maintain a constant temperature day and night. The use of paints which are reflective in the visible, and black in the infrared, permits such temperature control without any difficulty.

VI. BALLOON STABILITY

A. DIURNAL CHANGES IN ALTITUDE DUE TO SUPERTEMPERATURE

Equations 18 through 27 (Appendix A) derive the relationship of altitude changes to volume changes. For a polyester sphere, 1% change in supertemperature will produce a change of 0.15% in volume. Any change in volume will produce an identical change in density at which the balloon floats. A 1% change in altitude equals approximately 0.3T m in altitude, where T is temperature in $^{\circ}$ K.

The altitude variation due to supertemperature change on a spherical balloon can be approximated as:

$\Delta Z = 4.5\Delta(\Delta T)$

As a simple approximation, we can state that $\underline{a \ 1^{\circ}C}$ change in supertemperature will produce a 4.5-m change in altitude for a typical spherical balloon.

Variation in temperature during the day may be as much or greater for a Mylar balloon as the day-night effect. Maximum differences observed have been 6° C, but data are too limited to allow us to set realistic limits. For balloon design, a 10° C ($\pm 5^{\circ}$ C) variation is assumed in supertemperature due to changes in the infrared environment.

Diurnal changes in altitude due to supertemperature may be summarized as:

a) A predictable day-night altitude change of 30 to 40 m.

b) An "unpredictable" variation in the radiation environment on the order of ± 20 m.

B. CHANGE IN ALTITUDE DUE TO GAS LOSS

Equations 28 through 31 derive the relationship which permits a balloon to lose gas without any change in altitude. Table 10 shows the change in altitude produced by a 10% loss in gas for balloons designed to fly at several altitudes. For all practical balloon designs, the altitude change due to gas loss can be neglected.

C. CREEP

All plastic materials exhibit some degree of creep under stress. Creep is the permanent elongation of the plastic film when stressed. The relationship between stress and strain is defined by the modulus of elasticity, which is a function of temperature. Non-elastic creep is a function of stress and temperature as well, but also depends strongly on the prior history of the material.

Plastics exhibit markedly different characteristics above and below the "glass-transition" temperature: at higher temperatures they have "plastic" characteristics; at lower temperatures they behave more like a glass. Plastics creep under stress much more than glasses. The "glass-transition" temperature for polyesters is about 350° K -- thus, the polyesters are "glassy" substances at all temperatures of importance in ballooning.

Tests have been conducted at room temperature on bilaminated Mylar strips to measure creep. Results are shown in Fig. 3. Creep will be less at the lower temperatures which balloons experience at float altitude.

Tentative results can be summarized as:

- •Creep under moderate stress reduces with time, becoming negligible after two weeks. The time constant is about two days at room temperature for stresses between 5,000 and 10,000 psi. Total creep is 3.3% at 10,000 psi and 0.25% at 5,000 psi.
- •A balloon may be prestressed to minimize creep. This procedure will also relieve stresses produced in balloon manufacture.

Τa	ь	le	10	

ALTITUDE CHANGE WITH GAS LOSS FOR TYPICAL SUPERPRESSURE BALLOON DESIGNS					
Altitude	Balloon diameter (m)	Film thickness (mils)	Altitude change for 10% gas loss (m)		
6 km	1.5	3.0	+ 5		
(500 mb)	1.5	2.5	-17		
9 km	2.26	3.0	+13		
(300 mb)	2.0	2.0	-15		
12 km	2.26	2.0	+12		
(200 mb)	2.0		0		
16 km	3.0	1.5	+22		
(100 mb)	2.5	1.0	+ 4		
24 km	5.5	1.5	+15		
(30 mb)	3.5		+19		





•If a balloon is prestressed at 10,000 psi for one week at room temperature and is not stressed at float altitude beyond 7,500 psi, creep will be negligible over a period of one year.

Figure 4 shows variation in strain measured over a period of five days on a balloon floating at 500 mb. This balloon was highly stressed and showed very large creep. The time constant appears to be three days for this balloon rather than the two days observed in room temperature measurements. This apparent increase is due to the reduction of stress at night, so that only 50% of the time was the balloon stressed at the higher and more critical values above 9,000 psi.

D. ALTITUDE VARIATION DUE TO VERTICAL CURRENTS

The altitude variation for a sphere as derived in Eqs. 32 through 36 is:

$$\Delta Z = \frac{1.15 \text{ C}_{\text{D}} \text{ Tv}^2}{r}$$

where

 ΔZ = incremental change in altitude (m) C_D = drag coefficient T = temperature at Z (^OK) v = vertical wind velocity (m/sec) r = balloon radius (m)

For a prolate spheroid balloon, the equation is:

$$\Delta Z = \frac{1.15 \text{ C}_{\text{D}} \text{ Tv}^2}{a}$$

where

 ΔZ = incremental change in altitude (m) C_D = drag coefficient T = temperature at Z (^oK) v = vertical wind velocity (m/sec) a = major radius (m)



Fig. 4 Strain and stress variations on a floating balloon

Table 11 provides data on the deviation from the buoyant altitude as a function of vertical wind velocity for spheres and for a prolate spheroid with a fineness ratio of 3. The vertical excursion is negligible for vertical winds less than 0.5 m/sec for the spherical balloon, but becomes quite serious for vertical winds in excess of 1 m/sec.

At lower altitudes where convection may be severe, the balloon may move with the updrafts and downdrafts. We can make a streamlined superpressure balloon for which the response will be less than 10% of the response of an equivalent spherical balloon. The streamlined shape is recommended for all altitudes up to 700 mb. Above 700 mb the streamlined shape becomes too large and unwieldy.

E. NATURAL OSCILLATION PERIOD OF A SUPERPRESSURE BALLOON

If a superpressure balloon is displaced from its buoyant altitude, Z_s , there is a restoring force which is proportional to the balloon volume and the density difference:

$$m \frac{d^2 Z}{dt^2} = -Vg(\rho_s - \rho)$$

or

$$\frac{d^2 Z}{dt^2} = -g \frac{(\rho_e - \rho)}{\rho}$$

$$\frac{\rho_{e} - \rho}{\rho} = \frac{\left(P - \frac{\partial T}{\partial Z} - T - \frac{\partial P}{\partial Z}\right) Z}{PT}$$

$$\frac{d^{2}Z}{dt^{2}} = \frac{-g\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial Z} - \frac{T}{P} \cdot \frac{\partial P}{\partial Z}\right)Z}{T}$$

Table 11

Vertical	ΔZ (m)					
wind speed	700 mb sphere	700 mb prolate spheroid	500 mb sphere	200 mb sphere		
(m/sec)	$C_{\rm D} = 0.5$ T = 269°K r = 0.75 m	$C_{D} = 0.05$ T = 269°K a = 1.5 m b = 0.5 m	$C_{\rm D} = 0.5$ T = 252°K r = 0.75 m	$C_{\rm D} = 0.5$ T = 217°K r = 1.0 m		
0.1	2 m	0.1 m	2 m	1.3 m		
0.5	51 m	2.5 m	48 m	,31.0 m		
1.0	206 m	10.0 m	193 m	125.0 m		
2.0	824 m	42.0 m				
5.0	5,150 m	260.0 m				

ALTITUDE DISPLACEMENT OF SUPERPRESSURE BALLOONS AS A FUNCTION OF VERTICAL WIND

The value for T is taken from the U. S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962.

•

.

This is the familiar equation for simple harmonic motion with the period of oscillation, τ , equal to:

$$\tau = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{T}{g\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial Z} - \frac{T}{P} \cdot \frac{\partial \dot{z}}{\partial Z}\right)}}$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$\tau = 2 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + 3.42 \times 10^{-2}}}$$

since

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial Z} = -3.48 \times 10^{-3} \frac{P}{T} g$$

For an isothermal region $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial Z} = 0\right)$, the expression for period of oscillation simplifies to:

$$\tau = 11 \sqrt{T}$$

The natural oscillation period for a superpressure balloon in an isothermal atmosphere varies from 150 sec at -75° C to 180 sec at 0° C. An adiabatic lapse rate $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial Z} = -10^{-2} \ ^{\circ}$ C/m $\right)$ will increase the period by approximately 15%.

VII. SENSORS FOR USE ON SUPERPRESSURE FLIGHTS

A. TEMPERATURE

It has been assumed that the lack of ventilation on a superpressure balloon makes it difficult to measure temperature accurately. However, extensive tests by several investigators concerned with measurement of air temperature from rocketsondes have shown that above 40 km convection is not a significant factor in reducing radiation errors. At lower altitudes, errors can be made sufficiently small that the absence of forced convection is not critical. Thermistors developed for rocketsonde applications are directly useful for measurement from floating balloons at all altitudes of interest, with errors not exceeding 1° C.

The small metalized-bead thermistor will provide acceptable temperature measurements on a superpressure balloon to altitudes above 10 mb. Difficulties with this sensor are long-term drift effects and selfheating. The delicate lead contacts are the principal cause of longterm drift for the small bead thermistor. The small size of the bead makes it very sensitive to self-heating errors introduced from the measuring circuits. Great care must be exercised in minimizing the current flow through the bead.

We have found a 10-mil aluminized rod thermistor to be entirely satisfactory to altitudes of 30 mb. This thermistor is much less sensitive to self-heating effects and shows superior long-term stability.

The conventional ML-419 radiosonde thermistor which is 30 mils in diameter provides acceptable temperature measurements to altitudes of at least 200 mb. The lead carbonate coating on this element is black in the infrared and as a result the instrument is too sensitive to the infrared radiation environment. The rod thermistor should be mounted vertically for superpressure balloon flight. This mounting minimizes infrared errors, since the element is "looking" at the surrounding air rather than at ground and space. This mounting is especially important for the ML-419 element. The vertical mount of the rod thermistor permits a correction (if this is considered necessary) for solar heating, since the angle of the sun can be computed at any time. With the vertical mounting a variation in apparent temperature during the day as a function of sun angle provides an accurate measurement of the total solar effect.

The most critical element in measuring temperature of a superpressure balloon is the location of the sensor. It should be located well below the balloon to prevent measurement errors caused by "chimney" currents flowing over the balloon. If the balloon is considerably colder than the atmosphere, the below-balloon mounting will introduce errors; however, this effect is important only at altitudes above 30 km where the balloon at night may be 10 or 20° colder than the air. The element should be mounted on a boom, removed from the electronic package by a distance not less than two to three times the largest package dimension. If the package is black in order to maintain daytime heating, the ML-419 element becomes unacceptable unless it can be removed completely from the influence of the package.

With care, air temperature measurements can be made to an accuracy of 0.5° C or better at all altitudes where superpressure balloons will be flown.

B. DENSITY

The superpressure balloon floats at a constant density level. Equations 39 and 40 indicate the method of determining volume, using strain gauge measurements. Density is computed from the relationship of mass to volume. Density can be computed to an accuracy of 0.25% absolute (15 m). The variation in density can be computed to 0.1% (6 m) by monitoring the variation in strain on the balloon.

C. PRESSURE

$$P = k \rho T *$$
$$T* = T + f \frac{es}{p} \times 0.38$$

At 500 mb the maximum virtual temperature difference $(T^* - T)$ is 0.45° C. At 300 mb the maximum virtual temperature difference is 0.06° C. At higher altitudes the difference is negligible. Assuming at 500 mb or lower that humidity is estimated or measured to within 30%, humidity errors will not contribute to the pressure error. No correction is needed at higher altitudes than 500 mb.

Since density is known to 0.25% and temperature to 0.2%, the pressure is known to 0.3% accuracy (20 m).

Variations in pressure should be measurable to 0.15% (10 m).

D. THE STRAIN GAUGE/AIR TEMPERATURE COMBINATION

1. Balloon Stress

$$S = E \frac{\Delta l_s}{l_0}$$

where $\frac{\Delta l_s}{l_0}$ is the balloon strain. Note that the measured value, $\frac{\Delta l}{l}$, includes a creep factor which must be estimated.

$$\frac{\Delta l_s}{l_0} = \frac{\Delta l}{l_0} - \frac{\Delta l_c}{l_0}$$

Stress variations can be measured to 0.05% on a balloon which is no longer creeping. (Creep time constant is between two and three days.)

The absolute value of stress will not be known to better than 5% because of uncertainties in the value of E and of the absolute creep from time of original measurements of l_0 and V_0 .

2. Balloon Superpressure

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \frac{\mathbf{St}}{\mathbf{r}}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{E} \frac{\Delta k_{\star}}{k_{\mathrm{D}}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{r}}$$

The value of overpressure should be known to 5% because of uncertainties in the total amount of creep. Overpressure variations can be measured to better than 0.1%.

3. Balloon Supertemperature

Superpressure = free lift + supertemperature - gas loss superpressure - gas loss

$$\Delta P = \frac{F}{M} P + \left(\frac{T_g - T}{T}\right) P + \frac{\Delta M_g}{M_g} P$$

The absolute value of supertemperature is computed from superpressure, initial free lift and gas loss. Gas loss can be estimated on a long flight within 2%. Supertemperature can be measured to the accuracy of superpressure (5%). Since short-term variations in superpressure are entirely due to supertemperature, supertemperature variations can be measured to 0.1% or better.

This sensitivity should permit accurate estimates of the variation in the radiation environment.

E. WIND SHEAR

The superpressure balloon provides an ideal platform for making wind measurements. A long line may be deployed below the balloon and the wind can be measured at any point along the line. At the point which may be considered the drag center of the balloon-line system, the average wind will be zero. The balloon will be exposed to a small relative wind since it will be above this drag center. A line 3500 m long below a 300-mb balloon would permit measurement at 400 and 500 mb using wind, temperature and pressure sensors deployed along the line. It would take a strong, weighted line, however, to probe deeply. Shorter lines can be used to measure wind shear and data can be extrapolated to lower altitudes without appreciable error, provided the balloon is flying below the tropopause. An assumption of uniform wind shear over an altitude of 3,000 m is appropriate in the altitude range of 5,000 to 10,000 m, especially in temperate latitudes during the winter season.

Table 12 indicates the level of zero wind for line lengths between 100 and 1000 m for a flight level of 400 mb, assuming a balloon with a cross section of 3 m² and a 6-lb breaking strength, monofilament nylon line with a diameter of 2.3×10^{-4} m. Relative wind velocity on the balloon and the bottom of the line is also indicated in terms of wind shear.

Maximum line length should not exceed 600 m because of the blow-up in line tilt at the balloon for strong shears when a longer line is used. Wind shear can be measured with a wind sensor at the line bottom or at the balloon. For a 600-m line of 6-lb strength, the shear can be measured using a formula resembling:

$$\sigma = 10^{-2} V_{\rm b}$$

where σ is the shear in sec⁻¹ and V_b is the balloon velocity in m/sec. Since wind velocity at the balloon will be 10^{-1} m/sec for a shear of $10^{-3} \sec^{-1}$, we need a low starting speed anemometer. The simplest solution is to equip the balloon with cups. The balloon will rotate at an angular rate such that the cup speed will be about one-third wind speed. We have an ideal, frictionless anemometer.

The deployment of a 600-m line from a small superpressure balloon appears to be a formidable problem. However, the balloon and its associated electronics can be launched in the normal manner while a slack string is run off a spinning reel. If winds are strong, the line tends to drop to the ground. Judicious placement of 10-gm balloons (fastened with masking tape) along the line keeps it from falling. When the end

Table 12

Line length, L (m)	Fractional distance from balloon to zero shear point, x	Distance from balioon to zero wind (m)	Wind on balloon (S)	Wind on bottom of line (S)
100	0.14	14	14 ^b	86
200	0.15	30	30	170
400	0.16	64	64	336
600	0.18	108	108	492
800	0.19	152	152	648
1000	0.20	200	200	800

WIND SHEAR ON LONG LINES *

^A For a balloon of 3 m² cross section. Nylon monofilament (6-1b breaking strength) line diameter = 2.3×10^{-4} m.

^b S wind shear (sec⁻¹) (S = 2 × 10^{-2} sec⁻¹)



of the line with its payload is reached, the payload is released on a 30-gm balloon which has a slow leak. The payload and line move off with no danger of striking the ground. As the system ascends, the 30-gm balloon loses its lift and transfers the payload to the main balloon. The 10-gm balloons shatter during ascent.

Five long-train balloon launches have been made without difficulty from a parking lot in Boulder, Colorado, in light to moderate winds using this deployment technique. Two of the launches were with balloons equipped with cup anemometers. The longest train launched was 2500 m.

F. TURBULENCE

The superpressure balloon does not provide, <u>per se</u>, a suitable platform for turbulence measurements. The balloon is moving in the air stream and does not undergo measurable accelerations for turbulence of long wavelengths. Small-scale turbulence can be measured using suitable sensors on the vehicle. For example, a black disk which was used to measure sun angle on a number of flights from Japan reached temperatures from 50 to 100° C above ambient. Small-scale turbulence produced cooling of the black disk. It was possible to correlate turbulence with the frequency and amplitude of temperature variations, but only in a qualitative manner.

The best means of measuring turbulence from the balloon platform would appear to be through measurement of wind shear over an appropriate distance, since the turbulence cells will produce a vertical wind shear which can be correlated with the vertical winds. The device can be a very sensitive indicator of turbulence for cell dimensions of the same order as the line length. The line length can be adjusted to permit measurements of clear air turbulence over the dimension range significant for aircraft operations.

VIII. MODES OF BALLOON FAILURE

A. LIQUID WATER ADHESION

Balloons flying below the freezing level can accumulate enough water on the balloon surface to overcome the free lift if the balloon is small and the surface untreated. An untreated balloon can retain as much as 50 gm of liquid water for each meter squared of surface area. However, balloons with a surface treatment such as Carnauba wax will retain no more than 5 gm/m² of surface. Wax treatments will undoubtedly deteriorate with time, but a chemical treatment now available will maintain the water-repelling characteristics of the balloon film surface for periods in excess of six months. With the use of surface treatments, adhesion of liquid water should not produce balloon failure.

The pressure of a continuing heavy downpour of rain can drive a spherical balloon down to the ground. A cylinder balloon is much less susceptible to this type of damage. A balloon caught in strong convection can be forced up to an altitude where it is overstressed and destroyed, or it can be forced down to the surface by a strong downdraft. The best procedure for minimizing this effect at the low altitudes is to use a shaped balloon. The improvement factor using a prolate spheroid with a fineness ratio of 3 is illustrated in Section VI, D.

B. SUPERCOOLED WATER, ICE CRYSTALS AND FROST

Reliable superpressure balloon flight has not yet been achieved in the region from the freezing level to an altitude of approximately 10 km. The freezing level can vary from ground level to an altitude of 5 km. Below the freezing level the major hazards are violent storms and mountains. Above the freezing level and below 10 km clouds contain supercooled water droplets. If a supercooled droplet touches the balloon,

it freezes instantly and adheres strongly. No methods have yet been developed which will release the ice accumulations formed by the freezing of supercooled water. Mechanical motions and chemical treatments can remove layers of ice from the balloon when the ice film has integrity, but by that time the ice load is heavier than the balloon can carry. Supercooled water exists at temperatures down to -40° . A number of investigators cite evidence of supercooled water at lower temperatures than -40° , but their findings have not been generally accepted. Above 10 km temperatures are usually below -40° and it appears a reasonable assumption that supercooled water does not pose a hazard.

At altitudes above 10 km it is possible for ice crystals to be attracted to the balloon film because of charge differences. A settling of sufficient ice crystals on the surface of the balloon could cause the balloon to descend and fail. The French have some evidence that this was the mode of failure for two balloons flying in the tropics at the altitude of 10 km.

At night the superpressure balloon is warmer than the air temperature at all altitudes between 10 and 20 km. Between 5 and 10 km the superpressure balloon is usually colder at night than the atmospheric temperature. On one flight in the tropics at an altitude of 5.5 km, the balloon was 10° colder at night than air temperature. This effect was so pronounced that the balloon temperature remained 1 to 2° colder than air temperature during daylight hours. It is apparent that a balloon in this environment can accumulate a frost coating at night even in the absence of clouds, provided the dew point is higher than the film temperature.

We do not yet know which of the three mechanisms (supercooled water, ice crystals, or frost) is the principal factor in reduction of balloon life between 5 and 10 km.

C. METHODS OF MINIMIZING FAILURE

The methods to be used in reducing flight failures between 5 and 10 km should be based on understanding of the mechanism of failure.

Lacking such knowledge, we can attempt a number of solutions: if one solution succeeds, it will help to determine the failure mode.

There are three approaches to overcome the ice problem. We can fly the balloon above the icing level with a long line permitting measurement in the areas which are not safe for balloon operation. We can permit the balloon to descend to the surface or to a lower level where it may shed the ice and return to its flight level. Or we can allow rapid ascent of the balloon above the level of icing once the danger is detected, and return to flight level at a later time. The first of these solutions is described in Section VII, F. With this technique wind shear is measured over a vertical distance of several thousand feet, permitting extrapolation to even lower levels. The other two methods are described below.

1. The Pontoon or Yoyo Balloon

The French, in preliminary project EOLE experiments, have successfully demonstrated the pontoon technique, which consists of carrying a float 100 m or more below the balloon. When the balloon ices up, it descends to the ocean surface. The pontoon has sufficient mass so that its buoyancy as it skims the water overcomes the icing load. When the ice breaks loose or melts from the balloon surface, the balloon and its pontoon will ascend again to the design float altitude.

There are two disadvantages to this scheme. It is usable only over ocean areas (in the southern hemisphere this is scarcely a disadvantage), and the balloon will probably ascend again into the same critical environment and be forced down again. A successful pontoon system must be very rugged to overcome the stresses of these repeated returns to the ocean surface. A modification of this method which will be tested on future EOLE experiments is the replacement of the pontoon with a small superpressure balloon which becomes overpressured at a very low altitude. It is hoped this system will permit the descent of the balloon to an altitude below the freezing level where it will regain its lift after shedding ice and return to design altitude. If this method performs successfully it will permit recovery over land areas and will minimize stressing of the balloon system.

2. The Cannibal-loon

An alternative to attempting to recover at lower altitude is a balloon system which will rapidly ascend above the icing level whenever icing danger is sensed. Release of ballast from a superpressure balloon will not permit the balloon to ascend unless lift gas is valved from the system. Such a solution could be used only once and there would be no way of returning the balloon to its design altitude. However, it is possible to fly a two-balloon system in which the helium-filled balloon is zero-pressure and always has an excess of volume, and the control balloon is a superpressure balloon filled with air. This air balloon can be considered an "anchor" which holds the helium balloon to a fixed altitude. If air is vented from the anchor balloon, the balloon system rises and the superpressure remains constant. If air is forced into the anchor balloon the overpressure does not increase but the balloon descends to a lower altitude. It is thus possible to control such an anchor balloon system to float at any altitude, to ascend rapidly, and to return to its design altitude, provided there is some means of pumping air back into the anchor balloon.

There are two disadvantages to this balloon system. The total surface area is larger than for a single balloon, thus increasing the area on which ice may form; and the balloon system when uncontrolled floats at a higher altitude during daytime hours than at night, since the supertemperature of the helium balloon during daytime provides additional lift which can only be overcome by moving the anchor balloon to a higher altitude where its apparent weight increases.

Both defects can be overcome by swallowing the helium balloon within the superpressure anchor balloon -- thus the name "cannibal-loon."

Since the system volume is constant, the cannibal-loon will float at a constant density altitude at all times in the absence of venting or pumping. This system has a number of other advantages:

•The balloon can be launched fully inflated, permitting test prior to launch in inflated condition. Launch can be made in high winds without balloon damage. Brittle materials such as metals and glass can be used.

- The helium membrane is not overpressured and pinholes will not cause gas loss. The membrane can be made of material with low permeability for helium regardless of material strength.
- The outer film may have leaks without affecting performance. (A leak causes the balloon to go up.)
- With the use of an air pump the balloon can be lowered to any desired altitude. When pumping stops, it will fly at a constant density surface.
- With the use of a valve the balloon can be raised quickly to any desired altitude. When icing is sensed, the balloon can quickly rise to a higher altitude out of the icing environment. It can be pumped down during daylight hours to its assigned altitude after it has moved out of the icing area.

The manner of operation of the cannibal-loon is as follows:

a) The balloon is launched fully inflated with a value open, permitting air to be exhausted as the balloon ascends.

b) The value is closed by a pressure switch when the balloon reaches its design altitude.

c) When danger is indicated by a 100% humidity detection, the valve is reopened and the balloon ascends until it is out of the danger area.

d) During daylight hours a simple air pump is operated, forcing the balloon back to its design altitude. This air pump is operated at all times when the ice sensor indicates "no danger," as long as the balloon remains above its design altitude.

A simple air pump has been designed, consisting of a rigid rotating chamber with half its surface black. One-way valves bring air into the chamber and force air into the balloon. Rotation is most easily obtained by slowly turning the entire balloon system. Tests are underway on designs which will not be a hazard to aircraft. The pump will take up to two days to return the balloon to a 400-mb level if it has escaped to 200 mb. This delay is not a disadvantage, since it is better to delay return until the balloon has moved far from the danger area. This procedure will permit a much more rapid and efficient deployment of balloons around the hemisphere from a single launch site than can be achieved if balloons are always flying at the design level where wind speeds may be lower.

This technique can provide a programmed radiosonde-type reading during ascent and an accumulation of long-term average data over the entire altitude range during the slow descent, provided that communications and location are controlled from a geostationary satellite.

A metalized cap on a polyester balloon will increase the nighttime temperature by 10 to 15° K if skies are clear above. If a principal failure mechanism is the collection of frost when the film temperature drops below the dew point on a clear night, the metalized cap covering one-third of the film area may provide the necessary margin of safety to prevent frost accumulation.

÷

IX. BALLOON TESTING TECHNIQUES

There are two basic kinds of tests for balloons: integrity tests which determine whether the balloon is a tight vehicle or not, and leak detection tests in which the objective is to determine the specific location of leaks so that they may be repaired.

A. INTEGRITY TESTS

Integrity tests can only be justified if a majority of the balloons which are tested successfully pass the test. If most balloons fail the test, it is essential that leakage tests be made to determine the specific location of leaks. If most of the balloons do have leaks, it would appear appropriate to run a leak detection test prior to an integrity test. A major advantage of the integrity test is the assurance, if a balloon passes, that no leaks above a threshold level exist in the balloon. There is always the chance in a leak detection test that a leak may be overlooked.

Three types of integrity tests have been used to date:

1. Helium Lift Tests

This test procedure is useful only for small balloons and requires one to two days. The balloon is inflated with helium in a cold room so that it is slightly underpressure. The lift of the balloon is then measured. Measurements are repeatable to an accuracy of 0.1 gm for 2000 gm lift, if there is no air motion in the room and if the wall temperature and air temperature are in equilibrium. The balloon is then moved to a warm room where it overpressures. The balloon loses gas through diffusion and through pinhole leaks. After not less than one day, the balloon is returned to the cold room and the lift measured again. During this period gas diffusion can be as high as 0.25% but this diffusion should be known within 0.02%. If a number of identical balloons are tested, diffusion corrections can be made to as close as 0.01%. The loss of gas in excess of diffusion is assigned to leakage. With proper procedures leakage can be measured to 0.02%, provided the cold room is a stable environment.

The advantage of this test method is that the balloon can be flown without deflation and reinflation, simply by removing the excess helium. The disadvantages are that full helium inflation is difficult with balloons larger than 2 m diameter, and that it is expensive to provide a stable, cold-room environment for large balloons.

2. Overpressure Test

This test procedure requires at least one week to provide leakage measurements better than 0.1%, because of balloon creep and the difficulty of making exact measurement of volume change. The procedure is to measure atmospheric pressure, air temperature, balloon overpressure and balloon volume. Fractional loss of gas due to leakage can be computed by adding fractional loss of pressure, fractional loss of overpressure, fractional loss in volume and fractional gain in temperature. The advantage of this test procedure is that monitoring is simple (a single measurement each day suffices) and, if air is used as the inflation gas, diffusion can be neglected. The disadvantage is the marginal accuracy of the technique which requires a long time period and a high space utilization. To minimize large changes in overpressure the test should be made in a room in which temperature is well controlled, since the balloon exhibits hysteresis effects in change of volume with change of stress.

3. Tent Test

The tent test consists of inflating a balloon with air and Freon to a large overpressure. The balloon is placed for not less than twenty-four hours under a plastic tent. If the balloon has leaks, Freon will accumulate in the tent and can be readily detected by a halogen detector. If properly conducted, the tent test is probably more sensitive than the other two integrity tests. However, the test is not quantitative and it is difficult to use the results to compare relative integrity of balloons.

B. LEAKAGE TESTS

Leakage cests are much more burdensome than integrity tests but they may be conducted more quickly.

1. Visual, Hand and Ear Inspection

If overpressure in the balloon exceeds 10 mb, leaks from holes 1 mm (40 mils) in diameter or larger are easily detected visually or by the sound of escaping gas. If the hole cannot be seen, its exact location can usually be discovered by running the wrist across the suspected area.

2. Ammonia-Wet Cloth Method

With this technique ammonia is introduced into the balloon together with air, and the balloon is overpressured. A cloth which has been soaked in phenolphthalein solution is placed over the balloon. Leaks produce reddish spots on the cloth where the ammonia reacts with the phenolphthalein solution. The sensitivity of this technique is not known.

3. Freon Detection

Freon is introduced into the balloon together with air, and the balloon is overpressured. A standard halogen detector is used, with a funnel over the detector to increase the inspection area. Holes 0.1 mm (4 mils) in diameter are readily detected. With careful technique in a clean room, 1-mil holes can be detected. The major disadvantage with this technique is the time required -- about ten minutes inspection time per square meter of surface.

4. Helium Detection

The balloon can be completely filled with helium or, if it is a large balloon, filled with a helium-air mixture and leaks detected with a device sensitive to helium. The mass spectrometer is not suitable for this application since it is too sensitive and will respond to diffusion of helium through the walls of the balloon. Also, a major leak will saturate this system and requires extensive purging before work can be continued.

A helium detector now on the market operates on the principle of thermal conductivity. This device balances background air against sample air and can be used in an atmosphere which has a high helium concentration. It appears a most promising method, with about the same sensitivity as the halogen detector; however, the concentration of helium in the balloon can be raised to at least ten times the level that is economical for Freon concentration. This technique should permit the conductivity device to out-perform the haløgen detector.

5. Bubble Detection

This technique has been used very successfully on the French EOLE project. A plastic wading pool is filled with water and detergent is added. The balloon is floated in the pool and visual inspection detects bubbles in the area which is underwater. This technique detects larger holes very quickly -- only one or two minutes are required for careful inspection per square meter of area. It should be capable of detecting holes of 0.1 mm (4 mils) diameter when some care is used.

C. FACTORY TESTING

At present it is essential that balloons be tested in the field prior to launch because of damage which may occur in packing and shipping, or because of the inability of the manufacturer to make valid integrity tests in an economical fashion. Larger balloons pose very difficult problems for field testing, because of the difficulty of handling, and because large enough facilities for conducting tests are seldom available.

There appears to be no inherent reason that balloons can not be packed, shipped and handled without damage. For any large-scale operational program, field testing is impossible. It is essential that techniques be developed at the factory to insure that the balloon as delivered to the launch site is free of defects. A major development program is required to attain this capability. What is required is a development effort in which the manufacturer will fabricate, test, pack, ship, unpack, and retest balloons and repeat this cycle until he can give assurance that balloons as delivered are without defect. This closed cycle is necessary because of the very long delay in determining balloon integrity through flight tests. As the closed cycle continues, the manufacturer will learn to improve his testing techniques and handling and packing procedures. After the balloon is tested it may be necessary to treat it with special preservative coatings which are removed just prior to flight, or it may be necessary to develop new laminates containing one or more layers of soft plastic which will not be subject to handling damage.

X. BALLOON MATERIALS

A. DESIRED CHARACTERISTICS FOR BALLOON MATERIALS

The ideal balloon film would have a very large modulus of elasticity (10 million psi), and would be transparent to the entire spectrum of radiation from infrared to ultraviolet. Such a material would not need high ultimate strength since it would never be highly stressed. The material, in addition, should be easily formed so that a balloon could be manufactured out of few spherical sections. It should be readily sealed with seal strengths as high as the strength of the basic material. The material should be impermeable to helium, oxygen, nitrogen, and water vapour. In addition, it should be plastic at room temperature so that it could be readily packed without damage. At floating altitude, the material could well be glassy so that it would shatter if struck by an aircraft.

No existing material comes close to meeting these ideal specifications. However, at least one material does come sufficiently close to providing an acceptable film for fabricating superpressure balloons. This is the polyester, polyethylene terephthalate.

B. MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS FOR BALLOON MATERIALS

Most plastic materials fall short of the minimum specifications in one characteristic or another, so that they cannot provide a longlived, stable vehicle. The minimum specifications to permit flight are interrelated; for example, a material that has great strength, but at the same time is highly absorbent of solar radiation, will be overstressed by the pressure buildup caused by supertemperature. A weaker film may survive if it is sufficiently transparent. The minimum specifications for a balloon material are indicated in the following sections.

1. Modulus of Elasticity

A balloon with an insufficient modulus of elasticity will stretch as it superpressures, if the volume increase due to stretch is sufficient to move the balloon to a higher altitude so that the stress is maintained. The balloon will continue to ascend until it bursts in the same fashion as neoprene and rubber balloons. The minimum modulus of elasticity for an acceptable balloon depends on the free lift introduced in the balloon and on the supertemperature which the balloon will realize at floating altitude. All materials with a modulus of elasticity less than 300,000 psi are unacceptable for superpressure use. This minimum modulus applies at the temperature at floating altitude. A number of film materials have too low a modulus at room temperature or at lower floating altitudes, but are acceptable at higher altitudes where the film temperature is -30°C or lower. A realistic minimum modulus of elasticity for a plastic film with average transparency is 500,000 psi. The higher the modulus, the more stably the balloon will fly and the less the variation in altitude will be between night and day. A balloon with a modulus of 800,000 psi will have an altitude change of 30 to 40 m between day and night. A modulus of 10 million psi would reduce the altitude change between night and day to an insignificant amount.

2. Strength of the Balloon Material

Modulus of elasticity is a much more important characteristic of balloon film than ultimate film strength, provided the balloon is never stressed beyond its elastic limit. A lighter material may have a lower ultimate strength than a more dense material, since a thicker film may be used. For example, a polypropylene, which is 40% less dense than polyester, can provide a stronger material for balloon design than polyester, even though its strength is somewhat lower. An acceptable film strength for superpressure design depends on flight altitude, payload weight, and density of the film. As a general rule, the film material should be capable of stresses to 10,000 psi in its elastic range, while maintaining an acceptable modulus of elasticity.

3. Transparency

Balloon films vary markedly in their transparency to solar and infrared radiation. The ideal material should be transparent to the entire spectrum of solar and earth radiation. Of the several plastic materials which approach this ideal, polyethylene comes closest, absorbing less than 6% of the radiation through the solar infrared band. Polyester film approaches polyethylene transparencies at solar wavelengths, but is almost black in the infrared. As a result, for a balloon made of polyester variations in internal heating due to variations in the atmospheric radiation environment are larger than those due to night and day differences.

It may be assumed that a metalized balloon would have ideal characteristics. However, the best values of reflectivity achieved with metalized balloons have been about 94% for solar radiation and 99% for infrared radiation. The infrared emmissivity of the metalized film is 1%, and so the balloon film becomes very hot when solar input is maximum. The metalized balloon, in general, is inferior in radiation characteristics to a clear balloon at higher altitudes. For lower altitude flights, a metalized balloon will provide improved characteristics over a thick-walled semitransparent balloon. Conduction then becomes the basic mechanism removing excess heat acquired by the balloon's inefficiency as an infrared emitter.

No complete theory has been worked out which will permit computation of the amount of supertemperature experienced by a balloon in flight. The effectiveness of conduction and convection in removing heat from a balloon at float altitude can only be estimated at present. The simplest procedure for obtaining these data is to conduct flight tests of instrumented balloons with measurements of air temperature and lifting gas temperature.

4. Formability

The ideal film material should be readily formed into a hemisphere, or at least a large sector of a sphere, by heat or pressure in a die or mold. Most plastics can be so formed. However, the more readily they

can be formed in this fashion the poorer they usually are as a balloon material. Polyethylene terephthalate film, a polyester, which is the best of the available balloon materials, is cast in sheet form and is drawn into other forms with great difficulty. As a result, the balloons used presently for superpressure flight are made from a large number of flat gores to simulate a sphere. The sealing tapes add considerable weight and increase the chances of leaks.

The optimum characteristic for formability would be a material which can be blown into a spherical shape in the same manner as rubber balloons or glass bulbs. However, attempts to blow large glass forms have failed because of the brittleness of the material. All the plastics which have been successfully formed by blowing have been completely inadequate in strength and modulus. It is conceivable that thin-walled glass balls may be blown using helium instead of air to provide a lighter-than-air sphere. We would need then only to enclose thousands of these balls in a netting to provide our superpressure balloon system.

5. Brittleness

Since superpressure balloons will be flown in the air lanes, it is essential that the balloon material either shatter or tear easily. A number of plastic materials have a sufficiently high "glass transition temperature" that they become glass-like at flight temperatures. Polyethylene terephthalate is one of these materials, with a glass transition temperature above 70° C. Although this polyester has ten times the strength of polyethylene, it has only about one-tenth the tear resistance. Since the balloon is never shocked during testing, inflation, launch, or flight there is no need for high tear resistance.

However, the glassy materials present a major problem in manufacture, packing, and unpacking. Creases in the material can cause holes. A double fold, one transverse to the other, in a glassy material provides a sharp point which can tear another section of the film or cause a pinhole at the point of intersection. To prevent such defects the balloon could be assembled at the launch site, but this is not usually feasible. Perhaps the problem will be solved by making sure that the

balloon is handled, packed, unpacked, and inflated, at high room temperatures so that the material has more plastic characteristics.

6. Sealability

Many plastics, such a polyethylene, can be heat-sealed using simple techniques. The seals have over 90% of the strength of the plastic material. Polyesters and polypropylene are not easily sealed to themselves and require tape seals with a thermal-setting adhesive. Tape seals are more difficult and costly, but they do provide additional strength to the balloon.

Any seal should be gas-tight and provide at least equivalent strength to the basic material.

7. Permeability

Most plastics serve as quite adequate gas barriers for a one-day flight. However, few existing plastic materials have low enough permeability to permit extended flight using either helium or hydrogen. It is not sufficient that the balloon film have low permeability just for helium and hydrogen. Its permeability for nitrogen, oxygen, and water vapour must also be sufficiently low that there is no appreciable transfer of air into the balloon during flight. All the materials under consideration do have much lower permeability to nitrogen and oxygen than to hydrogen and helium.

For extended flights of superpressure balloons, helium is the only acceptable filling gas. Ammonia exacts too great a weight penalty in balloon design. Hydrogen is unacceptable because of explosion hazard, both during testing and also after the balloon returns to earth at the end of its flight, when the possibility of air mixing with hydrogen, makes an even greater hazard.

The best existing plastic for helium retention is cellophane. Saran ranks second, and polyester (polyethylene terephthalate) ranks third. Few other plastics have acceptable helium permeability characteristics at warmer temperatures. However, among plastics diffusion depends greatly upon temperature, and polyethylene and polypropylene
become acceptable at temperatures below -30° C. As a rule of thumb, for long-duration flights the rate of diffusion through a plastic material should be less than 10^{-6} m³ mil/m² per day per millibar (4 × 10^{-11} std cc cm per sec per cm² per cm Hg pressure).

XI. LOCATION TECHNIQUES

GHOST balloons and their payloads must be non-hazardous to aircraft. In addition, the need for both light weight and low cost (in a system involving thousands of balloons) indicates that the balloon payload must consist of the simplest possible electronic system. The systems flown successfully so far weigh 100 gm and cost \$100. However, the location accuracy has been an order of magnitude less than the accuracy required for an operational system.

Interrogation by satellite provides the opportunity of enhancing location accuracy without a substantial increase in balloon electronic complexity. To date, unfortunately, the emphasis in development of balloon-satellite electronics has been on reliability rather than simplicity, and all the systems under present development are too heavy, too complex, and too costly.

A. HIGH FREQUENCY DIRECTION FINDING (HFDF)

The classical method of locating balloons has been high frequency direction finding at 3 to 15 Mc. The more sophisticated balloon systems have used two frequencies to provide optimum transmission day and night. Fixes are obtained by combining several simultaneous bearings from widely separated stations. The Federal Communications Commission has a direction-finding network which locates transmitters to an accuracy of 20 to 50 km from the middle Pacific to the eastern Atlantic. Adcock antennas provide bearings of 1° or better. However, long baselines limit accuracy with high-frequency direction finding and even a network operated by experts such as maintained by the FCC is saturated if more than ten targets are to be tracked.

B. SUN ELEVATION ANGLE

If the sun elevation angle is telemetered from a balloon, the balloon can be located as lying on a circle, the center point of which lies directly below the sun. If a second elevation angle is measured at a later time, a new circle can be determined. The intersection of these two circles provides a location, provided that the balloon has not moved. However, if the velocity of the balloon is not known, there is no unique position fix and position can only be estimated. If the elevation angle of the sun is monitored continuously through the day, a series of lines of position can be determined and a reasonably accurate fix obtained. The position determination is unique only if some assumption is made as to the balloon's velocity, such as constant velocity or constant acceleration. Experience obtained in more than 80 flights in the southern hemisphere indicates that position can be estimated to an accuracy between 50 and 100 km if data have been obtained on sun angle for several hours. The technique is, of course, only usable during daylight and at times when there are no clouds above the balloon. Thin cirrus clouds affect angle determination at low sun angles but do not cause serious errors in sun angle measurements at high sun elevation angles. Reference 3 provides a complete description of the technique for measuring and telemetering sun angle information.

C. HFDF AND SUN ELEVATION ANGLE

In a combined location system employing sun elevation angle and high-frequency direction finding, simultaneous lines of position are obtained and there is no need for a balloon velocity correction. Since the circle of position lines of the sun elevation angle is moving and changing in size, at some time during the day it is possible to get a good intersection between the high-frequency bearing and the sun elevation circle. The problem of having enough HFDF stations over a large area to provide good intersects is avoided and it is only necessary to have sufficient stations so that at least one station can obtain a bearing during the day. The advantage of this technique over the sun elevation angle alone has been demonstrated many times by cooperating

radio operators equipped with Adcock antennas who have tracked test flights of the GHOST system. The accuracy of this combined system will not exceed the accuracy of sun elevation angle alone, except where gross errors are made in the assumptions about balloon velocity. This combined technique requires much more complex equipment and a much larger number of installations to cover a hemisphere.

D. LORAN C

It is possible to provide a 100-kc receiver on the superpressure balloon and retransmit on a high-frequency link the Loran C signals received aboard the balloon, to provide accurate position location. The technique has been demonstrated by the U. S. Weather Bureau with radiosondes. Unfortunately, the Loran C networks cover only areas of heavy aircraft traffic and there is presently no Loran C coverage in the southern hemisphere.

E. OMEGA

The OMEGA very low frequency location system is, like Loran, a hyperbolic system; however, it operates in the 10 to 14 kHz range and eight stations will provide global coverage. It is theoretically possible to receive OMEGA signals on a balloon and retransmit the signals back to a ground station to obtain location of the balloon. However, since each of the stations is multiplexed, any variation in the transmission time back to the ground station will introduce serious errors. Consequently, OMEGA signals can be used with high frequency retransmission only if phase comparisons are performed at the balloon platform prior to retransmission. The signal-to-noise ratio of the received OMEGA signals is such that this appears most difficult at this time.

We do not yet know whether OMEGA will be implemented on a global basis. There are presently only four substandard stations -- Norway, Trinidad, New York, and Hawaii. They provide an adequate area for testing but the utilization of OMEGA remains in doubt until a national decision is made to implement.

F. SATELLITE TECHNIQUES

Satellites can be used in three ways to locate GHOST balloons. First is the use of a geostationary satellite as a simple command and communications relay, with other techniques being used to provide the Lalioun location. For example, the OPLE (OMEGA rosition Location Equipment) now under limited development at Goddard Space Flight Center locates the balloon by transmitting the OMEGA signals back through a geostationary satellite to a ground computer which determines the balloon location. There are a number of major problems in providing absolute position for balloons using the OMEGA stations because of possible confusion in identity of the correct lane. The system does provide extremely precise relative position over short periods of time. We can conceive a system in which the balloon's absolute position is determined once a day within 50 km, using simple sun elevation angle sensors. The velocity would be determined every two hours by transmitting OMEGA information back through the synchronous satellite, and absolute position could be obtained by dead reckoning until the next sun elevation angle position was obtained. The requirement for meteorology is to ascribe an accurate velocity to a rather large area, i.e., a block 300 km on a side. If this velocity is obtained by sequential absolute positions, then these positions must be known with great accuracy. However, if the velocity can be obtained in some manner independent of the absolute position, the 300 km location accuracy is sufficient for all meteorological needs concerned with the general circulation.

A second means of obtaining location via satellite is to use a low-orbiting satellite which locates the balloon by successive measurements, each of which provides a line of position. Since the satellite is moving rapidly, lines obtained one or two minutes apart will have good intersects and need only small corrections for balloon motion. The IRLS system under development at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration determines position by two successive range measurements. The EOLE system under development in France provides position by two successive measurements of doppler shift which give information on the angle between satellite and balloon. The system proposed in the National

Academy of Sciences report (Ref. 4) is similar in concept to the IRLS location method. These systems are capable of determining balloon position to an accuracy of 1 to 2 km. Velocity is determined by positions obtained on successive orbits of the satellite (every two hours).

Almost one-third of the globe is under continuous view of the geostationary satellite, giving it an inherent advantage over the loworbiting satellite. Data can be obtained from balloons in the observed area whenever desired. This coverage permits more frequent interrogation in areas of major interest; it permits verification by a second interrogation when data may be questionable; and it permits the monitoring of data which are required in a continuous fashion, such as from a balloon which is making a vertical radiosonde ascent. The difficulty with the geostationary satellite is that it is so far away that position location from the satellite is much more difficult than from a loworbiting satellite. However, there are two feasible means of obtaining direct position data from the geostationary satellite. The first is the use of an interferometer to provide accurate angle of transmission from an interrogated balloon. The angle must be resolved to 15" of arc to permit location within 2 km, which would be a tour de force at the fringes of the state of the art. Such a system would place, of course, the minimum requirement on the balloon. It would merely interrogate and telemeter its information plus a pure tone for angle determination.

The third means, which could be used with the geostationary satellite, would be determination of range between balloon and satellite. Since range determination provides a circle of position, it would be necessary to utilize two synchronous satellites within line of sight for each balloon. Five satellites would be needed to provide global coverage. The rate of range change is very low directly below the satellite. However, this region of coarse data consists of a circle of only 100 mi diameter directly below the satellite -- a negligible portion of the globe.

APPENDIXES

Lis	of Symbols	•	67
A.	Equations for Balloon Design and Balloon Performance	•	69
В.	Summaries for Balloon Flights from Christchurch, New Zealand March 1966 to March 1967		78

.

. . . , •

SYMBOLS

A	balloon surface area, m ²
Α'	balloon cross section, m ²
a	radius of major axis of a spheroid
Ъ	radius of minor axis of a spheroid
с _р	coefficient of drag
E	modulus of elasticity, psi
e,	saturation water vapor pressure, mb
F	free lift, kg
f	free lift ratio (F/M)
f	relative humidity
g	acceleration of gravity (9.8 m/sec ²)
·L	cylinder length, m
lo	original length of strain gauge
$\frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell}$	fractional change in length due to strain
$\frac{\Delta \ell_s}{\ell}$	fractional change in length due to stress
$\frac{\Delta l_c}{l}$	fractional change in length due to creep
M	mass of balloon, payload and lifting gas, kg
Mr	mass of gas which provides free lift, kg
m	molecular weight of lifting gas
n	number of moles of gas at Z
P	atmospheric pressure, mb
Pg	gas pressure, mb
P _{gs}	gas pressure at Z_s , mb
Po	atmospheric pressure at Z _o , mb
Ps	atmospheric pressure at Z_s , mb
P	partial pressure of gas, mb
$\Delta \mathbf{P}$	superpressure, mb
ΔP_{T}	superpressure due to supertemperature, mb

.

ΔP_{f}	superpressure due to free lift, mb
R	gas constant
r	balloon radius, m
S	stress, psi
Т	atmospheric temperature, $^{\circ}K$
Tg	temperature of enclosed gas, $^{\circ}$ K
T _O	temperature at Z_0 , $^{\circ}K$
T_s	temperature at Z_s , $^{\circ}K$
T^*	virtual temperature
t	thickness of balloon envelope, mils
$\Delta \mathbf{T}$	supertemperature, [°] K
v	balloon volume, m ³
v	vertical wind velocity, m/sec
ΔV	change in balloon volume
Zo	altitude at which balloon becomes fully inflated, m
Z _s	altitude at which balloon is in static equilibrium, i.e., floating altitude, m
ΔZ	incremental change in altitude, m
Q .	factor relating strain to volume change (α = 3 for uniform sphere)
δ	permeability constant, (m ³ mil)/(m ² mb day)
ε ²	$1 - (b/a)^2$
ρο	air density at Z_0 , kg/m ³
ρ,	air density at Z_s , kg/m ³
ρ∆z	air density at $\Delta Z \pm Z_s$, kg/m ³
σ	wind shear. sec ⁻¹

Conversions to MKS Units

 $1 mb = 10^{3} dyne/cm^{2} = 10^{2} kg/(m/sec^{2})$ 1 psi = 69 mb $1 mi1 = 25 \times 10^{-6} m$

Т

Appendix A

EQUATIONS FOR BALLOON DESIGN AND BALLOON PERFORMANCE

SUPERPRESSURE DUE TO FREE LIFT

At a density level of ρ_0 , the superpressure balloon becomes completely inflated. The balloon still retains its free lift. <u>Neglecting</u> <u>supertemperature and film expansion</u>, the expression for equilbrium at this point is:

$$V\rho_{O} = M + F \tag{1}$$

At float altitude, $\rho_{\text{s}}\,,$ where the balloon is neutrally buoyant, we have:

$$Vo_s = M$$
 (2)

dividing Eq. (1) by Eq. (2):

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_s} = 1 + \frac{F}{M}$$
(3)

$$f \equiv \frac{F}{M}$$
(4)

combining Eqs. (3) and (4):

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_s} = 1 + f \tag{5}$$

Using the equation of state, $\rho = kP/T$, Eq. (5) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{P_O T_s}{P_s T_O} = 1 + f$$
(6)

The lifting gas pressure, P_{gs} , equals the pressure at Z_0 where the balloon becomes full, corrected for temperature change.

$$P_{gs} = P_0 \frac{T_s}{T_0}$$
(7)

substituting in Eq. (6)

$$\frac{P_{gs}}{P_s} = 1 + f \tag{8}$$

or:

$$f = \frac{P_{gs} - P_g}{P_s} = \frac{\Delta P_f}{P_g}$$
(9)

or:

superpressure due to free lift =
$$\Delta P_f = fP_s$$
 (10)

SUPERPRESSURE DUE TO SUPERTEMPERATURE

The equation of state for the enclosed gas of a constant-volume balloon floating at altitude may be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{g}} \tag{11}$$

Since there is no change in volume or mass, we can simplify:

$$P_{g} = k T_{g}$$
(12)

and for small pressure changes due to temperature changes:

$$\frac{\Delta P_T}{P_g} = \frac{\Delta T}{T_g}$$
(13)

$$\frac{\Delta P_{T}}{P_{g} + \Delta P_{f}} = \frac{\Delta T}{T_{g}}$$
(14)

STRESSES ON A SPHERE PRODUCED BY SUPERPRESSURE

For a sphere the stress expressed in consistent units is:

$$S = \frac{r \Delta P}{2t}$$

If stress is expressed in psi, radius in meters, pressure in millibars, and balloon film thickness in mils, the equation becomes:

$$S = \frac{286 r \Delta P}{t}$$
(15)

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \Delta \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{f}} + \Delta \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \tag{16}$$

Combining Eqs. (10) and (16):

$$S = \frac{286 r P}{t} \left[f + (1 + f) \frac{\Delta T}{T} \right]$$
(17)

DIURNAL CHANGES IN ALTITUDE DUE TO SUPERTEMPERATURE

The volume of a superpressure balloon does not remain constant. As the internal pressure increases, the stress on the film increases; consequently, volume increases. The expression relating stress, S, to strain, $\Delta l/l$, is the modulus of elasticity, E:

$$E \equiv \frac{S}{\Delta \ell / \ell}$$
(18)

For a spherical balloon, the volume change for small changes is three times the linear change in dimension:

$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} = \frac{3\Delta \ell}{\ell}$$
(19)

(For a cylinder balloon, the volume change is twice the linear change.) The volume change for a spherical balloon can be expressed by combining Eqs. (18) and (19):

$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} = \frac{3 S}{E}$$
(20)

Since we are concerned with changes in volume due to changes in stress rather than the total volume change from no stress, the equation can be written as:

$$\frac{\Delta(\Delta V)}{V} = \frac{3\Delta S}{E}$$
(20a)

Using Eqs. (14) and (15) and combining them with Eq. (20a), we can derive an expression for the volume change due to change in supertemperature:

$$\frac{\Delta(\Delta V)}{V} = \frac{3}{E} \times \frac{286 r}{t} \times \frac{\Delta(\Delta T) (P_s + \Delta P_f)}{T}$$
(21)

If we assume a spherical balloon design which produces 10,000 psi stress at 25% superpressure, we can obtain a "ball-park" figure for the variation of volume with supertemperature changes. From Eq. (14) we learned that a 1% increase in supertemperature produces a 1% increase in superpressure. For the typical design we consider here, an increase of 1% in the superpressure produces a 400 psi increase in stress. We can express this as:

$$\Delta S \approx 400 \times 100 \quad \frac{\Delta(\Delta P)}{P} \approx 400 \times 100 \quad \frac{\Delta(\Delta T)}{T}$$
(22)

substituting in Eq. (20a):

$$\frac{\Delta(\Delta V)}{V} \approx \frac{3 \times 4 \times 10^4}{E} \cdot \frac{\Delta(\Delta T)}{T}$$
(23)

Using the value of 8.0 \times 10⁵ psi for the modulus of elasticity of Mylar, we have:

$$\frac{\Delta(\Delta V)}{V} \approx \frac{1.2 \times 10^5}{8.0 \times 10^5} \cdot \frac{\Delta(\Delta T)}{T}$$

$$\approx 0.15 \frac{\Delta(\Delta T)}{T}$$
(24)

A change in volume will produce an identical change in the density at which the balloon floats. A l% change in density equals approximately 0.3T m in altitude, where T is the air temperature in $^{\circ}$ K.

The altitude variation due to supertemperature change on a spherical balloon can be roughly written as:

$$\Delta Z \approx 0.3T \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} 100 \approx 0.3T \frac{\Delta (\Delta V)}{V} 100$$
$$\approx 30T \frac{\Delta (\Delta V)}{V}$$
(25)

Using the value derived in Eq. (24):

$$\Delta Z \approx 30T \times 0.15 \frac{\Delta(\Delta T)}{T}$$
(26)

Thus:

$$\Delta Z \approx 4.5 \ \Delta(\Delta T) \tag{27}$$

CHANGE IN ALTITUDE DUE TO GAS LOSS

At launch a superpressure balloon is filled with sufficient gas to provide a free lift, f, in excess of the gas required for buoyant flight. The mass of this gas is:

$$M_{f} = f V \rho_{s} \frac{m}{28.9}$$
(28)

During the life of the balloon, this excess gas diffuses. If we assume the balloon descends at the time that all free-lift gas has been lost, the change in balloon system mass is:

$$\Delta M = M_{\rm f} \tag{29}$$

The percentage mass loss is:

$$\frac{100 \ \Delta M}{M} = \frac{100 \ f \ V \ \rho_s \ \frac{m}{28.9}}{V \ \rho_s} = 100 \ f \ \frac{m}{28.9}$$
(30)

For a helium-filled balloon having 10% free lift, the percentage mass loss is:

Percentage mass loss = 100 f
$$\frac{m}{28.9}$$
 = 100 × 0.1 × $\frac{4}{28.9}$ = 1.4%

This 1.4% mass loss would cause the balloon to float (near the end of its useful life) at a level 1.4% lower in density than its original float level. However, the overpressure due to free lift decreases as the free-lift gas is lost. This decrease in overpressure causes a reduction in volume which tends to balance out the mass loss.

We can design the balloon so that the mass loss exactly balances the loss in free-lift superpressure. Basically, the technique requires that the volume loss caused by a percentage reduction in overpressure equals the mass loss produced by the same percentage loss in free lift. Combining Eq. (20) with Eq. (15), we get:

$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} = \frac{3S}{E} = \frac{3}{E} \cdot \frac{286 \text{ r}}{\text{t}} \Delta P = \frac{3}{S} \cdot \frac{286 \text{ r}}{\text{t}} \text{ f } P_{s}$$

Equation (30) established:

$$\frac{\Delta M}{M} = f \frac{m}{28.9}$$

As discussed above, we want:

$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} = \frac{\Delta M}{M}$$

$$\frac{858 \text{ r f P}_{\text{s}}}{\text{E t}} = \frac{\text{f m}}{28.9}$$
(31)

For a helium-filled sphere:

$$\frac{r}{t} = \frac{4 E}{28.9 \times 858 \times P_s}$$
(31a)

Assuming $E = 8 \times 10^5$, then:

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{t}} = \frac{162}{P_s} \tag{31b}$$

Thus if the ratio of r/t is equal approximately to $162/P_s$ for a Mylar balloon filled with helium, the gas loss during the life of the balloon will not change the altitude.

ALTITUDE VARIATION DUE TO VERTICAL CURRENTS

The drag of a balloon in a vertical current is:

$$Drag = \frac{1}{2} C_{D} A' \rho_{g} v^{2}$$
(32)

The restoring force can be expressed as:

Restoring force =
$$V (\rho_s - \rho_{\Delta Z})$$
 g (33)

We can use the excellent approximation from Eq. (25), that the densitydifference height, ΔZ , above or below the buoyant density, ρ_s , is:

$$\Delta Z \approx 30 \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho_{s}} T$$

$$(25)$$

$$(\rho_{s} - \rho_{\Lambda Z}) \equiv \Delta \rho$$

or

$$(\rho_s - \rho_{\Delta Z}) = \frac{\Delta Z \rho_s}{30T}$$
(34)

Substituting Eq. (34) in Eq. (33) and equating restoring force to drag, we have:

$$\frac{C_{\rm D} A' \rho_{\rm s} v^2}{2} = \frac{V \Delta Z \rho_{\rm s} g}{30 \rm T}$$
(35)

Solving for ΔZ :

$$\Delta Z = \frac{15 C_D T v^2}{g} \cdot \frac{A'}{V}$$
(35a)

For a sphere, this equation reduces to:

$$\Delta Z = \frac{1.15 \text{ C}_{\text{D}} \text{ T } \text{v}^2}{\text{r}}$$
(36)

For a prolate spheroid balloon, Eq. (35a) becomes:

$$\Delta Z = \frac{1.15 \text{ C}_{\text{D}} \text{ T } \text{v}^2}{a}$$
(37)

DENSITY COMPUTATIONS USING A STRAIN GAUGE

$$\rho = \frac{M}{V}$$
(38)

$$\mathbf{V} \equiv \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{0}} + \Delta \mathbf{V} \tag{39}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{V} \equiv \alpha \; \frac{\Delta l}{l_0} \; \mathbf{V}_0 \tag{40}$$

 $\frac{\Delta l}{l_0}$ is the strain measurement as telemetered from the balloon. The factor relating strain to volume change is α . (For a homogeneous sphere, $\alpha = 3.$)

Combining Eqs. (38) and (40):

$$\rho = \frac{M}{V_0 + \alpha \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell_0} V_0}$$
(41)

Since M is known to 0.1%, V₀ is known to 0.1%, and $\alpha \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell_0}$ is measured to 0.2% absolute and 0.1% relative, the density, ρ , can therefore be computed to 0.25% absolute (15 m).

The variation in density can be computed to 0.1% (6 m) since there is no change in V_0 , and M (even for a leaky balloon) will not change by more than 0.03% per day.

Appendix B

SUMMARIES FOR BALLOON FLIGHTS

from

CHRISTCHURCH, NEW ZEALAND

March 1966 -- March 1967

The data for 85 balloons flown from Christchurch, New Zealand, and McMurdo Station, Antarctica, during the period March 1966 -- March 1967 are summarized on the following pages. Seven of these balloons are presumed still flying on 10 June 1967.

ł

Balloon #NPPf	Surface winds m/sec
Frequency 15.02f MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of look test Referenced	0 - 5,000 m_ From Cossar radarsonde
Test results test techniques are	5,000 - 10,000 m_flight_closest_to
discussed in	10,000 m - altitude <u>launch</u> time.
Section IX.	Altitude
Mfr. balloon #	Flight duration* days
Balloon massgm	Flight duration ** balloon days
Balloon volumem ³	Number of orbits
Balloon diameterm	Position last heard QLLLL
Film thickness mils	Balloon days tracked
Electronics mass Includes sensors.	
Ballast tapes and strings.	Remarks:
Gross weight less heliumgm	* To last time of signal pick-up.
Free liftgm	** Balloon gains one day for each
	west to east orbit.
Launch site <u>172[°] 32'E, 43[°] 29'S</u>	
Launch timeUT	nn number of flight. Flights
Ascent rate	01-02, 03-04, 09-10, 16-17,
0 - 5,000 mm/sec	19-20 were single flights
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>m/sec</u>	with 2 transmitters.
Float altitudem	PP pressure altitude in tens of
Radar <u>(when t</u> racked by Christchurc	h millibars
Computed Cossar radar)	f last digit of frequency in kHz.
Calibration data	Q quadrant of globe, southern hemisphere
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	5 0 to 90° West longitude
(GHOST calibration chart follows; i	t 6 90 to 180° West longitude t 7 180 to 90° East longitude
can be used by drawing straight	$8 90$ to 0° East longitude
lines between calibration points.)	LL latitude in degrees
(Sensor cut-off Occulting angle	ll longitude in degrees
from sun by balloon)	

CODE FOR				
GHOST	BALLOON	FLIGHT	SUMMARY	SHEETS

.



Balloon # 01505 V 02503 U	Surface winds ^o calm m/sec
Frequency_15.026 15.024 MHz	Cloud cover scattered altostratus
	Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak test halium lift	0 - 5,000 m 220 [°] 13 m/sec
Test results less than .03% per	5,000 - 10,000 m
day	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude230 ⁰ 25 m/sec

Mfr. balloon # <u>Vi</u>	ron 6		
Balloon mass	984		gm
Balloon volume	2.26		m ³
Balloon diameter_	1.63		m
Film thickness	2,5		mils
Electronics mass_	202		gm
Ballast	154		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1340	gm
Free lift	134		gm

Launch site	172°32'	E, 43 [°] 2	9'S
Launch time <u>04/03</u>	/66	1650	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.25	m/	sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n	m/	sec
Float altitude	5550		m
Radar			
Computed <u>xxx</u>			

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period (10^{-1} sec)
10°	36.8
30 [°]	21.0
83 ⁰	11.3
83 [°]	Occulting angle

Flight duration4daysFlight duration4balloon daysNumber of orbits0Position last heard63072 (08/03/66)Balloon days tracked4

Remarks: V transmitter telemetered sun angle; U the internal temperature of the electronics package. The thermal helmet had four 1-cm diameter holes to reduce the temperature.

Maximum electronics temperature during the flight was $+24^{\circ}$ C; minimum temperature was -2° C; average temperature was $+10^{\circ}$ C.

Probable cause of failure: ice accretion on balloon film.

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon # 03505 W 04506 B	Surface winds 030° 2 m/sec
Frequency 15.025 15.027 MHz	Cloud cover <u>clear</u>
	Climb-out winds 1900 UT
Method of leak test helium lift	0 · 5,000 m 240° 6 m/sec
Test results less than .02% per	5,000 - 10,000 m
day	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude240 ⁰ 11 m/sec

Mfr. balloon #	Viron	5	
Balloon mass	984		gm
Balloon volume	2.26		m ³
Balloon diameter_	1.63		m
Film thickness	2.5		mils
Electronics mass	208		gm
Ballast	148		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1340	gm
Free lift	134		gm

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32	'E, 43	^o 29'S
Launch time_05/03	/66	1749	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.25		m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 r	n		m/sec
Float altitude	5550		m
Radar			

Computed <u>xxx</u>

Flight duration 5+ days Flight duration 5+ balloon days Number of orbits 0 Position last heard 65225 (10/03/66) Balloon days tracked 5

Remarks: Both transmitters telemetered sun angle. Forty-five-gram corner reflector included in ballast.

Since South American stations were not yet in operation, flight duration was probably longer than 5 days.

Probable cause of failure: ice accretion on balloon film.

Calibration data	03505 W	,	04506	В	
Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻¹ sec)			
10 [°]	41.2		10°		35.
30 [°]	24.6		30 ⁰		19.
<u>83</u> °	13.5		86 ⁰		10.
83 ⁰	Occult	ing angle		86 ⁰	

Balloon #05507 R		Surface winds	0	<u></u> _,	m/sec
Frequency 15.027 M	Hz	Cloud cover			
		Climb-out winds		1900	UT
Method of leak test helium lift		0 - 5,000 m	220°-	15 m/sec	2
Test results less than .04% per		5,000 - 10,000) m		
day		10,000 m - al:	titude_		
		Altitude	230 [°]	28 m/sec	<u> </u>

Mfr. balloon #	Viron 3	
Balloon mass	984	gm
Balloon volume	2.26	m ³
Balloon diameter	1.63	m
Film thickness	2.5	mils
Electronics mass_	105	gm
Ballast	251	gm
Gross weight less	hélium <u>1340</u>	gm
Free lift	134	gm

Flight duration	13	days
Flight duration 13	bal	<u>loon days</u>
Number of orbits	0	
Position last heard_	62768	(20/03/66)
Balloon days tracked	13	

Remarks: Probable cause of failure: ice accretion on balloon film.

.

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32	'E, 43 [°]	<u>29'S</u>
Launch time_07/03	/66	1804	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.25	m	<u>/sec</u>
5,000 - 10,000 1	m	m	<u>/sec</u>
Float altitude	5550		m
Radar			
Computed xxx			

Calibration data

Sun angle	eCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰		37.8		
30 [°]		22.4		
83 ⁰		12.4		
	83 ⁰	0ccu	lting	angle

Balloon #	06508 K		Surface winds_	0	m/	sec
Frequency	15.028	MHz	Cloud cover		<u> </u>	
			Climb-out winds	S	1900	UT
Method of leak	test <u>helium li</u>	ft	0 - 5,000 m_	220 [°]	15 m/sec	
Test results_1	ess than .03% pe	r	5,000 - 10,00	00 m		
day			10,000 m - al	ltitude_		
			Altitude	230°	28 m/sec	
	1111		.		10	

Mfr. balloon #	Viron	2	
Balloon mass	984		gm
Balloon volume	2.26		m ³
Balloon diameter_	1.63		m
Film thickness	2.5		mils
Electronics mass_	105		gm
Ballast	251		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1340	gm
Free lift			gm

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32	'E, 43°	<u>29's</u>
Launch time <u>07/0</u>	3/66	1808	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.25	m,	/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m	m,	<u>/sec</u>
Float altitude	5550		m
Radar			
Computed			

Computed<u>xxxx</u>

Calibration data

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	39.3		
	30°	23.8		
	83 ⁰	13.3		
	83	o Occul	lting	angle

	days
balloon	days
0	
see remark	s
. 8	
	balloon 0 see remark 8

Remarks: Balloon last heard 14/03/66 position 63669. On 26/03/66 balloon tracked for 20 min from Pretoria, not possible to set position.

Balloons 05507 R and 06508 K were launched 4 min apart. After 7 days the balloons were separated by 200 km. On the 8th day they moved 600 km apart.

Probable cause of failure: balloon icing.

Balloon #	07502 G		Surface winds		^o calm m	<u>/sec</u>
Frequency	15.022	MH z	Cloud cover	high	cirrus	
			Climb-out winds		2300	UT
Method of leak	test <u>helium l</u>	ift	0 - 5,000 m	2700	20 m/sac	
Test results le	ss than .03% pe	r day	5,000 - 10,00	0 m		
			10,000 m - al	titude		
			Altitude	270 ⁰	30 m/sec	<u> </u>

Mfr. balloon #	<u>Schjeldahl</u>	3
Balloon mass	881	gm
Balloon volume	1.853	³
Balloon diameter	1.524	m
Film thickness	2.5	mil <u>s</u>
Electronics mass_	104	gm
Ballast	119	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1104</u>	gm
Free lift	110	gm

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32 '	E, 43 ⁰ 2	9'S
Launch time10/()3/66	0003	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.25	m/	sec
5,000 - 10,000	m	m/	sec
Float altitude	5550		m
Radar			
Computed <u>xxxx</u>			

Position last heard_____ Balloon days tracked_____ Remarks: Balloon was launched after

Flight duration1daysFlight duration1balloon daysNumber of orbits0

frontal passage. Winds were 30 m/sec at float altitude; apparently carried balloon into squall line during night.

Probable cause of failure: balloon icing.

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻¹ sec)
10 [°]	36.2
30 [°]	20.5
83 ⁰	11.4
83	Occulting angle

Balloon #	08504 F		Surface winds		^o calm	m/sec
Frequency	15.024	MHz	Cloud cover	high	cirrus	
			Climb-out winds_		2300	UT
Method of leak te	st_helium l	ift	0 - 5,000 m	2700	20 m/s	ec
Test results <u>les</u>	s than .02%	per day	5,000 - 10,000) m		
Leak in end cap	section repa	ired.	10,000 m - alt	itude	<u></u>	
			Altitude	270 [°]	30 m/s	ec
Mfr. balloon #	Viron 8'		Flight duration		1	<u>days</u>
Balloon mass	984	gm	Flight duration	1	balloo	n days
Balloon volume	2.26	m ³	Number of orbits	3	0	
Balloon diameter_	1.63	m	Position last he	eard_		
Film thickness	2.5	mils	Balloon days tra	acked_	1	
Electronics mass_	106	gm				
Ballast	250	gm	Remarks: Balloo	n was	launched	after
Gross weight less	helium <u>134</u>	0 gm	frontal passage	. Win	nds were	30 m/sec
Free lift	134	gm	at altitude; ap	parent	tly carri	ed bal-
			loon into squal	l line	e during	night.

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32 'E</u>	<u>, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time10/0	3/66	0020 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.25	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m	m/sec
Float altitude	5550	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed xxxx		

Calibration data

.

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 [°]	33.8		
30 ⁰	18.3		
83 ⁰	10.3		
83 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

Probable cause of failure: balloon icing.

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon # 09505 H 10505 N	Surface winds <u>° calm m/sec</u>
Frequency 15.025 15.025 MHz	Cloud coverclear
	Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak test halium lift	0 - 5,000 m 210 ⁰ 10 m/sec
Test results less than .1% per day	5,000 - 10,000 m
	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude216 ⁰ 13.75 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #Schjeldahl 19	Flight duration 13 days
Balloon mass 869 gm	Flight duration 13 balloon days
Balloon volume <u>1.853</u> m ³	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter 1.524 m	Position last heard 63055 (25/03/66)
Film thickness 2.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 13
Electronics mass 208 gm	
Ballast 27 gm	Remarks: Both transmitted sun angle
Gross weight less helium 1104 gm	data. Accurate radar track at alti-
Free lift 121 gm	tude shows no altitude variation for
	a period of 12 min after reaching
Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u>	altitude.
Launch time 12/03/66 1805 UT	Probable cause of failure: bal-
Ascent rate	loon icing.
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.35 m/sec</u>	
5,000 - 10,000 m m/sec	
Float altitude 5520 m	
Radarxxxx	
Computed	
Calibration data 09505 H	10505 N
Sun angleCode period (10^{-1} sec)	2
<u> </u>	10° 39.2
<u> </u>	30° 24.6
83 [°] 14.2	86 13.4
83 ⁰ Occulting angle	86 ⁰

•

.

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #_ 11503 M12504 A	Surface winds0m/sec		
Frequency 15.023 15.024 MHz	Cloud cover		
	Climb-out winds1700 UT		
Method of leak test helium lift	0 - 5,000 m <u>250⁰ 6 m/sec</u>		
Test results less than .1% per day	5,000 - 10,000 m		
	10,000 m - altitude		
	Altitude073 ⁰ 8.1 m/sec		
Mfr. balloon # Schjeldahl 18	Flight duration 7 days		
Balloon mass 877 gm	Flight duration 7 balloon days		
Balloon volume 1.853 m ³	Number of orbits 0		
Balloon diameter <u>1.524</u> m	Position last heard 64831 (22/03/66)		
Film thickness 2.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 7		
Electronics mass 207 gm			
Ballast 20 gm	Remarks: Both transmitted sun angle		
Gross weight less helium <u>1104 gm</u>	data. Altitude variation for 25 min		
Free lift <u>121 gm</u>	radar track at altitude was less than		
	30 m.		
Launch site172 [°] 32'E, 43 [°] 29'S	Probable cause of failure:		
Launch time 15/03/66 1805 UT	balloon icing.		
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.35</u> m/sec			
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>m/sec</u>			
Float altitude <u>5540 m</u>			
Radar <u>xxxx</u>			
Computed			
Calibration data 11503 M	12504 A		
Sun angleCode period (10^{-1} sec)			
. <u>10[°] 38.4</u>	10 [°] 41.0		
<u> </u>	30 [°] 24.3		
83 [°] 12.3	86 [°] 13.1		
83 ⁰ Occulting angle	86 ⁰		

·

÷

Balloon #	13518 L		Surface winds	0	m	/sec
Frequency	15.028	MHz	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds		1700	UT
Method of 1	eak test helium 1	ift	0 - 5,000 m_	_240 [°]	3 m/sec	
Test result	s less than .03% pe	er day	5,000 - 10,00)0 m		
			10,000 m – al	.titude_		<u> </u>
	·		Altitude	O70 ⁰	2_m/sec	
MG. 1-11	- # 0-1 · 11-1 1 10		Plicks Junction	_	6	dava

Mtr. balloon # <u>S</u>	chjeldahl	. 12 §	<u>gore</u>
Balloon mass	1020		gm
Balloon volume	1.853		m ³
Balloon diameter_	1.524		<u>m</u>
Film thickness	3.0		mils
Electronics mass_	104		gm
Ballast	5		gm
Gross weight less	helium	1129	gm
Free lift	135		gm

Flight duration_		0		days
Flight duration_	6	bal	<u>loon</u>	days
Number of orbits		0		
Position last he	ard_	64363	(23/	<u>)3/66</u>)
Balloon days tra	cked	l <u>6</u>		

Remarks: Probable cause of failure: balloon icing.

Launch site	172 [°] 32 'E	<u>, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time <u>17/03</u>	/66	1819 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n	m/sec
Float altitude	5380	<u> </u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period (10^{-1} sec)
10 [°]	40.3
30°	24.1
83 ⁰	13.7
83 ⁰	Occulting angle

Balloon #	14507 VKUM	Surface winds	0	T	n/sec
Frequency	15.027 MHz	Cloud cover			- <u></u>
		Climb-out winds		2300	UT
Method of leak to	est <u>helium lift</u>	0 - 5,000 m	270 ⁰	3 m/sec	
Test results <u>le</u>	ss than .1% per day	5,000 - 10,000	0 m		
		10,000 m - alt	titude_		
		Altitude	280 ⁰	7 m/sec	
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 20	Flight duration		1	days
Balloon mass	876 gm	Flight duration	1	balloon	davs

Balloon mass	876	<u>gm</u>
Balloon volume	1.853	m ³
Balloon diameter	1.524	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	2.5	mils
Electronics mass_	128	gm
Ballast	100	gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1104 gm
Free lift	121	gm

Launch site	172 [°] 32 '	E, 43 ⁰ 2	<u>9's</u>
Launch time 22/0	3/66	1830	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.4	m/	sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n	m/	sec
Float altitude	5770		m
Radar <u>xxxx</u>			
Computed			

Calibration data KKK

Sun angleCod	e period (10 ⁻¹ sec)
10 ⁰	38.8
	23.6
80 [°]	12.7
80	0 Occulting angle

l	<u>_days</u>
balloor	<u>n days</u>
0	
d1	
	1 balloor 0 d

Remarks: V reference, K sun angle, U helium temperature, M air temperature. Believe feed-through fitting on inflation valve leaked. Ground test of equivalent feed-through subsequently showed leaks.

Maximum supertemperature $+6^{\circ}C$ at sun angle of 46° . Minimum supertemperature $-5^{\circ}C$ at sun angle of 10° . Clouds produced an $8^{\circ}C$ change in supertemperature near noon, from $+6^{\circ}C$ to $-2^{\circ}C$.

Balloon #15253 D	Surface winds 090 ° 3 m/sec
Frequency 15.023 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak test	0 - 5,000 m 270° 6 m/sec
Test results Raven: not tested	5,000 - 10,000 m <u>280⁰ 11 m/sec</u>
Schjeldahl: not tested	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude 270° 17 m/sec
Mfr. balloon # Schjeldahl special	Flight duration 4 days
Balloon mass R 965 S 1068 gm	Flight duration 4 balloon days
Balloon volume R 4.19 S 1.86 m ³	Number of orbits 0
Balloon diameter R 2.0 S 1.52 m	Position last heard 64838 (28/03/66)
Film thickness R 1.5 S 3.0 mils	Balloon days tracked4
Electronics mass 105 gm	
Ballast10 gm	Remarks: Anchor balloon flight with
Gross weight less helium 2148 gm	lower balloon providing a variable
Free lift 129 gm	ballast for the top balloon at all
	altitudes above 340 mb.
Launch site172 [°] 32'E, 43 [°] 29'S	Untested top balloon probably
Launch time 24/03/66 1826 UT	had a leak since over half of similar
Ascent rate	tested ballooms later showed leaks.
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.25</u> m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>1.25 m/sec</u>	
Float altitude <u>10,900 daytime m</u>	
Radar 9,600 nighttime	
Computed_xxxx	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
10 [°] 38.0	
<u> </u>	
80 [°] 12.5	
80 ⁰ Occulting angle	

Balloon # 16202 S 17206 U	Surface winds 020 ° 6 m/sec
Frequency 15.022 15.026 MHz	Cloud cover broken altocumulus
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test air pressure	0 - 5,000 m
Test results less than .1% per day	5,000 - 10,000 m
	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude
Mfr. balloon #Schjeldahl 6	Flight duration <u>days</u>
Balloon mass <u>978</u> gm	Flight duration balloon days
Balloon volume <u>4.44</u> m ³	Number of orbits
Balloon diameter 2.04 m	Position last heard
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked
Electronics mass 209 gm	
Ballast42 gm	Remarks: Balloon rose to 5000 m at
Gross weight less helium 1229 gm	1.5 m/sec, iced up, and descended at
Free lift gm	1.5 m/sec. Temperature at maximum
	altitude was -8 [°] C. S measured elec-
Launch site $172^{\circ}32'E, 43^{\circ}29'S$	tronics temperature; U was sun angle
Launch time 30/03/66 0023 UT	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5</u> m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m m/sec	
Float altitudem	
Radar	
Computed	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
Occulting angle	

.

Balloon #_	18185 L		Surface winds	060	77	m/sec
Frequency_	15.025	MHz	Cloud cover	broke	n alto	stratus
			Climb-out winds		2300	UT
Method of	leak test air pressu	ire	0 - 5,000 m	280 ⁰	12 m/	sec
Test resul	lts_less_than .1% pe	er day	5,000 - 10,000	0 m_33	0 ⁰ 20	m/sec
			10,000 m - al:	titude	320 ⁰	<u>25 m/se</u> c
			Altitude	340 ⁰	22 m/	sec

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	8
Balloon mass	984	gm
Balloon volume	4.44	m ³
Balloon diameter	2.04	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	1.5	mils
Electronics mass	109	gm
Ballast	28	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1121</u>	gm
Free lift	112	gm

Launch site	<u> </u>	E, 4	3° 29 ' S
Launch time	31/03/66	22	253 UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.4		m/sec
5,000 - 10,0	000 m	1.6	m/sec
Float altitude	<u> </u>	2	<u>m</u>
Radar			
Computed xx	xx		

Flight duration 80 balloon days Number of orbits 6 Position last heard 62858 (11/06/66) Balloon days tracked 51

Flight duration____

74

days

Remarks: This balloon had an intermittent transmitter which failed several times. In one case the transmitter did not operate for a period of 13 days.

Probable cause of failure: transmitter failure.

Ca1	ibr	ati	on	data	
	TO T	~ - <u>-</u>	~		

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 [°]	45.5		
30°	29.0		
80 ⁰	15.9		
80 ⁰	0ccul	ting	angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

.

Balloon # 19203 G 20206 V	Surface winds $020 \circ 2 \text{ m/sec}$
Frequency 15.023 15.026 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out winds1700 UT
Method of leak testair pressure	0 - 5,000 m 270 [°] 5 m/sec
Test results less than .1% per day.	5,000 - 10,000 m <u>250⁰ 10 m/sec</u>
Leak near equator repaired	• 10,000 m - altitude 230° 35 m/sec
	Altitude250 ⁰ 35 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #Schjeldahl 7	Flight duration39 days
Balloon mass <u>977 gm</u>	Flight duration <u>42 balloon days</u>
Balloon volume 4.44 m ³	Number of orbits 3
Balloon diameter 2.04 m	Position last heard <u>63129</u> (14/05/66)
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 30
Electronics mass 235 gm	
Ballast17gm	Remarks: Upper package was 19203 G,
Gross weight less helium 1229 gm	electronics temperature.
Free lift 123 gm	
Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u>	
Launch time_05/04/661845_UT	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5</u> m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>1.8</u> m/sec	
Float altitude <u>11,800 m</u>	
Radar	
Computed <u>xxxx</u>	
Calibration data VVV	
Sun angleCode period (10^{-1} sec)	
10° 33.5	
30 [°] 18.8	

 80 ⁰	10.4	
 80 ⁰	Occulting	angle

.

.
Balloon #	21207 U		Surface winds $250 \circ 6 \text{ m/sec}$
Frequency	15.027 MH	ĺz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out winds 2300 UT
Method of leak	test <u>sir pressure</u>	.	0 - 5,000 m <u>250⁰ 18 m/sec</u>
Test results <u>le</u>	ess than .1%		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>250°</u> 30 m/sec
			10,000 m – altitude <u>260[°] 30 m/sec</u>
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	Altitude270 ⁰ 25 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 9		Flight duration 17 days
Balloon mass	988 2	m	Flight duration 18 balloon days

Balloon volume	4.44		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.04		π
Film thickness	1.5		mils
Electronics mass_	130		gm
Ballast	111		gm
Gross weight less	helium	1229	gm
Free lift	123		gm

Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u> Launch time <u>06/04/66</u> <u>2135</u> UT Ascent rate 0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5</u> m/sec 5,000 - 10,000 m <u>1.8</u> m/sec Float altitude <u>11,800</u> m Radar

Computed xxxx

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	45.4		
<u>30</u> °	27.5		<u></u>
80 ⁰	14.6		
<u> </u>	0ccu]	lting	angle

Flight duration 17 days Flight duration 18 balloon days Number of orbits 1 Position last heard 56977 (24/04/66) Balloon days tracked 17

Remarks: Sun sensor was open circuited for 2 hr immediately after launch but operated properly for the duration of the flight.

Balloon may have been damaged in a rough launch.

Balloon moved into the Antarctic <u>m/sec</u> night on 24/04/66.

Balloon #	22203 R	<u></u>	Surface winds 250 ° 6 m/sec
Frequency	15.023	MHz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out winds 2300 UT
Method of leak t	est_air_press	sure	0 - 5,000 m 250° 18 m/sec
Test results les	s than .1%		5,000 - 10,000 m 250° 30 m/sec
			10,000 m - altitude_260° 30 m/sec
		<u>.</u>	Altitude270 ⁰ 25 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldah	1 10	Flight duration <u>8 days</u>
Balloon mass	972	gm	Flight duration <u>6</u> balloon days
Balloon volume	4.44	³	Number of orbits 0
Balloon diameter	2.04	<u>m</u>	Position last heard 54332 (14/04/66)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked6
Electronics mass	132	gm	
Ballast	125	gm	Remarks: This balloon had an extremely
Gross weight les	s helium <u>12</u>	29 gm	rough launch in gusty winds. The
Free lift	123	gm	antenna struck a fence after release
			with no apparent damage.
Launch site	<u>172[°] 32'E, 4</u>	43°29'S	Probable cause of failure:
Launch time <u>06</u>	5/04/66 214	<u>0 UT</u>	balloon leak.
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000) m <u> </u>	m/sec	
Float altitude	11,800	m	
Radar			
Computed <u>xxxx</u>			
Calibration data	L		
Sun angleCod	le period (10 ⁻	⁻¹ sec)	
10 ⁰	40.9		
<u>30°</u>	23.6		
80 ⁰	12.2		
80°	Occulting	g angle	

-04

L

-

Balloon #	23206 F		Surface winds [°] calm m/sec
Frequency	15.026	MHz	Cloud cover
1 7			Climb-out winds <u>1700 UT</u>
Method of leak tes	t		0 - 5,000 - 240 ⁰ 10 m/sec
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m <u>220⁰ 28 m/sec</u>
			10,000 m - altitude <u>210⁰ 25 m/se</u> c
<u> </u>		. <u></u>	Altitude <u>220⁰22 m/sec</u>
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 127		Flight duration51 days
Balloon mass	967	gm	Flight duration 54 balloon days
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³	Number of orbits 3
Balloon diameter	2.0	<u>m</u>	Position last heard 64048 (31/05/66)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked 38
Electronics mass	133	gm	
Ballast	60	gm	Remarks: Balloon moved into Antarctic
Gross weight less	helium <u>1160</u>) gm	night on 3 occasions.
Free lift		gm	Probable cause of failure:
			balloon leak.
Launch site	<u>172°32'E, 43°</u>	<u>29'S</u>	
Launch time <u>10/04</u>	/66 1846	UT	
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	<u>1.5</u> m	sec/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m	<u>1.8</u> m	/sec	
Float altitude	11,800	<u> </u>	
Radar			
Computed <u>xxxx</u>			
Calibration data			
Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻¹	sec)	
10°	44.5		
30°	26.5	<u> </u>	
80 ⁰	15.0		
80 ⁰	Occulting a	ngle	

Occulting angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

.

Balloon #	24202 S		Surface winds <u>calm m/sec</u>
Frequency	15.022 M	lz	Cloud coverClear
			Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak tes	t <u>air pressure</u>		0 - 5,000 m 200 5 m/sec
Test results less	; than .05%		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>120 5 m/sec</u>
			10,000 m - altitude <u>120 6 m/sec</u>
		_	Altitude100°5 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 12		Flight duration57 days
Balloon mass	978	gm	Flight duration <u>61</u> balloon days
Balloon volume	4.44 1	m ³	Number of orbits4
Balloon diameter	2.04	m	Position last heard 64245 (08/06/66)
Film thickness	1.5 mi	<u>ls</u>	Balloon days tracked 55
Electronics mass	108	gm	
Ballast	143	gm	Remarks: Balloon heard on 09/07/66 by
Gross weight less	helium 1229	gm	Lima station on 89th day, located
Free lift	123	gm	near equator.
			Probable cause of failure:
Launch site	172° 32'E, 43° 29	<u>'s</u>	transmitter failure.
Launch time 12/04/	/661845	UT	
Ascent rate			· ·
0 - 5,000 m	1.4 m/s	<u>ec</u>	
5,000 - 10,000 m	1.8 m/s	<u>ec</u>	
Float altitude	11,800	m	
Radar			
Computed <u>xxxx</u>			
Calibration data			
Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻¹ se	c)	
10 [°]	39.2		
30 [°]	22.0		
80 ⁰	12.1		

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 [°]	39.2		
	30 ⁰	22.0		
	80 ⁰	12 .1		
	80 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

I

Balloon #	25209 A		Surface winds	⁰ calm m/sec
Frequency	15.029	MH z	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	1700 <u>UT</u>
Method of leak	test air pre	essure	0 - 5,000 m 270 ⁰	3 m/soc
Test results Ba	lloon showed	a gas	5,000 - 10,000 m	280 ⁰ 5 m/sec
loss of .25% p	er day in tes	sts. Leak	10,000 m - altitude	e <u>310⁰12 m/se</u> c
discovered & r	epaired, not	tested	Altitude310 ⁰	12 m/sec
further.				
Mfr. balloon #	Schjelda	ahl 11	Flight duration	30 days
Balloon mass	974	gm	Flight duration_32_	balloon days
Balloon volume	4.44	m ³	Number of orbits	2
Balloon diamet	er2.04	m	Position last heard_	63353 (14/05/66)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked	22
Electronics ma	ss129	gm		
Bellast	126	gm	Remarks: Leak repair	ed near balloon
Gross weight l	ess helium	1229 gm	equator prior to fli	ght.
Free lift	123	gm	Poor tracking d	ue to high
			frequency close t	o Radio Peking.
Launch site	<u> 172[°] 32'Е,</u>	43°29'S	Probable cause	of failure:
Launch time <u>l</u>	4/04/66	1852 UT	balloon leak	
Ascent rate				
0 - 5,000 m_	1.4	m/sec		
5,000 - 10,0	00 m <u>1.8</u>	m/sec		
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>		
Radar				
Computed xxxx	<u> </u>			
Calibration da	ta			
Sun angleC	ode period (1	.0 ⁻¹ sec)		
10 ⁰	37.0			
30 ⁰	24.4			
80 ⁰	14.2			
8	0 Occulti	ng angle		

Balloon #	26204 K		Surface winds_	<u>040</u> °	7	m/sec
Frequency	15.024	MHz	Cloud cover	broken a	ltostra	itus
			Climb-out wind	s	1700	UT
Method of leak	test_air pressu	ire	0 - 5,000 m_	2700	12 m/s	sec
Test results	less than .15%		5,000 - 10,0	00 m_250	<mark>0 40 п</mark>	n/sec
		···.	10,000 m – a	ltitude_	250 ⁰	55 m/sec
	 		Altitude	2.50 ⁰	50 m/s	sec
Mfr. balloon #_	Schjeldahl	1	Flight duration	n	0	<u>days</u>

MII. Dalloon #	Jenjeruani	+
Balloon mass	977	gm
Balloon volume	4.44	m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.04	m
Film thickness	1.5	mils
Electronics mass_	105	gm
Ballast	147	gm
Gross weight less	helium_1229	gm
Free lift	123	gm

0	<u>days</u>
balloon	days
<u> </u>	
	0 balloon

Remarks: No signals received at the Christchurch station from this balloon. It is assumed that it iced up and descended into the ocean.

Launch site	<u>172°32'E, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time18/	04/66 1845 UT
Ascent rate	•
0 - 5,000 m	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	nm/sec
Float altitude	<u>m</u>
Radar	
Computed	

Calibration data

÷

Sun angle--Code period (10^{-1} sec)

Occulting angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	27203 B		Surface winds	210 (2	m/sec
Frequency	15.023	MHz	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds	s	2300	UT
Method of leak	test_air pres	sure	0 - 5,000 m_	220 [°]	8 m/s	ec
Test results 1	.ost .4% per day	. Pin-	5,000 - 10,00	00 m_ 2	20 [°] 12	m/sec
hole leak disc	covered 10 ⁰ from	n equato	r 10,000 m - al	Ltitude_	230 [°]	<u>12 m/se</u> c
& repaired. N	lo further test.		Altitude	230 [°]	10 m/s	ec

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeld	dahl 2	
Balloon mass	958		gm
Balloon volume	4.44		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.04		<u></u>
Film thickness	1.5		<u>mils</u>
Electronics mass	105		gm
Ballast	166		gm
Gross weight less	helium	1229	gm
Free lift	123		gm

Flight duration see	remarks	days
Flight duration	<u>balloon</u>	days
Number of orbits		
Position last heard_		
Balloon days tracked	13	
-		

Ballast166gmRemarks: This balloon had a defectiveGross weight less helium1229gmtransmitter which made it very diffi-Free lift123gmcult to read except when the balloonwas very close.The balloon wasLaunch site172°32'E, 43°29'Sheard on 11/06/66 on its 76th dayLaunch time26/04/662143UT

Launch time <u>26/04/66</u> <u>2143</u> UT in Djakarta. Ascent rate 0 - 5,000 m<u>1.4</u> <u>m/sec</u> 5,000 - 10,000 m<u>1.8</u> <u>m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>11,800</u> m Radar

Computed<u>xxxx</u>

Sun	angleCode	period	(10^{-1})	sec)
	_20 [°]	22.5		
	30 ⁰	15.0		
	80 ⁰	Occu.	lting	angle

Balloon #2820	3 X	Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency15.0	23 MHz	Cloud cover
		Climb-out winds 2300 UT
Method of leak testai	r pressure	0 - 5,000 m <u>360[°] 20 m/sec</u>
Test results less than	.05%	5,000 - 10,000 m_ 340° 25 m/sec
		10,000 m - altitude_340 [°] 33 m/sec
		Altitude340 ⁰ 33 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #Schj	eldahl 3	Flight duration 192 days
Balloon mass 983	gm	Flight duration 208 balloon days
Balloon volume 4.44	- m ³	Number of orbits16
Balloon diameter 2.04	<u>m</u>	Position last heard 62344 (04/11/66)
Film thickness 1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked 206
Electronics mass 108	gm	
Ballast138	gm	Remarks: Probable cause of failure:
Gross weight less heliu	m <u>1229 gm</u>	balloon leak.
Free lift 123	gm	
Launch site <u>172[°] 3</u>	2'E, 43°29'S	
Launch time 28/04/66	<u>1857 UT</u>	
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.4</u>	m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>1.8</u>	m/sec	
Float altitude <u>11,8</u>	800 m	
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		
Calibration data		
Sun angleCode perio	d (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
10 [°] 44.6) 	
	۰ <u>۰</u>	
80 [°] 14.9	·	
<u> </u>	ulting angle	

`

. .

Balloon #	29204 Z		Surface winds	^o cal	.m m/sec
Frequency	15.024	MHz	Cloud cover clea	r	
			Climb-out winds 2300		UT
Method of leak t	est <u>air pre</u>	ssure	$0 - 5,000 \text{ m} 200^{\circ}$	4 m/	sec
Test results les	s than .1%		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>1</u>	90 ⁰ 1	.0 m/sec
			10,000 m - altitude	e_240 ⁰	10 m/sec
			Altitude 240°	10 m	n/sec
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldah	1 4	Flight duration	31	days
Balloon mass	977	gm	Flight duration 33	bal	loon days
Balloon volume	4.44	m ³	Number of orbits	2	
Balloon diameter	2.04	m	Position last heard	63426	(03/06/66
Film thickness	1.5	mil <u>s</u>	Balloon days tracked	33	

Balloon mass	977		gm
Balloon volume	4.44		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.04		m
Film thickness	1.5		mils
Electronics mass_	107		gm
Ballast	145		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1229	gm
Free lift	148		gm

Remarks: Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch site	172 [°] 32 'E	, 43 ⁰ 29'S
Launch time <u>03/05</u> ,	/66	<u>1841 UT</u>
Ascent rate		
0 ~ 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n <u>1.9</u>	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	m
Radar		
0		

Computed<u>xxxx</u>

....

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	40.4	•	
30 [°]	25.4		
80 ⁰	14.5		
80 [°]	0ccul	ting	angle

Balloon #	30202 C		Surface winds	° calm m/sec
Frequency	15.022	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	1700 UT
Method of leak tes	st <u>air pressur</u>	e	$0 - 5,000 \text{ m} 300^{\circ}$	2 m/sec
Test results lost	.5% per day.	Sma11	l 5,000 - 10,000 m	270 ⁰ 15 m/sec
hole discovered &	repaired 2 ft	above	e 10,000 m - altitud	e <u>270⁰ 17 m/se</u> c
inflation fitting	. Retested - 1	less	Altitude 280°	17 m/sec
than .1% per day.				
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 129		Flight duration	39 days
Balloon mass	974	gm	Flight duration 42	balloon days
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³	Number of orbits	3
Balloon diameter_	2.0	m	Position last heard_	** (16/06/66)
Film thickness	1.5 m	<u>nils</u>	Balloon days tracked	42
Electronics mass_	115	gm		
Ballast	71	gm	Remarks: This balloo	n was launched
Gross weight less	helium <u>1160</u>	gm	3 min prior to 31206	D. The balloons
Free lift	128	gm	remained within 200	km of each other
			for the first 14 day	s of flight.
Launch site	<u>172° 32'E, 43° 2</u>	<u>.9's</u>	** Last positio	n with good data
Launch time 08/0	05/66 1933	UT	51487 on (14/06/66).	
Ascent rate			Probable cause	of failure:
0 - 5,000 m	1.4 m/	sec	balloon leak.	
5,000 - 10,000 m	n <u> 1.8 m/</u>	sec		
Float altitude	11,800	m		
Radar				
Computed <u>xxxx</u>				
Calibration data				
Sun angleCode	period $(10^{-1} s$	sec)		
10 ⁰	26.4			
	16.6			
80 ⁰	9.2	_		

÷.

80⁰

Occulting angle

Balloon # 31206 D	Surface winds ⁰ m/see
Balloon #15 026	Surface writes m/sec
Frequency 15.020 MHZ	
	Climb-out winds = 1700 UI
Mothod of leak test_air pressure	0 5,000 m 300 2 m/sec
Test results less than .1%	$5,000 - 10,000 \text{ m} 270^{\circ} 13 \text{ m/sec}$
	10,000 m - altitude 270° 17 m/sec
	Altitude280° 1/ m/sec
MG: halles # Davies 121	
Mir. balloon # Raven 151	Flight duration 17 days
Balloon mass 964 gm	Flight duration 10 balloon days
Balloon volume 4.19 m	Number of orbits 1
Balloon diameter 2.0 m	Position last heard $\frac{84/34}{25/06/66}$
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 18
Electronics mass 106 gm	
Ballast90 gm	Remarks: See balloon 30202 C.
Gross weight less helium 1160 gm	Probable cause of failure: balloon
Free lift 128 gm	leak.
Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u>	
Launch time 08/05/66 1936 UT	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.4 m/sec</u>	
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>1.8 m/sec</u>	
Float altitude <u>11,800 m</u>	
Radar	
Computed_xxxx	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
<u>10[°] 28.2</u>	
30° 17.6	

٠

80⁰

80[°]

9.9

Occulting angle

.

Balloon #	32506 DWRK		Surface winds		^o calm	m/sec
Frequency	15.026	MHz	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds_		1700	UT
Method of leak	test helium lift		0 - 5,000 m	250 ⁰	6 m/sec	
Test results less than .05%			5,000 - 10,000) m		
			10,000 m - alt	itude	·	
			Altitude	2 50 ⁰	7 m/sec	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjelda	hl 2-A
Balloon mass	890	gm
Balloon volume	1.95	m ³
Balloon diameter	1.54	m
Film thickness	2.5	mils
 Electronics mass	132	gm
Ballast	128	gm
Gross weight less	helium l	150 gm
Free lift	363	gm

Launch site	<u>172⁰ 32 ' I</u>	<u>z, 43°29's</u>	;
Launch time 12/0	5/66	2043 UT	•
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	2.5	m/sec	<u>:</u>
5,000 - 10,000 r	n	m/sec	
Float altitude	5735		<u>1</u>
Radar <u>xxxx</u>			
Computed			

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10°	43.0		
30 ⁰	25.5		
86 [°]	13.7		
86 ⁰	0ccu]	ting	angle

Flight duration		5		days
Flight duration	5	bal	loon	<u>days</u>
Number of orbits_	_	0		
Position last hea	rd_	63539	(17/	<u>05/66</u>)
Balloon days trac	ked	I		

Remarks: This balloon was wax treated. Total mass of wax was 9 gm. This 4 coder measured sun angle, air temperature, film strain, and electronics temperature. The stress-strain data and creep information are discussed in the body of the text. Electronics temperature varied from $+2^{\circ}$ C to $+26^{\circ}$ C. This balloon was heard on 17/07/66

in Djakarta.

	0 1
Balloon #33504 P	Surface winds <u>calm m/sec</u>
Frequency 15.024 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak testhelium test	$0 - 5,000 \text{ m} 270^{\circ} 9 \text{ m/sec}$
Test results less than .05%	5,000 - 10,000 m
	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude 270° 14 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #Schjeldahl 1-A	Flight duration <u>3 days</u>
Balloon mass 890 gm	Flight duration3balloon days
Balloon volume 1.95 m ³	Number of orbits 0
Balloon diameter 1.54 m	Position last heard_61862 (19/05/66)
Film thickness 2.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 3
Electronics mass 120 gm	
Ballast 57 gm.	Remarks: This balloon was wax treated
Gross weight less helium 1067 gm	and had 30% free lift. The balloon was
Free lift 320 gm	girdled with 6 layers of glass filament
	tape around the equator to prevent
Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u>	burst.
Launch time 16/05/66 2025 UT	Probable cause of failure: icing.
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>3</u> m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m m/sec	
Float altitude 6200 m	
Radar	
Computed_ <u>xxxx</u>	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10^{-1} sec)	
<u> 10° 32.5</u>	
30 [°] 19.2	

83⁰

<u>83</u>0

10.7

Occulting angle

D-11# 2/206 I	$C_{\rm eff}$
Balloon #54206 J	Surface winds 210 5 m/sec
Frequency13.026 MHz	cloud cover overcast above, clear to east
	Climb-out winds 0500 UT
Method of leak test <u>helium lift</u>	0 - 5,000 m <u>180°</u> 2 m/sec
Test results less than .05%	5,000 - 10,000 m 290° 5 m/sec
	10,000 m - altitude <u>270⁰ 8 m/se</u> c
	Altitude <u>250⁰10 m/sec</u>
Mfr. balloon #Schjeldahl 18	Flight duration234 days
Balloon mass981gm	Flight duration 253 balloon days
Balloon volume 4.44 m ³	Number of orbits 19
Balloon diameter 2.04 m	Position last heard 74932 (13/01/67)
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 240
Electronics mass 116 gm	
Ballast <u>132 gm</u>	Remarks: Last reported good trans-
Gross weight less helium <u>1229 gm</u>	mission on 13/01/67 on 234th day.
Free lift 172 gm	Balloon was heard intermittently on
	24/01/67 by the Huancayo Observatory,
Launch site <u>172[°] 32'E, 43[°] 29'S</u>	Peru. Failure may have been electronic.
Launch time25/05/660414UT	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5</u> m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>2.0 m/sec</u>	
Float altitude 11,800 m	
Radar	
Computed xxxx	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10^{-1} sec)	
10° 29.8	
80° 10.8	

E I

80° Occulting angle

.

.

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	35203 R		Surface winds 210 ° 3 m/sec
Frequency	15.023	MHz	Cloud cover overcast above, clear to east
			Climb-out winds 0500 UT
Method of leck	tost bolin lift		0 - 5,000 m 180° 2 m/sec
Test results le	ess than .04%		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>290°</u> 5 m/sec
			10,000 m - altitude <u>270[°] 8 m/se</u> c
			Altitude <u>250⁰10 m/sec</u>

Mir. balloon #	Schjel	dani .	19
Balloon mass	980	<u> </u>	gm
Balloon volume	4.44		³
Balloon diameter_	2.04		m
Film thickness	1.5	<u></u>	mils
Electronics mass_	116		gm
Ballast	133		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1229	gm
Free lift	172		gm

2.5	days
balloc	on days
2	
64650 (1	<u>3/06/66</u>)
9	
	25 balloc 2 64650 (13 9

Remarks: This balloon remained at high latitudes. Balloon was heard on 18/06/66 but no position obtained.

Balloon life may have been one month or more longer than recorded as it remained in the Antarctic night.

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32'E,</u>	43°29'S
Launch time	25/05/66	0432 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	n <u> </u>	<u>m/sec</u>

5,000 - 10,000	m_	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude		11,800	m
Radar			

Computed <u>xxxx</u>

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	36.5		
	30 ⁰	20.8		
	80 ⁰	11.4		
	80 ⁰	0ccu1	ting	angle

Balloon #	36204 К	Surface winds 210 $^{\circ}$ 3 m/sec	
Frequency	15.024 MHz	Cloud cover overcast above, clear to east	
		Climb-out winds 0500 UT	
Method of leak tes	t helium lift	$0 - 5,000 \text{ m} = 180^{\circ} 2 \text{ m/sec}$	
Test results less than .06%		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>290°</u> 5 m/sec	
		10,000 m - altitude 270° 8 m/sec	
		Altitude250 ⁰ 10 m/sec	
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 20	Flight duration 39 days	
Balloon mass	977 gm	Flight duration ? balloon days	
Balloon volume	4.44 m ³	Number of orbits ?	
Balloon diameter	2.04 m	Position last heard 75002 (09/06/66)	

Balloon diameter_	2.04	<u>m</u>	Position last heard 75002
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked 8
Electronics mass_	123	gm	
Ballast	129	<u>. gm</u>	Remarks: This balloon mov
Gross weight less	helium_	1229 gm	. the Antarctic night and was
Free lift	172	gm	intermittently. Last good

Remarks:	This balloon moved into
the Antarci	ic night and was tracked
intermitter	itly. Last good data was
on 09/06/66	. Last data was on 02/07/66.

Launch site	172°32'E,	<u>43°29'S</u>
Launch time 25/0)5/66	0425 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxx</u> x		

Calibration data

.

1

,

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	27.0		
30°	15.7		
80 ^o	8.9		
80 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

Balloon #	37202 W	Surface winds 040 $^{\circ}$ 5 m/sec
Frequency	15.022 MHz	Cloud cover
		Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak	test not tested	$0 - 5,000 \text{ m} 270^{\circ} 6 \text{ m/sec}$
Test results		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>210° 17 m/sec</u>
		10,000 m - altitude <u>210⁰ 14 m/se</u> c
		Altitude210 ⁰ 11_m/sec

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	1/
Balloon mass	978	gm
Balloon volume	4.44	m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.04	m
Film thickness	1.5	mils
Electronics mass_	106	gm
Ballast	145	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1229</u>	gm
Free lift	172	gm

Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u> Launch time <u>26/05/66</u> <u>1900 UT</u> Ascent rate 0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5 m/sec</u> 5,000 - 10,000 m <u>2.0 m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>11,800 m</u> Radar_____

Computed xxxx

Calibration data

Sun angle--Code period (10^{-1} sec)

see remarks

Occulting angle

 Flight duration see remarks days

 Flight duration balloon days

 Number of orbits

 Position last heard

 Balloon days tracked

Remarks: Transmitter on this balloon put out less than 10% normal power. It was heard weakly for 3 days at Christchurch and then not picked up again. The duration of flight is not known.

Balloon #	38206 U		Surface winds 040 $^{\circ}$ 5 m/sec
Frequency	15.026	MHz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out winds <u>1700</u> UT
Method of leak	test <u>not test</u>	ied	0 - 5,000 m <u>270° 6 m/sec</u>
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m <u>210[°] 17 m/sec</u>
			10,000 m - altitude <u>210⁰ 14 m/se</u> c
			Altitude 210° 11 m/sec

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	16
Balloon mass	970	gm
Balloon volume	4.44	m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.04	m
Film thickness	1.5	mils
Electronics mass_	116	gm
Ballast	143	. gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1229</u>	gm
Free lift	172	gm

Flight duration5daysFlight duration5balloon daysNumber of orbits0Position last heard63110 (01/06/66)Balloon days tracked

Remarks:

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak (balloon was not tested).

Launch	site	<u>172[°] 3</u>	2'E,	43°29'S
Launch	time	26/05/66		<u>1902 UT</u>
Ascent	rate			
0 - 9	5,000 m	1.5		m/sec
5,000	- 10,00	0 m	2.0	<u>m/sec</u>
Float a	altitude_	11,8	00	m
Radar	•			
Compu	ited			

Calibration data

. .

λ.

Sun angle	eCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	31.5		
	30 ⁰	17.8		
	80 ⁰	9.6		
	80 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

Balloon #3	9208 н	Surface winds <u>° calm m/sec</u>
Frequency 1	5.028 <u>MHz</u>	Cloud cover clear
		Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Method of leak test_	air pressure	0 - 5.000 m 280° 18 m/sec
Test results less th	an .05%	5,000 - 10,000 m <u>310°</u> 40 m/sec
	-	10,000 m - altitude <u>320⁰46 m/se</u> c
		Altitude_320° 45 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #S	chjeldahl 13	Flight duration 85 days
Balloon mass 9	82 gm	Flight duration 91+ balloon days
Balloon volume <u>4</u>	.44 m ³	Number of orbits 6+
Balloon diameter 2	.04 m	Position last heard 65705 (21/08/66)
Film thickness 1	.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 50
Electronics mass 1	.16 gm	
Ballast1	31 gm	Remarks: This balloon remained in
Gross weight less he	lium <u>1229 gm</u>	the Antarctic night during much of
Free liftl	.72 gm	July and August. Last good data on
		21/08/66. Last data on 26/08/66.
Launch site17	2 [°] 32 'E, 43 [°] 29 'S	
Launch time 02/06/	66 2002 UT	
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m <u>1</u>	5 m/sec	
	/	

5,000 - 10,000 m <u>2.0 m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>11,800 m</u> Radar_____

Computed <u>xxxx</u>

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 [°]	28.4		
30°	16.9		
80 ⁰	9.6		
0 ⁰ ع	0ccul	lting	angle

Balloon #	40204 B	Surface winds	210 ^c	4	m/sec
Frequency	15.024 MHz	Cloud cover			
		Climb-out winds_		2300	UT
Method of leak tes	tair_pressure	0 - 5,000 m	240 ⁰	9 m/se	c
Test results less	than .05%	5,000 - 10,000	m2	50 [°] 10	m/sec
		10,000 m - alt	itude_	250 ⁰	<u>10 m/s</u> ec
		Altitude	2 <u>6</u> 0°	10 m/se	c
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 15	Flight duration_		209	<u>days</u>
Balloon mass	976 <u>gm</u>	Flight duration	225	balloo	<u>n days</u>
Balloon volume	4.44 m ³	Number of orbits		16	

Balloon mass	976		gm
Balloon volume	4.44		³
Balloon diameter_	2.04		m
Film thickness	1.5		mils
Electronics mass_	116		gm
Ballast	137		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1229	gm
Free lift	172		gm

Position last heard <u>84765 (31/12/66)</u>					
Balloon days tracked 216					
Remarks: Last report with	good data				
transmission on 31/12/66.	Balloon				
heard briefly on 13/01/67	by Melbourne				
and on 20/01/67 by Lima.	Failure may				

have been electronic.

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32 'E</u>	<u>, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time0	6/06/66	<u>0010 UT</u>
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u> </u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data

1

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10°	25.2		
30°	16.0		
80 ⁰	9.4		
<u>80</u> °	<u>Occu</u>	ting	angle

Balloon #	41204 W		Surface winds	0	calm	m/sec
Frequency	15.024	MHz	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds_		1700	UT
Method of le	eak test air pres	sure	0 - 5,000 m 1	180 ⁰	9 m/se	20
Test result:	s less than .05%		5,000 - 10,000	m22	0 [°] 18	m/sec
			10,000 m - alti	itude_	210 [°] 2	2 <u>2 m/se</u> c
<u></u>			Altitude2	210 ⁰	22 m/se	ec
	" Schioldahl	1/4	Tlishe dumption		89	dava

Mir. balloon #	Schjeit		
Balloon mass	982		gm
Balloon volume	4.44		m ³
Balloon diameter	2.04		m
Film thickness	1.5		mils
Electronics mass_	110		gm
Ballast	137		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1229	gm
Free lift	172		gm

Flight duration		- 69		days
Flight duration	96	bal	loon	days
Number of orbits_		7		
Position last hea	rd_52	2850	(12/0)9/66)
Balloon days trac	ked	80		
-				

.

Remarks: Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch site	172 [°] 32	'E,	4 3 ⁰ 2	<u>9's</u>
Launch time 15/06	i/66	21	42	UT
Ascent rate				
0 - 5,000 m	1.5		m/	sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n	2.0	/	sec
Float altitude	11,80	0		m
Radar				
Computed <u>xxxx</u>				

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10°	19.6		
30 ⁰	12.5		
80 ⁰	7.4		
80°	0ccu1	lting	angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	42506 P		Surface winds 0 5 m/sec
Frequency	15.027	MHz	Cloud cover see remarks
			Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak	test <u>tent</u>	test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results <u>n</u>	o leaks found		5,000 - 10,000 m
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		10,000 m - altitude
			Altitude
Mfr. balloon #_	Schjeldah	1 17	Flight duration 0 days
Balloon mass	875	gm	Flight duration 0 balloon days
Balloon volume_	1.86	^{m³}	Number of orbits0
Balloon diamete	r1.52	<u>m</u>	Position last heard
Film thickness_	2.5	mils	Balloon days tracked
Electronics mas	s <u> 114 </u>	gm	
Ballast	115	gm	Remarks: This balloon was waxed and
Gross weight le	ss helium <u>ll</u>	04 <u>gm</u>	flown in a heavy overcast with tops at
Free lift	275	gm	5000 m. The signals were never
			received at the station from the
Launch site	172°32'E,	43°29'5	balloon.
Launch time	28/06/66	<u>2110 UT</u>	
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	<u>see remarks</u>	m/sec	
5,000 - 10,00	0 m	m/sec	
Float altitude_		m	
Radar			
Computed			
Calibration dat	а		
Sun angleCo	de period (10	-1 sec)	

Occulting angle

Ł

Balloon #43502 N	Surface winds <u>°</u> calm m/sec
Frequency 15.023 MHz	Cloud cover see remarks
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test cant test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results no leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m
	10,000 m – altitude
	Altitude
Mfr. balloon # <u>Schjeldahl 16</u>	Flight duration <u>2</u> days
Balloon mass 877 gm	Flight duration <u>2 balloon days</u>
Balloon volume <u>1.86 m³</u>	Number of orbits 0
Balloon diameter 1.52 m	Position last heard 62872 (01/07/66)
Film thickness 2.5 mils	Balloon days tracked
Electronics mass 140 gm	
Ballast87 gm	Remarks: Broken altostratus at 3 km;
Gross weight less helium 1104 gm	scattered cirrus at 10 km.
Free lift 276 gm	Probable cause of failure:
	balloon icing.
Launch site172°32'E, 43°29'S	
Launch time 29/06/66 1923 UT	
Ascent rate •	
0 - 5,000 m <u>3</u> m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 mm/sec	
Float altitude <u>5600</u> m	
Radar	
Computedxxxx	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
10 [°] 32.0	
<u> </u>	

83° 11.1 83° Occulting angle

117

Balloon #44205 N	Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency 15.025 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test tent test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results no leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m
	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude
Mfr. balloon # Raven 22	Flight duration 3 days
Balloon mass 956 gm	Flight duration ³ balloon days
Balloon volume4.19 m ³	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter 2.0 m	Position last heard_63312 (17/07/66)
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked
Electronics mass <u>114</u> gm	
Ballast90 gm	Remarks: Techniques used at this
Gross weight less helium <u>1160 gm</u>	time in the tent test were not
Free lift162gm	satisfactory for uncovering leaks.

Launch site	L72 [°] 32 'Ε ,	<u>43°29'S</u>
Launch time 14/0	7/66	2045 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u></u> m
Radar		
Computed_xxxx		

Calibration data

- L

.

.

٢.

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	28.0		
·	30 ⁰	15.4		
	80 ⁰	9.6		
	80 ⁰	0ccu]	ting	angle

Balloon #	45202 C	Surface winds ⁰ m/sec
Frequency	15.022 MHz	Cloud cover
		Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak	test <u>tent test</u>	0 - 5,000 m
Test results	no leaks	5,000 - 10,000 m
	uncovered	10,000 m - altitude
		Altitude

Mfr. balloon #	<u>Raven</u>	16	
Balloon mass	959		gm
Balloon volume	4.19		m ³
Balloon diameter	2.0		m
Film thickness	1.5		mils
Electronics mass_	113	<u>.</u>	gm
Ballast	88		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1160	gm
Free lift	162		gm

Flight duration <u>4 days</u> Flight duration <u>4 balloon days</u> Number of orbits <u>0</u> Position last heard <u>63006 (29/07/66)</u> Balloon days tracked

Remarks: The tent test technique as used at this time was not satisfactory for detecting leaks. It is apparent that this balloon was not leak free.

Launch site	<u>172°32'E,</u>	43°29'S
Launch time25	5/07/66	2052 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m <u>2.0</u>	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxx</u> x		
Calibration data		

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
<u>10⁰</u>	20.0		
30 [°]	12.7		
80°	7.6		
80 [°]	0ccul	lting	angle

Balloon #	46505 P		Surface winds $^{\circ}$	m/sec
Frequency	15.025	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test tent test	L	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks		5,000 - 10,000 m	
	detected		10,000 m - altitude	
	····		Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjelda	<u>thl 14</u>	
Balloon mass	879		gm
Balloon volume	1.86		m ³
Balloon diameter_	1.52	····	m
Film thickness	2.5	m	<u>ils</u>
Electronics mass_	116		gm
Ballast	109		gm
Gross weight less	helium	1104	gm
Free lift	276		gm

days
<u>n days</u>
/08/66)

<u>109 gm</u> Remarks: An error in computation s helium <u>1104 gm</u> resulted in the free lift at launch <u>276 gm</u> of 359 gm -- 35%. Balloon was wax treated and equipped with a special <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u> harness to insure against damage to <u>1/07/66 2335 UT</u> transmitter from turbulence.

Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u> Launch time <u>31/07/66</u> 2335 UT Ascent rate 0 - 5,000 m_<u>3 m/sec</u>

5,000 - 10,000	m	m/sec
Float altitude	5550	m

Radar____

Computed xxxx

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ^o	21.2		
30 [°]	13.3		
83 ⁰	7.8		
83 ⁰	0ccu1	ting	angle

Balloon #	47205 A		Surface winds0	m/sec
Frequency	15.025	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Mathod of 1a	test tent test		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	two leaks		5,000 - 10,000 m	
detected and repaired		10,000 m - altitude		
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Raven 2	1	Flight
Balloon mass	963	gm	Flight
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³	Number
Balloon diameter_	2.0	<u>m</u>	Positi
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloo
Electronics mass_	167	gm	
Ballast	30	gm	Remark
Gross weight less	helium	1160 gm	balloo
Free lift	162	gm	

Flight duration23daysFlight duration24balloon daysNumber of orbits1Position last heard71955 (31/08/66)Balloon days tracked23

Remarks: Probable cause of failure: balloon leak

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32'е</u> ,	<u>43°29'S</u>
Launch time 09/0	8/66	2121 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxx</u> x		

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10°	21 .0		
30 ⁰	14.1		
80°	9.6		
80 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

Balloon #	48207 M	Surface windsm/	sec
Frequency	<u>15.027 MHz</u>	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test <u>tent</u> test	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m	
		10,000 m - altitude	
		Altitude	

Raven 15	
964	gm
4.19	m ³
2.0	m
1.5	mils
110	gm
86	gm
helium <u>1160</u>	gm
162	gm
	Raven 15 964 4.19 2.0 1.5 110 86 helium 1160 162

Flight duration	20+	days
Flight duration 21	l+ <u>ballo</u> on	days
Number of orbits	1	
Position last heard		
- Balloon days tracked	1 5	
•		

Remarks: Transmission was intermittent and erratic. Electronics apparently failed on the 20th day.

Launch site	172° 32'E	<u>, 43° 29' S</u>
Launch time11/(08/66	2100 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n <u>2.0</u>	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxx</u> x		

Calibration data

1

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10°	30.2		
<u> </u>	19.9		
<u> </u>	11.8		
80 [°]	0ccu1	ting a	angle

Balloon #	49208 R	.	Surface winds ^O	m/sec
Frequency	15.028 N	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leal	r tost see romarks		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results_	15 holes found and	d	5,000 - 10,000 m	
rep	aired		10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Raven 23)
Balloon mass	960	gm
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.0	m
Film thickness	1.5	mils
Electronics mass_	110	gm
Ballast	90	gm
Gross weight less	helium	1160 gm
Free lift	162'	gm

Launch site	<u>172⁰32</u>	'E, 4	43°29'S
Launch time02	/09/66	213	7 UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.5		m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 1	m	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,80	0	m
Radar			
Computed xxxx			

Calibration data

Su	n angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	34.2		
	30 ⁰	23.6		
	80 ⁰	14.9		
	80 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

Flight duration 133 days Flight duration 143 balloon days Number of orbits 10 Position last heard 82555 (12/01/67) Balloon days tracked 121

Remarks: Leak test by complete surface inspection with halogen detector. This balloon had one crack 1/4" long on one gore as well as 14 small pinholes which were detected using the halogen detector. Because of the extensive surface testing, the tent test was not used prior to flight.

Transmitter keyed improperly on first flight day.

Balloon #50201 D Frequency 15.021 MHz	Surface winds m/sec
Method of leak test <u>tent test</u> Test results <u>3 leaks detected and</u> repaired	Climb-out windsUT 0 - 5,000 m 5,000 - 10,000 m 10,000 m - altitude Altitude
Mfr. balloon #Raven 12Balloon mass965gmBalloon volume4.19m³Balloon diameter2.0mFilm thickness1.5milsElectronics mass118gm	Flight duration148daysFlight duration157balloon daysNumber of orbits9Position last heard65945 (01/02/67)Balloon days tracked150
Ballast77gmBallast77gmGross weight less helium1160gmFree lift162gmLaunch site $172^{\circ}32$ 'E, $43^{\circ}29$ 'SLaunch time $07/09/66$ 2129UT	Remarks: Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch time 07/09	/66	2129	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/	sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	2.0	m/	sec
Float altitude	11,800		m
Radar			

Computed xxxx

Calibration data

1

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10°	29.1		
30°	18.9		
80 [°]	11.1		
80	⁰ Occul	lting	angle

Balloon #51206 P	Surface winds m/sec
Frequency 15.026 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test tent test	0 - 5 000 m
Test results 1 pinhole leak	5,000 - 10,000 m
detected and repaired	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude
Mfr. balloon #Raven 18	Flight duration 67 days
Balloon mass 955 gm	Flight duration 72 balloon days
Balloon volume 4.19 m ³	Number of orbits 5
Balloon diameter 2.0 m	Position last heard 52544 (29/11/66)
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 70
Electronics mass 115 gm	
Ballast90 gm	Remarks:
Gross weight less helium 1160 gm	Probable cause of failure: balloon
Free lift 162 gm	leak.
Launch site <u>172[°] 32'E, 43[°] 29'S</u>	
Launch time 24/09/66 2025 UT	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5 m/sec</u>	
5,000 - 10,000 m 2.0 m/sec	
Float altitude <u>11,800 m</u>	

Radar____

Computed <u>xxxx</u>

Sur	n angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	31.3		
	30 ⁰	21.1		
	80 ⁰	12.8		
	80 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

Balloon #	52207 W	Surface winds ⁰ m/sec
Frequency	<u>15.027 MHz</u>	Cloud cover
		Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak tes	t <u>tent tes</u> t	0 - 5,000 m
Test results	no leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m
		10,000 m - altitude
		Altitude
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 19	Flight duration 16 days
Balloon mass	961 gm	Flight duration <u>17</u> balloon days
Balloon volume	4.19 m ³	Number of orbits1
Balloon diameter	2.0 m	Position last heard 67330 (29/11/66)
Film thickness	1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 17
Electronics mass	<u>152 gm</u>	

Ballast_____47___ gm Remarks:

Gross weight less helium 1160 gm Probable cause of failure: balloon Free lift 162 gm leak.

Launch site	172 [°] 32'E,	43 ⁰ 29'S
Launch time 10/	10/66 2	.020 U T
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data

.

.

ł.

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	22.5		
30 [°]	14.3		
80 ⁰	7.8		
80 ⁰	0ccul	lting	angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	53507 Z	Surface winds0		m/sec
Frequency	15.027 MHz	Cloud cover		
		Climb-out winds		UT
Method of leak	test <u>tent test</u>	0 - 5 000 -		
Test results	no leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m		
		10,000 m - altitude_		
		Altitude		
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 5	Flight duration	14	days

MIF. Dalloon #	Jeruani	<u> </u>
Balloon mass	874	gm
Balloon volume	1.853	m ³
Balloon diameter	1.524	m
Film thickness	2.5	mils
Electronics mass_	118	gm
Ballast	112	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1104</u>	+ gm
Free lift	442	gm

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32	'E, 43°	29 <u>'S</u>
Launch time <u>11/1</u>	0/66	2004	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	3.1	m	/sec
5,000 - 10,000 a	m	m	/sec
Float altitude	5585		m
Radar <u>xxxx</u>			
Computed			

Number of orbits 0 Position last heard (24/10/66) Balloon days tracked 5 Remarks: This balloon was wax treated

balloon days

Flight duration 14

and was reinforced with three horizontal bands of GT-12 tape across the equator section. Balloon reached the Andes but did not cross.

Probable cause of failure: either icing or contact with the Andes.

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	22.6		
30 ⁰	14.3		
83 ⁰	7.6		
83 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

Ĭ.

Balloon #	54503 L		Surface winds	0	m/sec
Frequency	15.023	MHz	Cloud cover		
			Climb-out winds		UT
Method of leak	test tent tes	st	0 - 5,000 m		
Test results	l pinhole detec	cted	5,000 - 10,000 m	n	
and	repaired		10,000 m - altit	tude	
			Altitude		

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	6
Balloon mass	886	gm
Balloon volume	1.853	m ³
Balloon diameter	1.524	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	2.5	mils
Electronics mass_	118	gm
Ballast	100	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1104</u>	gm
Free lift	442	gm

Launch site	<u>172°32'E</u>	<u>, 43°29's</u>
Launch time1	1/10/66	2004 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	3.1	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m	m/sec
Float altitude	5585	m
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data

I

Sun an	gleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	27.6		
	30 ⁰	16.8		
	83 ⁰	8.9		
	83 ⁰	0ccu]	ting	angle

Flight duration_		11		days
Flight duration_	11	ba	1100n	days
Number of orbits		0		
Position last he	ard_53	375	(21/1	0/66)
Balloon days tra	cked_			

Remarks: This balloon was coated with wax and reinforced with GT-12 tape placed in planes along the equator and at 45°-offsets from the equator. The balloon reached South America. Probable cause of failure: icing or contact with the Andes.

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon # 55201 M	Surface winds m/sec
Frequency 15.021 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test tent test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results 2 pinhole leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m
& repaired. Large hole burned in	10,000 m - altitude
balloon fabric during pinhole repair	Altitude
was also repaired.	
Mfr. balloon #Raven 20	Flight duration <u>134 days</u>
Balloon mass 962 gm	Flight duration 143 balloon days
Balloon volume <u>4.19 m³</u>	Number of orbits <u>9 ?</u>
Balloon diameter 2.0 m	Position last heard 63560 (23/02/67)
Film thickness 1.5 mils	Balloon days tracked 68
Electronics mass 147 gm	
Ballast51 gm	Remarks: This balloon had a history
Gross weight less helium <u>1160 gm</u>	of intermittent transmitter operation.
Free lift162gm	Probable cause of failure:
	electronics failure.
Launch site172 [°] 32'E, 43 [°] 29'S	
Launch time <u>13/10/66</u> 2040 UT	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5 m/sec</u>	
5,000 - 10,000 m <u>2.0 m/sec</u>	
Float altitude <u>11,800</u> m	
Radar	
Computed_xxxx	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
· 10 [°] 30.5	
<u> </u>	
80 [°] 12.3	

12.3

Occulting angle

80⁰

٠

Balloon #_	56034 L	56038	<u>v</u>	Surface winds	0	m/sec
Frequency_	15.034	15.028	MHz	Cloud cover		
				Climb-out winds		UT
Method of	leak test	not test	ed	0 - 5,000 m		
Test resul	.ts			5,000 - 10,000 m_		
			*	10,000 m - altitud	le	
	<u> </u>			Altitude		<u> </u>

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldah	14
Balloon mass	6556	gm
Balloon volume	158	m ³
Balloon diameter	6.70	m
Film thickness	1	mils
Electronics mass_	288	gm
Ballast	96	gm
Gross weight less	helium6	940 gm
Free lift	1249	gm

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32 'E</u>	<u>, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time 26/10)/66	1730 UT
Ascent rate		
0-10,000 m	3.8	m/sec
10,000 - 24,000 m	1 <u>3.4</u>	m/sec
Float altitude	24,000	m
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data VVV

Sun a	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	44.7		
	30 ⁰	30.1		
	68 ⁰	18.4		
	68 ⁰	0ccul	ting	angle

Flight	duration	_		days
Flight	duration	1	balloon	days
Number	of orbits_		0	
Position last heard				
Balloon	n days traci	ked	1	

Remarks: A separate transmitter was flown to check on electronics temperature at 30 mb. The electronics operated at 17° C with only minor fluctuations during the day. The balloon failed on the first night.

Probable cause of failure: large hole or rip introduced either in fabrication, handling, or during the high speed ascent.
Balloon #	57200 0		Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency	15.020	MHz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak te	est tent to	est	0 - 5,000 m
Test results	no leaks	found	5,000 - 10,000 m
			10,000 m - altitude
			Altitude
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 6	, 	Flight duration 67 days
Balloon mass	978	gm	Flight duration 71 balloon days
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³	Number of orbits4
Balloon diameter_	2.0	<u>m</u>	Position last heard 62562 (05/01/67)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked ⁶⁹
Electronics mass_	114	gm	
Ballast	68	gm	Remarks:
Gross weight less	helium 116	0 <u>gm</u>	Probable cause of failure: balloon
Free lift	162	gm	leak.
Launch site	172 [°] 32'E, 4	3° 29 ' S	
Launch time 31,	/10/66 16	52 <u>UT</u>	
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.5	m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000	m_2.0	m/sec	
Float altitude	11,800	m	
Radar			
Computed			
Calibration data			
Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻	¹ sec)	
10°	30.2		
30 ⁰	20.2		
80°	11.6		
80°	Occulting	angle	

.

Balloon #	58207 G		Surface winds °	m/sec
Frequency	15.027	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak te	esttent test		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	l pinhole le	eak	5,000 - 10,000 m	
detect	ed and repaired	1	10,000 m - altitude_	
			Altitude	
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 9		Flight duration	106 <u>days</u>
Balloon mass	978	gm	Flight duration 113	<u>balloon days</u>
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³	Number of orbits	7
Balloon diameter	2.0	m	Position last heard 54	245 (23/02/67)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked	113
Electronics mass	113	gm		
Ballast	69	gm	Remarks:	
Gross weight less	s helium <u>116</u>	60 gm	Probable cause of fail	lure: balloon
Free lift	162	gm	leak.	
Launch site	<u>172°32'E, 43°</u>	29'S		
Launch time	09/11/66 1935	UT		
Ascent rate				
0 - 5,000 m	<u>1.5</u> n	n/sec		
5,000 - 10,000	m <u>2.0</u>	n/sec		
Float altitude	11,800	m		
Radar				
Computed <u>xxx</u> x				
Calibration data				
Sun angleCode	e period (10 ⁻¹	sec)		
10 ⁰	31.0			

·

 30°
 20.4

 80°
 11.4

 80°
 Occulting angle

1

•

Balloon #_	59207 ED		Surface winds	0	5	m/sec
Frequency_	15.027	MHz	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds			UT
Method of	leak test not test	ed	0 - 5,000 m			
Test resul	.ts		5,000 - 10,000 m_			
<u> </u>	·		10,000 m – altitud	le		
			Altitude			
Mfm balla	son # Schieldahl	18	Flight duration		12	dave

MIR. Dalloon #	Jenjer		
Balloon mass	1422		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter	2.26		<u> </u>
Film thickness	2.0		mils
Electronics mass_	200		gm
Ballast	58		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1680	gm
Free lift	300		gm

Flight	duration		12	days
Flight	duration	15	balloon	days
Number	of orbits_		3	
Positio	on last hea	ard 57	830 (24/1	1/66)
Balloon	n days trac	ked	15	
	•			

Remarks: Balloon equipped with solar cell side panels for low sun angle transmission.

Launch site	166 [°] 7'E,	<u>77[°]8</u>	<u>'</u> S	
Launch time <u>13</u>	/11/66	0	734	UT
Ascent rate				
0 - 5,000 m	1.6		m/s	<u>ec</u>
5,000 - 10,000	m	2.0	m/s	<u>ec</u>
Float altitude	11,80	00		m
Radar				
Computed_ <u>xxxx</u>				

loon damage during cold inflation or windy launch.

Probable cause of failure: bal-

Calibration data

-.

Sun a	ngleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	0 ⁰	33.5		
	30 ⁰	15.1		
	80 ⁰	6.6		
	80 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

Balloon #	60203 TA		Surface winds) 	4	m/sec
Frequency	15.023	<u>MH z</u>	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds			UT
Method of lea	k test not teste	ed	0 - 5,000 m			
Test results_	·		5,000 - 10,000 m			
			10,000 m – altitude <u></u>			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Altitude			

Mfr. balloon # <u>S</u>	chjeldahl 19	
Balloon mass	1397	gm
Balloon volume	6.06	m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26	m
Film thickness	2.0	mils
Electronics mass_	200	gm
Ballast	83	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1680</u>	gm
Free lift	300	gm

Launch site	166 ⁰ 7	'E, 7	7 ⁰ 8'8	5
Launch time 14/11	/66	22	225	UT
Ascent rate				
0 - 5,000 m	1.6		m/s	sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	ı	2.0	<u>m/s</u>	sec
Float altitude	11,80	00		m
Radar				
Computed_ <u>xxxx</u>				

Calibration data

.

Ł

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
0°	41.0		
30 ⁰	23.0		
80 [°]	11.4		
	0ccul	ting	angle

 Flight duration see remarks days

 Flight duration balloon days

 Number of orbits

 Position last heard

 Balloon days tracked

Remarks: Balloon equipped with solar cell side panels for low sun angle transmission.

It has remained at high latitudes during most of its life. On its 206th day (06/06/67) it was located at 56152. It then returned into the Antarctic night and reappeared momentarily on the 209th day (09/06/67).

Balloon #	61209 TR	Surface winds3	m/sec
Frequency	15.030 MHz	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out winds	UT
Mathod of leak test not tested		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results		5,000 - 10,000 m	
		10,000 m - altitude	
		Altitude	

Mfr. balloon # <u>S</u>	chjeldah	1_2	
Balloon mass	1410		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		<u>m</u>
Film thickness	2.0		mils
Electronics mass_	200		gm
Ballast	70		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1680	gm
Free lift	300		gm

Flight duration	89 <u>d</u>	ays
Flight duration 93	balloon d	<u>ays</u>
Number of orbits	4	
Position last heard	74167 (13/02	/67)
Balloon days tracked	d82	

Remarks: This balloon was not equipped with solar cell side panels.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak introduced in fabrication, handling, or cold temperature inflation.

Launch	site	166 ⁰ 7	'E, 77 [°] 8	's
Launch	time17	/11/66	0925	UT
Ascent	rate			
0 - 2	5,000 m	1.6	m/	sec
5,000	- 10,000	m2	.0 m/	sec
Float a	altitude	11,800)	m
Radar	·			
Compu	ited <u>xxx</u> x			

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	32.4		
30 ⁰	18.5		
80 ⁰	8.2		
80 ⁰	0ccu]	ting	angle

Balloon #	62207 TN		Surface winds	0	4	m/sec
Frequency	15.027	MHz	Cloud cover			
			Climb-out winds			UT
Method of la	oak test <u>pot test</u>	ed	0 - 5,000 m			
Test result:	S		5,000 - 10,000 m			
			10,000 m - altitude	e		
			Altitude			

Mfr. balloon #	Schjelda	ahl 1	_
Balloon mass	1381	}	<u>zm</u>
Balloon volume	6.06	1	n ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		m
Film thickness	2.0	mi	ls
Electronics mass_	223		<u>gm</u>
Ballast	76	{	<u>zm</u>
Gross weight less	helium	1680 g	<u>3m</u>
Free lift	300		zm

Launch site	166 ⁰ 7'	E, 77 ^C	8'S
Launch time 17/11	L/66	102	5 <u>UT</u>
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.6		m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,8	00	m
Radar			
Computed xxxx			

Flight duration21daysFlight duration24balloon daysNumber of orbits3Position last heard57660 (07/12/66)Balloon days tracked24

Remarks: This balloon was equipped with solar cell side panels.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak introduced either in fabrication, handling, cold weather inflation, or gusty launch.

Calibration data

I

Sun	angleCode	e p	eriod	(10-1	sec)
	0°		38.0		
	10 ⁰		25.5		
	30 ⁰		14.5	_	
	80 ⁰ 6.	. 1	0ccu1	ting	angle

Balloon #	63203 L		Surface winds ⁰	m/sec
Frequency	15.023	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of loak	lest <u>teat</u> test		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks		5,000 - 10,000 m	
	detected		10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Raven 5	. <u></u>	Flight duration58 days
Balloon mass	963	gm	Flight duration 61 balloon days
Balloon volume	4.19	m ³	Number of orbits 3
Balloon diameter	2.0	<u>m</u>	Position last heard 64532 (25/01/67)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked 58
Electronics mass_	118	gm	
Ballast	79	gm	Remarks:
Gross weight less	helium <u>1160</u>	gm	Probable cause of failure: balloon
Free lift	162	gm	leak.

٠

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32	Έ, 43	3 ⁰ 29 ' S
Launch time <u>2</u>	7/11/66	203	<u>TU C</u>
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.5		m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m	2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	0	<u> </u>
Radar			
Computed <u>xxx</u> x	:		

Calibration data

-

.

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 [°]	22.3		
30°	14.6		
80 ⁰	8.1		
80 [°]	0ccul	lting	angle

•

Balloon #	640 <u>34</u> N	Surface winds ^O calm m/sec	
Frequency	<u>15.024 MHz</u>	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out windsUT	
Method of loak test	twading_pool	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks found	5,000 - 10,000 m	
		10,000 m - altitude	
		Altitude	
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 2	Flight duration <u>61</u> days	
Balloon mass	<u>6584 gm</u>	Flight duration <u>59</u> balloon days	
Balloon volume	<u>158 m³</u>	Number of orbits <u>-2</u>	
Balloon diameter	6.70 m	Position last heard 57160 (14/02/67)	
Film thickness	1 mils	Balloon days tracked 49	
Electronics mass	<u>119 gm</u>		
Ballast	237 gm	Remarks: This Balloon was leak tested	
Gross weight less h	elium <u>6940 gm</u>	in the Canterbury Court Building, the	
Free lift	<u>1249 gm</u>	largest building in Christchurch.	
		Although it tested leak free, it was	
Launch site1	172 [°] 32'Ε, 43 [°] 29'S	roughly handled during deflation.	
Launch time 15/12/	<u>/66 1645 UT</u>	The balloon carried 600 gm dry ice	
Ascent rate		to reduce rate of rise.	
0 -10,000 m	2.0 m/sec	Probable cause of failure: balloon	
10,000 - 24,000 m_	2.5 m/sec	leak.	
Float altitude	24,000 m		
Radar			
Computed_xxxx			
Calibration data			
Sun angleCode p	eriod (10 ⁻¹ sec)		
10 ⁰	28.8		
<u> </u>	16.6		
66 ⁰	9.9		
66 ⁰	Occulting angle		

.

1

Balloon #	65505 A		Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency	15.025	MHz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak tes	t <u>tent te</u>	st	0 - 5 000 m
Test results	no leaks d	etected	5,000 - 10,000 m
			10,000 m - altitude
			Altitude
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	7	Flight duration <u>4 days</u>
Balloon mass	887	gm	Flight duration <u>4</u> balloon days
Balloon volume	1.853	m ³	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter	1.524	m	Position last heard 54575 (19/12/66)
Film thickness	2.5	mils	Balloon days tracked <u>3</u>
Electronics mass	116	gm	•
Ballast	101	gm	Remarks: This balloon was reinforced
Gross weight less	helium <u>110</u>	4gm	and coated with a silicone wax.
Free lift	442	gm	Probable cause of failure: icing.
Launch site	<u>172°32'E, 4</u>	3° 29 ' S	
Launch time 15/12	2/66 1721	UT	
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	3	m/sec	
5,000 - 10,000 m	l	m/sec	
Float altitude	5550	<u>m</u>	
Radar			
Computed_xxxx			
Calibration data		1 -	
Sun angleCode 10 ⁰	period (10 ⁻ 30.0	⁺ sec)	
30 [°]	18.6		
83 ⁰	10.2		

83⁰

Occulting angle

139

.

.

Balloon #	66502 H	Surface winds0	m/sec
Frequency	15.022 MHz	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test <u>tent test</u>	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks	5,000 - 10,000 m	
	detected	10,000 m - altitude	
		Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	<u>Schjeldahl</u>	9
Balloon mass	888	gm
Balloon volume	1.853	³
Balloon diameter	1.524	m
Film thickness	2.5	mils
Electronics mass_	120	gm
Ballast	96	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>1104</u>	<u>gm</u>
Free lift	442	gm

Flight	duration		1		days
Flight	duration	1	bal	100n	days
Number	of orbits_		00		
Positio	on last hea	rd_	74778	(16/	12/66)
Balloor	n days trac	keċ	l		

Remarks: This balloon was prepared in identical fashion to 65505A, except that a different silicone wax was used as a water repellent.

Probable cause of failure: icing.

Launch site	172 32	<u>E, 43°2</u>	<u>9'5</u>
Launch time <u>15/12</u>	/66	1724	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	3	m/	sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n	m/	sec
Float altitude	5550		m
Radar			
Computed <u>xxxx</u>			

Calibration data

1

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	31.5		
30 ^o	20.4		
83 ⁰	10.6		
<u>83</u> °	Occu:	lting	angle

Balloon #	67306 U	Surface winds	m/sec
Frequency	15.026 MHz	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out winds	UT
Meth od -of-leak te	st <u>tent test</u>	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks detected	5,000 - 10,000 m	
		10,000 m - altitude_	
		Altitude	<u></u>
Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl 13	Flight duration	ll days
Balloon mass	1530 gm	Flight duration 11	balloon days
Balloon volume	6.06 m ³	Number of orbits	0
Balloon diameter	2.26 m	Position last heard 84	4765 (25/01/67)
- Film thickness	2.0 mils	Balloon days tracked_	11
Electronics mass_	117 gm		
Ballast	733 gm	Remarks: This balloo	n was a uvinol
Gross weight less	helium <u>2380 gm</u>	coated balloon (for u	ltraviolet
Free lift	300 gm	resistance) designed	to fly at 200 m
		It was ballasted for	300 mb flight.
Launch site	172°32'E, 43°29'S		
Launch time 15/01	<u>/67 0050 UT</u>	Probable cause of fai	lure: icing.
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.5 m/sec		
5,000 - 9,000	m <u>1.8 m/sec</u>		
Float altitude	<u>9500 m</u>		
Radar <u>xxxx</u>			
Computed			
Calibration data			
Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻¹ sec)		
10 ⁰	26.4		
	16.9		
80 ⁰	9.2		

80° Occulting angle

.

.

Balloon #	68300 W	Surface winds	m/sec
Frequency	<u>15.020</u> MHz	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	testtent_test	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks	5,000 - 10,000 m	<u> </u>
	detected	10,000 m - altitude	
	<u></u>	Altitude	

Mfr. balloon <u>#_Sc</u>	<u>hjeldahl</u>	21	
Balloon mass	1503		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		<u>m</u>
Film thickness	2.0		mils
Electronics mass_	124		gm
Ballast	<u>753</u>		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	2380	gm
Free lift	300		gm

Flight duration5daysFlight duration5balloon daysNumber of orbits0Position last heard64648 (19/01/67)Balloon days tracked5

Remarks: This was a uvinol coated balloon designed for flight at 200 mb which was ballasted for flight at 300 mb.

Probable cause of failure: icing.

Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u> Launch time <u>15/01/67</u> <u>0313 UT</u> Ascent rate 0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5 m/sec</u> 5,000 - 9,000 m <u>1.8 m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>9200 m</u> Radar_____ Computed <u>xxxx</u>

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	23.4		
<u> </u>	14.4		
80 ⁰	7.6		
30°	0ccu]	ting	angle

Balloon #	69206 н	Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency	15.026 MHz	Cloud cover
		Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test not tested		0 - 5,000 m
Test results_		5,000 - 10,000 m
	<u></u>	10,000 m - altitude
		Altitude

Mfr. balloon #	Schjel	dahl	14
Balloon mass	1474		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		m
Film thickness	2.0	<u> </u>	mils
Electronics mass_	121		gm
Ballast	85		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1680	gm
Free lift	300		gm

Launch site	172°32'E,	43°29'S
Launch time1	5/01/67	0350 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.6	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	<u>m</u>
Radar		
Computed <u>xxx</u> x		

Calibration data

Sun angle-	-Code	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10	0	24 2		
30	0	16.4		
80	ר 	9.5		
	^۲ 08	0ccu	lting	angle

Flight duration6daysFlight duration6balloon daysNumber of orbits0Position last heard63301 (21/01/67)Balloon days tracked6

Remarks: This was a uvinol coated balloon which was not tested. Subsequent tests on similar balloons indicated that the uvinol coating had not set prior to packing. It is assumed that this balloon which was carefully tested at the factory developed an imperfection during unpacking.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Balloon #	70201 K		Surface winds0	m/sec
Frequency	15.021	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test <u>not test</u>	ed	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m	
	·····		10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon # <u>S</u>	<u>chjeldahl</u>	20	
Balloon mass	1453		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		m
Film thickness	2.0	m	ils
Electronics mass	157		gm
Ballast	70		gm
Gross weight less	helium	1680	gm
Free lift	300		gm

Position last heard						
Balloon days tracked						
Remarks:	Uvinol	coated	l balloc	on.		
This balle	oon was	still	flying	as	of	

Flight duration see remarksdaysFlight durationballoon days

Number of orbits_____

08/06/67 on its 142nd day.

Launch site	172 [°] 32'E,	43°29'S
Launch time17/()1/67	<u>2057 UT</u>
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.6	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n <u>2.0</u>	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	m
Radar		
Computed <u>xxx</u> x		

Calibration data

E

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	30.2		
	30 ⁰	20.6		
	80 ⁰	12.0		
	80 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

Balloon #	71207 X		Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency	15.027	MHz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out windsUI
Method of leak	test not tested	<u> </u>	_ 0 - 5,000 m
Test results	•		5,000 - 10,000 m
		10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	17
Balloon mass	1420	gm
Balloon volume	6.06	m ³
Balloon diameter	2.26	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	2.0	mils
Electronics mass_	133	gm
Ballast	127	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>168</u>	0gm
Free lift	300	gm

Flight duration17daysFlight duration18balloon daysNumber of orbits1Position last heard85332 (03/02/67)Balloon days tracked18

Remarks:

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch site	172°32'E,	43 ⁰ 29'5
Launch time18/(01/67	2043 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.6	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000 m	n <u> </u>	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	m
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data

.

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	26.0		
30 ⁰	17.1		
80 ⁰	9.7		
80 ⁰	Occul	lting	angle

Balloon #	72207 C		Surface winds ⁰	m/sec
Frequency	15.027	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test <u>not</u> te	ested	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m	
			10,000 m - altitude	
		<u></u>	Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeld	<u>ahl 23</u>
Balloon mass	1461	gm
Balloon volume	6.06	m ³
Balloon diameter_	2,26	m
Film thickness	2.0	mils
Electronics mass_	157	gm
Ballast	72	gm
Gross weight less	helium	1680 gm
Free lift	300	gm

Launch site <u>172° 32'E, 43° 29'S</u> Launch time <u>17/01/67</u> <u>2108</u> <u>UT</u> Ascent rate 0 - 5,000 m <u>1.6</u> <u>m/sec</u> 5,000 - 10,000 m <u>2.0</u> <u>m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>11,800</u> m Radar <u>Computed XXXX</u>

Flight duration 7 days Flight duration 7 balloon days Number of orbits 0 Position last heard 54872 (24/01/67) Balloon days tracked 7

Remarks: It is believed that this uvinol coated balloon was packed before the surface treatment had hardened. The balloon may have been damaged in unpacking.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	34.2		
	21.8		
80 ⁰	11.5		
80 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

Balloon #	73202 P		Surface winds ⁰	m/sec
Frequency	15.022	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test not test	ed	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m	
			10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	15
Balloon mass	1467	gm
Balloon volume	6.06	m ³
Balloon diameter	2.26	m
Film thickness	2	mils
Electronics mass	149	gm
Ballast	64	gm
Gross weight less	helium 1680	gm
Free lift	300	gm

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32'E,</u>	43 ⁰ 29'S
Launch time17	/01/67 21	L28 U T
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.6	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	m
Radar		
Computed_xxxx		

Calibration data

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	25.0		
30 ⁰	16.7		
80 ⁰	9.3		
80°	0ccu]	lting	angle

Flight duration	<u> </u>
Flight duration 4	balloon days
Number of orbits	0
Position last heard	(20/01/67)
Balloon days tracked_	4

Remarks: It is believed that this uvinol coated balloon was packed before the surface treatment had hardened. The balloon may have been damaged in unpacking.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Balloon #	74038 F	Surface winds ⁰ calm	m/sec		
Frequency	15.028 MHz	Cloud cover			
		Climb-out winds	UT		
Method of leak test <u>not tested</u>		0 - 5,000 m			
Test results		5,000 - 10,000 m			
	·	10,000 m - altitude			
		Altitude			

Mtr. balloon <u>#_Scl</u>	<u>njeldahl</u>	1	
Balloon mass	6640		gm
Balloon volume	158		m ³
Balloon diameter_	6.7		m
Film thickness	1		mils
Electronics mass_	125		gm
Ballast	175		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	6940	gm
Free lift	1249		gm

Flight duration <u>1</u> days Flight duration <u>1</u> balloon days Number of orbits <u>0</u> Position last heard <u>74556 (19/01/67)</u> Balloon days tracked <u>1</u>

Remarks: This balloon was not tested. The balloon carried 600 gm dry ice to reduce its ascent rate.

Probable cause of failure: large Launch site $172^{\circ} 32'E, 43^{\circ} 29'S$ hole or tear in balloon.

Launch site <u>1/2 32 E, 43 29 S</u> Launch time <u>18/01/67 0945 UT</u> Ascent rate 0-10,000 m <u>2.0 m/sec</u> 10,000 - 24,000 m <u>2.5 m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>24,000 m</u> Radar_____

Computed <u>xxxx</u>

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	26.0		
30 ⁰	17.2		
66 ⁰	10.5		
66 ⁰	Occul	ting	angle

Balloon #	75030 V		Surface winds	^o calm	m/sec
Frequency	15.030	<u>MH z</u>	Cloud cover		
			Climb-out winds		UT
Mathed of leak	test not test	ed	0 - 5,000 m	ی در ۲۰ میلاد در ۲۰۰۰	
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m_		
			10,000 m - altitud	le	<u> </u>
			Altitude		
Mfr. balloon #_	Schjeldahl 3	<u> </u>	Flight duration	67	days
Balloon mass	6297	gm	Flight duration 67	balloo	<u>n days</u>
	150	2			

Balloon volume	158		<u> </u>
Balloon diameter_	6.7		m
Film thickness	1		mils
Electronics mass_	149		gm
Ballast	494		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	6940	gm
Free lift	1249		gm

			-	
Flight duration_	67	bal	loon	days
Number of orbits				
Position last he	ard	75422	(27/0)3/67)
Balloon days tra	cked	i 60		
•				

Remarks: The balloon carried 600 gm . dry ice to reduce ascent rate.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch	site	172 ⁰ 32	'E, 43 ⁰ 29'S
Launch	time <u>1</u>	9/01/67	<u>0935 UT</u>
Ascent	rate		
0-10	0,000 m	2.0	m/sec
10,000	- 24,000	m2	.5 m/sec
Float a	altitude	24,00	0 <u>m</u>
Radar	·		

Computed_xxxx

Sun	angleCode	period	(10^{-1})	sec)
	10°	19.6		
	30 ⁰	12.8		
	66 ⁰	7.9		
	66 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

Balloon #	76034 Z		Surface winds ⁰ calm	m/sec
Frequency	15.024	MHz	Cloud cover	<u></u>
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test not te	sted	0 - 5,000 m	
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m	
		<u></u>	10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldah.	<u> 5 </u>
Balloon mass	6042	gm
Balloon volume	158	m ³
Balloon diameter	6.7	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	1	mils
Electronics mass_	178	gm
Ballast	720	gm
Gross weight less	helium 69	940 gm
Free lift	1249	gm

Flight duration	<u>18 days</u>
Flight duration 18	balloon days
Number of orbits	0
Position last heard	(06/02/67)
Balloon days tracked	15
· · · -	

Remarks: Balloon was launched with 600 gm dry ice to reduce ascent rate. Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Free lift	1249	gm
Launch site	<u>172° 32'</u>	<u>e, 43°29's</u>
Launch time	9/01/67	<u>0930 UT</u>
Ascent rate		
0 -10,000 m	2.0	m/sec

10,000 - 24,000 m <u>2.5 m/sec</u> Float altitude <u>24,000 m</u>

Radar_____

wa.

Computed<u>xxx</u>x

Sun angle(Code	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰		24.2		
30°		15.0		
66 ⁰		8.6		
	66 ⁰	0ccu	lting	angle

Balloon #	77035 A	Surface winds ^O calm	m/sec
Frequency	15.025 MHz	Cloud cover	
		Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak test not tested		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results		5,000 - 10,000 m	
		10,000 m - altitude	
		Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjelda	h1 6	
Balloon mass	6326		gm
Balloon volume	158		m ³
Balloon diameter_	6.7		m
Film thickness	1		mi1s
Electronics mass_	151		gm
Ballast	463		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	6940	gm
Free lift	1249		gm

Flight duration1daysFlight duration1balloon daysNumber of orbits0Position last heard74666 (21/01/67)Balloon days tracked_______

Remarks: The balloon carried 600 gm dry ice to reduce ascent rate.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch site	<u>172[°] 32 'E</u>	<u>, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time 20/01	1/67	0755 UT
Ascent rate		
0- 10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
10,000 - 24,000 m	n <u> </u>	m/sec
Float altitude	24,000	m
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data -- not available.

Sun	angleCode	period	(10^{-1})	sec)
-----	-----------	--------	-------------	------

Occulting angle

Balloon #	78201 B		Surface winds	0	m/sec
Frequency	15.021	MHz	Cloud cover		
			Climb-out winds	<u> </u>	UT
Method of leak	test not test	ed	0 - 5,000 m		
Test results			5,000 - 10,000 m_		
			10,000 m – altitu	ıde	
	·····		Altitude		

MIT. Dalloon #	<u>Sculer</u>	uani 4	
Balloon mass	1472		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter	2.26		m
Film thickness	2		mils
Electronics mass	150		gm
Ballast	58		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	1680	gm
Free lift	300		gm

a 1 · 11-11 0/

Flight duration2daysFlight duration2balloon daysNumber of orbits0Position last heard0Balloon days tracked2

Remarks: It is believed that this uvinol coated balloon was packed before the surface treatment had hardened.

Probable cause of failure: balloon leak.

Launch	site	 172 ⁰ 32	E, 43°2	<u>9's</u>
Launch	time_	 05/02/67	2112	UT
Ascent	rate			
<u> </u>	- 000	1 (

0 - 5,000 m	1.6	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	m2.0	m/sec
Float altitude	11,800	m

Radar_____

....

. .

Computed <u>xxxx</u>

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	27.0		
30 ⁰	18.5		
<u> </u>	11.2		
80 ⁰	Occul	ting	angle

Balloon #	79202 R		Surface winds0	m/sec
Frequency	15.022	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak test tent test			∩ - 5,000 m	
Test results no leaks detected			5,000 - 10,000 m	
			10,000 m - altitude	<u> </u>
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Schjeldahl	16
Balloon mass	1440	gm
Balloon volume	6.06	³
Balloon diameter	2.26	m
Film thickness	2	mils
Electronics mass_	127	gm
Ballast	113	gm
Gross weight less	helium <u>168</u>	30 gm
Free lift	300	gm

 Flight duration see remarks days

 Flight duration balloon days

 Number of orbits

 Position last heard

 Balloon days tracked

Remarks: Balloon last heard on 26/05/67 on its 100th day. Believed to be presently in the Antarctic night and still flying.

Launch site	<u>172°32'E</u> ,	<u>43°29'S</u>
Launch time	15/02/67	2205 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000 m	1.6	m/sec
5,000 - 10,000	0 m <u>2.0</u>	m/sec
Float altitude_	11,800	m
Radar		
Computed <u>xxxx</u>		

Calibration data

• ;

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ^o	26.2		
30 ⁰	17.3		
80 ⁰	9.5		
80 ⁰	0ccu1	ting	angle

Balloon #	80303 DMHU		Surface winds_	0	m/sec
Frequency	15.023	MHz	Cloud cover	broken	altocumulus
			Climb-out wind	s	<u>UT</u>
Method of leak	test <u>tent</u> te	st	0 - 5,000 m_		
Test results no leaks discovered			5,000 - 10,0	00 m	
		_	10,000 m - a	ltitude	
			Altitude	270 ⁰ :	25 m/sec

Mfr. balloon #	Schjelda	n1 4
Balloon mass	2108	gm
Balloon volume	6.06	m ³
Balloon diameter	2.26	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	3.0	mils
Electronics mass_	169	gm
Ballast	103	gm
Gross weight less	helium	2380 gm
Free lift	300	gm

Launch site	172°32'E,	4 3 ⁰ 2	<u>9's</u>
Launch time 20/0	02/67	2210	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	1.4	m/	sec
5,000 - 9,000	m1.6	m/	sec
Float altitude	9160		m
Radar			
Computed_ <u>xxxx</u>			

Calibration data HHH

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
<u></u>	10 ⁰	22.6		
	30 ⁰	14.9		
	65 ⁰	7.1		
	65 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

Flight	duration_		15		days
Flight	duration_	15	bal	loon	days
Number	of orbits		0		
Positio	on last hea	ard_	83818	(07/0	<u>)</u> 3/67)
Balloor	n days trad	cked	15		

Remarks: Strain gauge improperly installed and unable to obtain useful stress data. Balloon flew well with no indication of icing problems through 07/03/67. It was not heard on 08/03/67.

U -- strain; D -- air temperature; H -- sun angle; M -- reference. Probable cause of failure: balloon leak or icing.

Surface winds ^O m/sec
Hz Cloud cover
Climb-out windsUT
0 - 5,000 m
15,000 - 10,000 m
10,000 m - altitude
Altitude

Mfr. balloon #	Schjel	dani)
Balloon mass	2110		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		³
Balloon diameter	2.26		m
Film thickness	3.0		<u>mils</u>
Electronics mass_	165		gm
Ballast	105		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	2380	gm
Free lift	428		gm

.

Launch site	172 [°] 32 'E	<u>, 43° 2</u>	<u>9's</u>
Launch time 23/0	2/67	2305	UT
Ascent rate			
0 - 5,000 m	2.0	m/	sec
5,000 - 9,000	m2.5	m/	<u>sec</u>
Float altitude	9160		m
Radar			
Computed <u>xxxx</u>			

Ca	libi	ration data	ннн		
	Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
		10 ⁰	28.0		
		30 ⁰	14.5		
		6 5 ⁰	6.0		
		65 ⁰	0ccul	ting	angle

Flight duration	3	days
Flight duration 3	bal	<u>loon days</u>
Number of orbits	0	
Position last heard_	72768	(26/02/67)
Balloon days tracked	3	

Remarks: Balloon flew well for 3 days with no evidence of gas loss. On the first day, clouds as indicated by the sun sensor data produced an overpressure drop from 56 mb to 29 mb. On the second and third days with clear skies above, overpressure increased from 30 mb to 50 mb as the sun angle increased from 10° to 80° .

It appears that the helium temperature was 10 to 12° colder than ambient at night at 300 mb. Daytime solar heating was measured at 12 to 14° C.

H -- sun angle; V -- air temperature; D -- strain; K -- reference. Probable cause of failure: icing.

155

Balloon #	82307 UMSN		Surface windsm/sec
Frequency	15.027	MHz	Cloud covercirrus
			Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak tes	t <u>tent test</u>		0 - 5,000 m
Test results	1 leak detect	ted	5,000 - 10,000 m
	and repaired		10,000 m - altitude
		····-	Altitude
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 3		Flight durationl days
Balloon mass	2175	gm	Flight duration l balloon days
Balloon volume	6.06	m ³	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter	2.26	m	Position last heard <u>74379 (12/03/67</u>)
Film thickness	3.0 r	nils	Balloon days tracked <u>l</u>
Electronics mass	180	gm	
Ballast	33	gm	Remarks: Balloon went to altitude
Gross weight less	helium <u>2388</u>	gm	and superpressured properly. Sun
Free lift	425	gm	sensor data from weak signals indicated
			heavy clouds. Data obtained for only
Launch site	172°32'E, 43°2	<u>29's</u>	2 hr.
Launch time <u>11/03</u>	/67 1955	UT	U air temperature; M refer-
Ascent rate			ence; S sun angle; N strain
0 - 5,000 m		/sec	gauge.
5,000 - 9,000 m	. <u>2.5</u> m,	/sec	Probable cause of failure: icing.
Float altitude	9100	<u>_m</u>	
Radar			
Computed <u>xxx</u>			
Calibration data	SSS		
Sun angleCode	period $(10^{-1}$	sec)	
10 [°]	29.5		
30°	20.0		
61 ⁰	9.6		

I.

61⁰

Occulting angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	83305 VWHR	Surface winds ^O m/sec
Frequency	15.025 MHz	Cloud cover cirrus
		Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak te	sttent_test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results	l pinhole leak	5,000 - 10,000 m
	detected and	10,000 m - altitude
	repaired	Altitude
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 2	Flight durationl days
Balloon mass	2194gn	Flight duration <u>l</u> balloon days
Balloon volume	6.06 m ³	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter_	2.26 m	Position last heard 74379 (12/03/67)
Film thickness	3.0 mils	Balloon days tracked 1
Electronics mass_	177 gn	<u>1</u>
Ballast	33 gn	Remarks: V air temperature; W
Gross weight less	helium <u>2404</u> gm	reference; H sun angle; R strai
Free lift	432 gn	gauge.
		Balloon flown together with
Launch site	172 [°] 32'E, 43 [°] 29's	82 UMSN, 84 W, and 85 WKRD.
Launch time <u>11</u>	/03/67 2015 UT	Balloon went to altitude and over-
Ascent rate		pressured properly. Sun sensor data
0 - 5,000 m	2.0 m/sec	from weak signals indicated heavy
5,000 - 9,000	m2.5m/sec	clouds. Data obtained for only 15
Float altitude	9080 n	hr.
Radar		Probable cause of failure: icing
Computed <u>xxx</u>		
Calibration data	ннн	
Sun angleCode	period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
10 [°]	26.5	
30 ⁰	15.8	
61 ⁰	8.7	-
61 ⁰	Occulting angle	

.

٠

Balloon #84308 W	Surface winds
Frequency15.028 MHz	Cloud cover
	Climb-out windsUT
Method of leak test tent test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results l pinhole leak	5,000 - 10,000 m
detected and repaired	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude
Mfr. balloon # <u>Raven 1</u>	Flight duration 1 days
Balloon mass <u>2182 gm</u>	Flight duration <u>l</u> balloon days
Balloon volume <u>6.06 m³</u>	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter 2.26 m	Position last heard
Film thickness 3 mils	Balloon days tracked 1
Electronics mass 162 gm	
Ballast34 gm	Remarks: Balloon was tracked for
Gross weight less helium <u>2378 gm</u>	only 35 min and disappeared in
Free lift 425 gm	clouds.
	Probable cause of failure: icing
Launch site172 ⁰ 32'E, 43 ⁰ 29'S	on ascent.
Launch time <u>11/03/67 2220 UT</u>	
Ascent rate	
0 - 5,000 m <u>2.0 m/sec</u>	
5,000 - 9,000 m <u>2.5 m/sec</u>	
Float altitude <u> </u>	
Radar	
Computed <u>xxxx</u>	
Calibration data	
Sun angleCode period (10 ⁻¹ sec)	
10° 29.0	
<u> </u>	
80 [°] 11.9	
80° Occulting angle	

.

.

Т

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	85307 WKRD	Surface winds	0	<u>m/sec</u>
Frequency	15.027 MHz	Cloud cover ci	rrus	
		Climb-out winds		<u>UT</u>
Method of leave +	est <u>tent</u> test	0 - 5,000 m	······································	
Test results	l pinhole leak	5,000 - 10,000 m		
	detected and	10,000 m - altit	ude	
	repaired.	Altitude		
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 5	Flight duration	51+	days
D.11	2211		53 6-11	مسمله

Balloon mass	2211		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		m ³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		m
Film thickness	3.0		mils
Electronics mass_	178		gm
Ballast	34		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	2423	gm
Free lift	432		gm

Launch site_	<u>172⁰ 32 'E</u>	<u>, 43°29'S</u>
Launch time_	11/03/67	2245 UT
Ascent rate		
0 - 5,000	m2.0	m/sec
5,000 - 9	,000 m 2.5	m/sec
Float altitu	de <u>9060</u>	m
Radar		
Computed_ x	xxx	

Flight duration	53	bal	loon	days
Number of orbits		2		
Position last hea	rd 65	5672	(30/0	4/67)
Balloon days trac	ked	25		
•				

Remarks: R -- sun angle; W -- air temperature; D -- strain gauge; K -reference.

This balloon was flown together with 82 UMSN, 83 VWHR, and 84 W. All of these apparently failed within one day of launch. 85 WKRD flew for 51 days and moved into the Antarctic night on 30/04/67. It still indicated adequate overpressure and may still be flying. The only difference on this flight with respect to the others was a different antenna design permitting a suspension of the electronics 13 m below the balloon.

Balloon #	86025 C		Surface winds	0	calm r	<u>n/sec</u>
Frequency	15.025	MHz	Cloud cover	high ci	rrus	
			Climb-out winds_			UT
Method of leak t	est_factory_H ₂ C	<u>tes</u> t	0 - 5,000 m	calm		
Test results no	leaks detected		5,000 - 10,000	m	calm	
	<u>-</u>		10,000 m - alt	itude	calm	
			Altitude	calm		
Mfr. balloon #	Raven 104		Flight duration_	see re	marks	days
Balloon mass	6690	gm	Flight duration		balloon	davs

Balloon mass	6690		gm
Balloon volume_	216	- 1	m ³
Balloon diamete	r7.4		m
Film thickness_	1		mils
Electronics mas	s <u> 169 </u>		gm
Ballast	54		gm
Gross weight le	ss helium_	6913	gm
Free lift	1244		gm

Flight	duration_	see	remarks	<u>days</u>
Flight	duration_		balloon	days
Number	of orbits		<u></u>	
Positi	on last hea	ard		
Balloon	n days trad	cked_		

Remarks: Balloon still flying on .09/06/67 on 89th day. This balloon carried 600 gm dry ice to reduce ascent rate.

Launch site	172 ⁰ 32'E	_43 ⁰ 29'S
Launch time13/0	3/67	<u>1945 UT</u>
Ascent rate		
0 - 10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
10,000 - 25,000 m	2.5	m/sec
Float altitude	25,500	m
Radar		

Computed<u>xxxx</u>

.

Calibration data

.

1

Sun	angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
	10 ⁰	33.0		
	<u> </u>	20.4		
	64 ⁰	11.4		
	64 ⁰	0ccu1	lting	angle

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon # 87023 J 87025 P Su	rface winds ^O calm m/sec
Frequency 15.023 15.025 MHz Cl	oud cover
Cl	imb-out windsUT
Method of leak test_factory N_C test	0 - 5,000 m
Test results no leaks detected.	5,000 - 10,000 m
	10,000 m - altitude
	Altitude

mil. Dalloon #	Raven	100	
Balloon mass	5897		gm
Balloon volume	216		m ³
Balloon diameter_	7.4	·	<u>m</u>
Film thickness	1		mils
Electronics mass_	325		gm
Ballast	276		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	6500	gm
Free lift	1200		gm

Launch site	<u>172°32'E,</u>	<u>43°29's</u>
Launch time <u>16/</u>	03/67	1955 UT
Ascent rate		
0 -10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
10,000 - 25,000	m <u> </u>	m/sec

Float altitude 25,800 m Radar_____

Computed xxxx

 Flight duration see remarks days Flight duration balloon days Number of orbits Position last heard 76089 (28/04/67) Balloon days tracked

Remarks: Since this balloon is equipped with a strain gauge, it is possible to monitor gas loss and estimate flight duration. Flight duration should be between 4 and 6 months. It is assumed as of 10/06/67 that this balloon remains in the Antarctic night.

J transmits sun angle information, P transmits strain data.

Balloon #	88308 M ·		Surface winds	m/sec
Frequency	15.028	MHz	Cloud cover	
			Climb-out winds	UT
Method of leak	test tent test		0 - 5,000 m	
Test results	no leaks		5,000 - 10,000 m	
	detected		10,000 m - altitude	
			Altitude	

Mfr. balloon #	Raven	4	
Balloon mass	2145		gm
Balloon volume	6.06		³
Balloon diameter_	2.26		m
Film thickness	3		mils
Electronics mass_	160		gm
Ballast	35		gm
Gross weight less	helium_	2340	gm
Free lift	425		gm

Flight duration		4	days
Flight duration_	4	bal	<u>loon days</u>
Number of orbits_		0	
Position last hea	ird_	64345	(19/03/67)
Balloon days trac	ked	4	

Remarks: This balloon was highly stressed for 2 days prior to launch to introduce a permanent creep which provided a volume increase of 4%. Probable cause of failure: icing.

Launch	site		172	⁾ 32'Е,	<u>43°2</u>	<u>9's</u>
Launch	time	1	5/03/	67	2100	UT
Ascent	rate					
0 - 2	5,000	m	2.	0	m/	sec
5,000) -	9,000	m	2.5	m/	sec
Float a	altit	ude	95	00		m
Rada	r					
Comp	uted_	xxxx				

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	29.5		
30 ⁰	21.4		
80 ⁰	13.7		
80 [°]	0ccu]	ting	angle

n 11 "	90205 II		
Balloon #	09505 W		Surface windsm/sec
Frequency	15.025	MHz	Cloud cover
			Climb-out winds 1700 UT
Mathod of leak t	est <u>tent</u> tes	t	$0 - 5.000 \text{ m} 330^{\circ} 25 \text{ m/sec}$
Test results	no leaks		5,000 - 10,000 m <u>360[°] 46 m/sec</u>
	detected		10,000 m - altitude
			Altitude 360° 52 m/sec
Mfr. balloon #	Viron 1-B		Flight duration 12 days
Balloon mass	1008	gm	Flight duration 12 balloon days
Balloon volume	5.04	³	Number of orbits0
Balloon diameter	2.13	m	Position last heard 64913 (05/04/67)
Film thickness	1.5	mils	Balloon days tracked <u>11</u>
Electronics mass	158	gm	
Ballast	564	gm	Remarks: This balloon was designed to
Gross weight les	s helium_ 1730) <u>gm</u>	fly at 200 mb but was heavily ballasted
Free lift	182	gm	for flight at 250 mb.
			Probable cause of failure: defect
Launch site	172 [°] 32'E, 43	°29'S	introduced by over-stressed design.
Launch time25	/03/67 1945	<u>UT</u>	

m/sec____m

Calibration data

Radar____ Computed_xxxx

Ascent rate

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ^o	42.9		
30 ⁰	23.9		
80 ⁰	9.8		
80	0ccu1	ting	angle

0 - 5,000 m <u>1.5 m/sec</u>

5,000 - 10,000 m<u>1.8</u>

Float altitude 10,500

Balloon #_90	027 0	90026 A		Surface winds	0	calm	m/sec
Frequency_15	.027	15.026	MHz	Cloud cover			
				Climb-out winds			UT
Method of le	ak test_	factory H	$_20$ test	0 - 5,000 m			
Test results	I	no leaks		5,000 - 10,000 m_			
		letected		10,000 m – altitu	de		
				Altitude			

Mfr. balloon #	Raven 1	06
Balloon mass	5886	gm
Balloon volume	216	m ³
Balloon diameter	7.4	m
Film thickness	1	mil <u>s</u>
Electronics mass_	350	gm
Ballast	264	gm
Gross weight less	helium	<u>6500 gm</u>
Free lift	1200	gm

Launch site	172 [°] 32 'E	<u>, 43°29'5</u>
Launch time 25/03	3/67	<u>1950 UT</u>
Ascent rate		
0 -10,000 m	2.0	m/sec
10,000 - 20,000 m	n2.5	m/sec
Float altitude	25,800	m
Radar		

Computed xxxx

Calibration data 000

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 ⁰	27.9		
30 ⁰	18.6		
80 ⁰	10.8		
80 ⁰	<u>0</u> ccu]	ting	angle

Flight duration see	remarks	days
Flight duration	balloon	days
Number of orbits		
Position last heard_	66220 (23/	<u>04/67</u>)
Balloon days tracked	l	

Remarks: This balloon was last heard on 23/04/67. Since it was equipped with a strain gauge, it was possible to estimate life at between 2 and 3 months. As of 10/06/67 the balloon remains in the Antarctic night. Since dry ice was not available, methyl alcohol was used as a dribble ballast to reduce ascent rate. O transmits sun angle information, A transmits strain data.

GHOST BALLOON FLIGHT SUMMARY

Balloon #	91022 D		Surface winds		⁰ cal	m	m/sec
Frequency	15.022	MHz	Cloud cover				
			Climb-out winds_				UT
Method of lea	a less factory wate	<u>er t</u> e	est 0 - 10,000 m	270 ⁰	3 m/	rec	
Test results_	no leaks		10,000 - 20,000	m	280 ⁰	25	m/sec
	detected		10,000 m - alt	itude	·		
			Altitude	<u>. </u>		<u>. </u>	

Mfr. balloon #	Raven 102	<u>_</u>
Balloon mass	5897	gm
Balloon volume	216	m ³
Balloon diameter_	7.4	m
	1	mils
Electronics mass_	160	gm
Ballast —	443	gm
Gross weight less	helium 65	00 gm
Free lift	1200	gm

Flight	duration_	see	remar	ks	days
Flight	duration_		bal	loon	days
Number	of orbits				
Positio	on last hea	ard_	55610	(05/0)5/67)
Balloon days tracked					

Remarks: Last reported position on this balloon on its 40th day at $56^{\rm O}\,{\rm S}$ latitude with a maximum sun angle of 18° . We cannot determine as yet whether the balloon failed at this time or whether it moved into the Antarctic night.

Launch	site_	172 ⁰	'32'E, 4	3 ⁰ 29 ' S
Launch	time_	26/03/67	<u> </u>	UT
Ascent	rate			
0 -10	,000	m4.0)	m/sec

10,000 - 20,000	m_	3.5	m/sec
Float altitude	-	25,800	m
Radar			

Computed xxxx

ì,

Sun angleCode	period	(10 ⁻¹	sec)
10 [°]	42.4		
30 [°]	21.1		
64 ⁰	8.6		
64 ⁰	0ccu]	lting	angle

.

. .
REFERENCES

- 1. Grass, L. A., 1962: <u>Superpressure Balloon for Constant Level Flight</u>, AFCRL Res. Rept. 62-824 (Aug.), pp. 9, 11, 12.
- 2. Nerkin, A., 1956: "Experiments on flows of gases through leaks," in 1956 National Symposium on Vacuum Technology <u>Transactions</u>, 4 pp.
- Lichfield, E. W. and R. W. Frykman, 1966: "Ghost balloons riding the skies will report the world's weather," <u>Electronics</u> (<u>Nov. 28</u>), 98-106.
- 4. National Academy of Sciences, 1966: <u>The Feasibility of a Global</u> <u>Observation and Analysis Experiment</u>, Report of the Panel on International Meteorological Cooperation to the Committee on Atmospheric Sciences, NAS-NRC Publ. No. 1290, 172 pp.

i •• .

angan tanan sana s≩ta Selatas aga tetas setas

 \mathcal{O}

n de Carles Northernet Northernet State

14 44